

WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA

JANUARY/FEBRUARY 1997

"IF A NATION EXPECTS TO BE IGNORANT AND FREE...IT EXPECTS WHAT NEVER WAS AND NEVER WILL BE."

- THOMAS JEFFERSON

- **The Globalists Are Coming For Your Children**
- **Vaccinations May Be Hazardous to Your Health**
- **Outcome Based Education: Pathway to Socialism**
- **The FDR Sell-Out of America**
- **Gun Control - Gateway to Tyranny**
- **Washington Takes Over Health Care**
- **Media Monopolies Give Us Controlled "News"**




"Our real moral and constitutional obligation is to get out of the United Nations."

- Congressman Ron Paul

WAKE UP CALL AM \$2.95 - JAN/FEB 97





AmeriNet Broadcasting

The Baker Report with Dr. Jeffrey A. Baker

3615 West Waters Ave. • Suite #308 • Tampa, Florida 33614-2783

Caller Line (800) 482-5560 • (813) 977-7844 • FAX (813) 977-7929

Satellite: Galaxy 6, Transponder 23, Audio 8.1 Wideband • Shortwave 9.400 & 5.085

"... That's talk worth listening to!"

Program Schedule subject to change without notice

Effective November 1, 1996

Monday through Friday (EST):

Midnight - 1:00 AM	"Apocalypse Chronicles" - T
1:00 - 2:00 AM	"Science & Reason" - T
2:00 - 3:00 AM	"Open Forum" - T
3:00 - 4:00 AM	"Newswatch Magazine" - T
4:00 - 5:00 AM	"Restoration" - T
5:00 - 6:00 AM	"Restoration" - T (M & F)
5:00 - 6:00 AM	"Financial Strategies for 90's" (Tu-Th) - T
6:00 - 7:00 AM	"Blueprint for Survival" - T
7:00 - 9:00 AM	"Baker Report" - T
9:00 - 10:00 AM	"Charles Collins for President" - T
10:00 - 11:00 AM	"Radio Free World" - T
11:00 - NOON *	"The Alan Stang Show" - Alan Stang
NOON - 1:00 PM *	"Apocalypse Chronicles" - James Lloyd
1:00 - 2:00 PM	"Science & Reason" - Glenn Kailer
2:00 - 3:00 PM	"Blueprint for Survival" - T
3:00 - 4:00 PM *	"Newswatch Magazine" - David Smith - T
4:00 - 5:00 PM *	"Open Forum" - Dr. Terry Dorian
5:00 - 6:00 PM *	"Restoration" - (M & F)
5:00 - 6:00 PM *	"Financial Strategies for 90's" (Tu-Th) - Jim Cedarstrom & Jeff Baker
6:00 - 7:00 PM *	"Blueprint for Survival" - Steve Quayle
7:00 - 9:00 PM *	"Baker Report" - Jeffrey A. Baker
9:00 - 10:00 PM *	"Charles Collins for President"
10:00 - 11:00 PM *	"Radio Free World" - Anthony J. Hilder
11:00 - MIDNIGHT *	"Call to Decision" - Pastor Butch Paugh

Sunday:

MIDNIGHT - 4:00 AM	AmeriNet's Choice Selections - T
4:00 - 5:00 AM	"Intollerant Talk Radio" - T
5:00 - 6:00 AM	"Fountain of Truth" - T
6:00 - 7:00 AM	"Call to Decision" - T
7:00 - 8:00 AM	"CDR Info Hour" - T
8:00 - 10:00 AM	"World of Prophecy" - T
10:00 - 11:00 AM	"The Baker Report" - T
11:00 - NOON	"Sound of the Trumpet" - T
NOON - 2:00 PM	AmeriNet's Choice Selections - T
2:00 - 3:00 PM	"Prophetic Perspective" - Steven Wright
3:00 - 4:00 PM	"News Free Press" - Barbara Brainerd
4:00 - 6:00 PM *	"The Baker Report" - T
6:00 - 7:00 PM *	"Heaven's Fire" - Pastor Lyle Baker
7:00 - 8:00 PM *	"Tour of Duty" - Sgt. Richard Cabral
8:00 - 9:00 PM	"Fountain of Truth" - Dr. David Wolfe
9:00 - 10:00 PM	"Brightness of His Glory" - Pastor "Skip" Horton

Saturday:

Midnight - 1:00 AM	"The Alan Stang Show" - T
1:00 - 2:00 AM	"Apocalypse Chronicles" - T
2:00 - 3:00 AM	"Science & Reason" - T
3:00 - 4:00 AM	"Blueprint for Survival" - T
4:00 - 5:00 AM	"Newswatch Magazine" - T
5:00 - 6:00 AM	"Open Forum" - T
6:00 - 7:00 AM	"Restoration" - T
7:00 - 9:00 AM	"Baker Report" - T
9:00 - 10:00 AM	"Charles Collins for President" - T
10:00 - 11:00 AM	"Radio Free World" - T
11:00 - NOON	"Call to Decision" - T
NOON - 4:00 PM	AmeriNet's Choice Selections - T
4:00 - 5:00 PM *	"Intollerant Talk Radio" - Cliff Scott
5:00 - 6:00 PM *	"Fountain of Truth" - Dr. David Wolfe
6:00 - 7:00 PM	"Call to Decision" - T
7:00 - 8:00 PM *	"Mr. Green Thumb" - Stan DeFreitas
8:00 - 9:00 PM *	"Sound of the Trumpet" - Dr. Greg Dixon
9:00 - 10:00 PM	"The Baker Report" - T
10:00 - 11:00 PM *	"World of Prophecy" - T - Texe Marrs
11:00 - MIDNIGHT	"World of Prophecy" - T

10:00 - 11:00 PM *	"Old School Baptist Hour" Pastor Fred Phelps
11:00 - MIDNIGHT	"The Health Nugget" - Dr. Ede Koenig

Monday Midnight - 11:00 AM

MIDNIGHT - 2:00 AM	"AmeriNet's Choice Selections" - T
2:00 - 3:00 AM	"Prophetic Perspective" - T
3:00 - 4:00 AM	"News Free Press" - T
4:00 - 5:00 AM	"America at Crossroads" - T
5:00 - 6:00 AM	"The Baker Report" - T
6:00 - 7:00 AM	"Heaven's Fire" - T
7:00 - 8:00 AM	"Mr. Green Thumb" - T
8:00 - 9:00 AM	"Fountain of Truth" - T
9:00 - 10:00 AM	"AmeriNet's Choice" - T
10:00 - 11:00 AM	"Old School Baptist Hour" - T

* - Simulcast on Shortwave noon - 6PM 9.400, 6PM - 5.085; T - Pre-recorded Programming

WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA

WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA's purpose is to educate and inform Americans on critical issues of the day from a Constitutional, limited government, personal responsibility, and traditional & Christian values points of view.

Our goal is to bring you information generally not available from today's controlled mainstream media, both print and electronic. This includes information about the continuing drive to force America, and all nations, into a planned socialist one-world government tyranny with the elimination of our God-given and Constitutionally guaranteed freedoms. Together, let's bring America back to the greatness it's capable of.

Permission is granted, unless otherwise noted, to reproduce any material from WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA as long as credit is given to WUCA along with originating source listed.

Subscriptions are \$36 for twelve issues.

Greg Carroll *Publisher & Editor*
Rick Barton *Advertising Sales*
Tammy Hemingway *Art Direction*

WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA

P.O. Box 280488

Lakewood, CO 80228-0488

(303) 526-5023 Fax: (303) 526-2043

check out our website:

<http://eazy.net/users/wakeup>

HELP DISTRIBUTE THE TRUTH

If you would like to distribute copies of WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA in your neighborhood or to your group, call us at (303) 526-5023 for our very reasonable bulk quantity pricing of \$35.00 per 100 plus shipping. *Help Wake-up your fellow Americans!*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Globalists Are Coming For Your Children	4
Advancing Technology Ending Controlled Media Monopoly	6
Patrick Henry's Call To Arms	7
The Disarmament of the United States	8
Gun Control Programs Prove Fruitless	8
Bio-War Research May Have Produced Aids and Gulf Diseases	9
The Immoral Poisoning of our Drinking Water	10
New Regs Permit Human Experimentation Without Consent.....	12
Why Gold Is Best Not Held In An IRA	13
A Wrecking Ball For Your Neighborhood	14
The Courage To Try	15
It's Christmas?	15
Washington Takes Over Health Care	16
Our National Anthem... And Hymn	18
Poor Switzerland!	18
The FDR Sell-Out of America	19
Gun Control - Gateway To Tyranny	22
Exterminating People The Politically Correct Way	23
Why Such A Chaotic Society Today?	24
What Unions Won't Tell Their Members	25
"Rejected From Hell"	25
Baa, Baa, Say The Sheeple	26
Enviro's: Bears More Important Than People	26
Outcome Based Education: Pathway to Socialism	27
Warning: "Big Brother" On Board	29
Who Really Benefits From The "Drug War"	30
The Coming Russian Bailout	31
Comrades Seeger and King, Our "Heroes"	31
Media Monopolies Give Us Controlled "News"	32
Caution: Vaccinations May Be Hazardous to Your Health	33
Total Communications Privacy May Soon Be Reality	33
The Real American Dream	34
The Coming United Nations Takeover of America	34
Idiotic Policies Fomenting Revolution	35
Enviro Groups Receive Big Bucks From Uncle Sam	37
Briefs	38-39
Back Issues Available	40

HAD ENOUGH OF BIG MEDIA DECEPTION?

ARE YOU HUNGRY TO LEARN WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON IN THE WORLD?

If so, then you should Subscribe To WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA, today!

We read through quality sources from across the country and bring you a balance of the best and most important information out there... information you will not receive from today's controlled big media. If you think you are getting the full story on anything from the talking heads and big city papers - dream on!

But if you are interested in getting past the propogands of today's mass media and willing to learn the truth, WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA is your source. People have said that WUCA is the best paper of its kind they have seen. Judge for yourself!

We are unapologetically for America First, pro-Constitution, for limited government as envisioned by this country's Founders, pro-free enterprise, and for returning to the self-reliant principles that made America strong before this country was turned into a social/welfare state with out-of-control government regulating everything from cradle to grave.

We are affordable at just \$36 for twelve information packed issues... so subscribe today. Remember... Knowledge Is Power... it gives you the ability to make INFORMED decisions in your life.

YES!

Please sign me up for 12 issues of
WAKE-UP CALL AMERICAS for just
\$36. Enclosed is a check or money order.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____

State _____ Zip _____

Mail to:

WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA
P.O. Box 280488
Lakewood, CO 80228-0488

THE GLOBALISTS ARE COMING FOR YOUR CHILDREN

If the UN Child Rights Treaty, Already Passed by Most Nations, Is Approved in the U.S., Parents Will Have Virtually No Authority to Discipline Their Children

The Communists, the socialists of the French Revolution, the Nazis and today's socialists knew (know) that if they could gain control of children (and their minds), if they could separate children from the anti-socialist, reactionary, traditionalist religious influence of their parents and families, that they could control the next generation and those to follow it - that they could control the future.

John Dewey, the secular/humanist "father" of modern American education, understood the concept. He once said that if the schools could keep the children from 7:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., if they could keep the children preoccupied with sports from after school till 6:00 p.m., if they could keep them busy with homework all evening, that they could keep the parents from having more than 30 minutes to an hour per day with their children, and that WITHIN A GENERATION THE SCHOOLS COULD BREAK THE INFLUENCE OF THE PARENTS AND THEIR RELIGION ON THE CHILDREN. Has this happened in America via our government/socialist-controlled school system? Indeed it has!

The Communists in Russia, China, Cuba, South Africa, etc. have also proven that children can be very useful tools in a Communist revolution. In China, Cuba, and Russia, children were taught to spy on their parents and report to school or government officials reactionary, anti-revolutionary, or Christian teaching or tendencies on the part of their parents. Tens of thousands of Chinese parents were turned in to the Communists government by their own children, and some were even executed by their children under the watchful eye of the Red officials.


Children are taught by the Communists during and after the revolution to rebel against and hate everything their parents stand for - their traditional values, their religion, their discipline, etc. During the South African revolution, Black children were taught by the Communist (ANC/SACP) cadres to hate their parents, to rebel in every way against their parents and their beliefs and values, to report parents who opposed the revolution and the Communists, and even to kill parents. Hundreds (perhaps thousands) of Black parents (or other adults) were killed by children ranging from ages 7 to 15 during the South African revolution.

The global socialists who wish to establish a world dictatorship over the next five

to ten years, which THEY call the New World Order or New Civilization or the New International Order, know that many adults and parents, who are steeped in the old, "outmoded" nationalist, Constitutionalist, traditionalist, religious values CANNOT be re-educated for the new dispensation.

But they believe that if they can break the hold and eliminate the influence of the par-

ronmental crisis," "the drug crisis," "the money laundering crisis," "the firearms crisis" and numerous other crises - real, imagined, or manufactured - have been used by governments, the global socialists and the political left as an excuse to put Draconian, freedom strangling controls on Americans, so the "crisis of child abuse" is to be used in the same way.



ents on their children; if they can instill in them secular/humanists, non or anti-religious thinking; if they can weaken them through promiscuous (or hedonist) sexual behavior or drugs; if they can program them to be obedient, passive, citizens (or is it serfs?) in the emerging Global Neighborhood, that they can control (as Hitler so aptly put it) "the next generation and the future."

Hence, a whole series of initiatives are emanating from the political left across America on a local, state, and federal level; from the government education system (including the leftists National Education Association); from the one-world globalist establishment; and from the United Nations, which will eliminate parental rights, traditional freedoms, and religion (or Christianity) as we have known them.

Just as the "crisis of terrorism," "the envi-

The political left all over the world are now escalating the drumbeat regarding the "global crisis of child abuse" in an effort to pass and implement national and international laws "emancipating children"; restricting parents' rights to raise their children as they see fit; converting the primary responsibility for raising children from parents and family to government; and breaking the parents' influence (especially religious) over their children.

Since 1989, the global socialists have been pushing the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. It has been ratified by 187 countries, has been signed by the Clinton Administration, and is likely to be submitted by Clinton to the U.S. Senate for ratification early in his second term. THE UN CONVENTION WILL UTTERLY DESTROY ALL PARENTAL RIGHTS, CONTROL OR INFLUENCE OVER

THEIR CHILDREN, MAKING CHILDREN IN AMERICA (and all other countries who have signed the UN treaty) VIRTUAL PROPERTY OF THE STATE - just as is the case in hardcore Communist countries such as China, Cuba, North Korea, etc. Under this treaty, traditionalist parents who resist the state's control over their children will be "re-educated" if possible, and if not, they will be jailed, fined, have their children taken from them, or all three.

Since there has been stiff political opposition across America to this UN children's treaty, the political left is trying a second approach - to pass key elements of the treaty on a state-by-state basis in the various state legislatures, and even on a local basis. So they are pushing from the bottom up and from the top down to destroy parents' rights and convert our children to wards of the state.

"DOES THE UN AGENDA SOUND TOO RADICAL TO BE REAL? ...COMPARE ITS PLANS WITH THOSE OF OUR POLITICAL LEADERS. THE PROCESS IS ALREADY WELL UNDERWAY"

Hillary Clinton, who has recently written a book on the government control of children ("It Takes a Village") will be helping to lead the charge in the Clinton's second administration. Protecting "children from child abuse" will be how the left will package this takeover, and traditionalist, conservative, religious, Christian parents will be the primary targeted "child abusers."

Over the years, MIA has written much about the United Nations - its domination by Communists and globalists since its inception in 1945; its agenda for population, environmental, economic and people control and global-socialism; its New World Army to be used to impose global government on the peoples and nations of the world; and how the UN is to be the primary vehicle for imposing the coming global government on planet earth.

A series of UN-sponsored (or related) conferences (World Conference on Education in 1990 in Thailand; Earth Summit in 1992 in Rio; the UN World Conference on Human Rights in 1993 in Vienna and the Global Tribunal on Violation of Women's Human Rights; the 1993 Parliament of World Religions in Chicago; the UN Women's Conference in 1995 in Beijing; The Gorbachev Forum in San Francisco in 1995; the 1995 Global Conference on the International Year of the Family in Salt Lake City; the UN World Conference for Social Development in 1995 in Copenhagen; the UN Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) in 1996 in Istanbul, etc.) are all signs of the accelerating fast track agenda of the global so-

cialists toward imposing a world socialist dictatorship over the next 5 to 10 years.

To implement these objectives, UN programs are being (at the present time) set up to train, monitor and control the global workforce; to control the global environment; to control consumption, population, gender equality, and where and how people live (Habitat II focused on forcing populations into dense, highly populated urban areas - where people control can be more easily facilitated); the training of every person to embrace global spirituality; and the control of families via the nationalization of all children. (*WUCA comment:* In the mainstream news recently, the plans of the socialist controlled EPA have been presented to micro-manage emissions more tightly under the pretense of making the air healthier for everyone. Of course, this is just an excuse, as usual, for more people control. In this case they are saying outright that Americans will have to rethink the way they live and that we need to have more dense and compact living conditions in cities, allegedly to cut down on air pollution. This is simply the way enviro-radical socialists justify eliminating the automobile so as to limit mobility and freedom, and help accomplish their people control agenda. These societal leeches could care less about your health, what they want to do is control every aspect of your life, using any phony fabrication they think a gullible public will buy.)

Does the UN agenda sound too radical to be real? If you doubt its influence in America, compare its plans with those of our political leaders. Start with Goals 2000 and study its blueprint for a "global neighborhood." Notice how the two plans fit together. Compare them with Hillary Clinton's book, "It Takes a Village". The process is already well underway.

THE UN CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD

A classic illustration of the UN's globalist, people control agenda is this nefarious treaty, which, if adopted in America, will destroy ALL parental rights, and make all American children the possession of the state.

The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child was adopted by the UN General Assembly on November 20, 1989, was signed by Madeline Albright (U.S. Ambassador to the UN) on February 16, 1995, and will be submitted to the U.S. Senate for ratification - probably early in Clinton's second term. The Clinton Administration HAS MADE RATIFICATION OF THE CHILDREN'S TREATY A MAJOR POLICY OBJECTIVE.

This UN treaty, already ratified (and therefore the law of the land) in 187 countries, will allow the government (or the world government under the UN/NWO) to dictate to

you how you raise your children, what you can and cannot do, and the role that the state will have in raising your children.

If ratified, the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child will be implemented in the U.S. and virtually destroy the parental rights we presently enjoy. This treaty will give all children the "right" to freedom of association, freedom of expression, freedom of religion; the "right" to privacy (which includes the right to abortion); the "right" to choose public schools; the "right" of access to media materials (including TV); etc. Any parent who infringes on his/her children's "rights" in these areas could be prosecuted with the possibility of having the children removed from the home. The treaty virtually prohibits corporal punishment of children.

"THIS UN TREATY WILL ALLOW THE GOVERNMENT TO DICTATE TO YOU HOW YOU RAISE YOUR CHILDREN, WHAT YOU CAN & CANNOT DO... AND VIRTUALLY DESTROY THE PARENTAL RIGHTS WE PRESENTLY ENJOY"

Spanking of children is specifically defined as criminal child abuse and the treaty MANDATES THAT SUCH CHILD ABUSERS BE JAILED AND HAVE THEIR CHILDREN TAKEN FROM THEM. In France, in the first few days following the ratification of the treaty, seven families had their children taken from them and the parents jailed for the new international crime of child abuse, spanking their children.

The Bible has much to say about discipline of children, and why it breaks the rebellion (found in all of us) at a young age. As Proverbs 22:25 says: "Folly is bound up in the heart of a child, but the rod of discipline will drive it far from him." Proverbs 29:15 says: "The rod of correction imparts wisdom, but a child left to himself disgraces his mother." Proverbs 13:24 says: "He who spares the rod, hates his son, but he who loves him is careful to discipline him." And Proverbs 23:13-14 says: "Do not withhold discipline from a young child; if you punish him with the rod he will not die. Punish him with the rod and save his soul from death."

Many millions of Christians and Jews worldwide follow these teachings, and are now (with ratification of the UN Convention) to be branded instant criminals - subject to losing their children and going to jail. The socialists and the New World Order may have set the greatest trap of all with this treaty. They know that millions of Christians, Jews, and traditionalists will disobey this treaty - and many will become instant targets of the government child grabbers.

This wolf in sheep's clothing will, if
Continued on page 36 ...

ADVANCING TECHNOLOGY ENDING CONTROLLED MEDIA MONOPOLY

Keeping the Truth From the Masses Has Always Been Required by Tyrants, But With Today's High-Tech Communications It is No Longer Possible to Hide the Truth From Those Who Seek It

Regardless of apparent current political trends, there is actually substantial reason for optimism that truth will prevail in the near term. The principal reason for this optimism is that computer technology is rapidly destroying all central monopolies on the dissemination of information. Monopolistic control over information has historically been an indispensable aspect of tyranny. It is easy to concoct a lie, but it is not so easy to keep the lie viable in the minds of people unless their sources of information are under tight control. Virtually all tyrannies - whether they involve small groups of people or entire nations - involve mechanisms for the control of information.

This control does not necessarily imply a book-burning police state. Small groups can be controlled simply by instilling a bias against radios, computers, "unapproved" books, and other information sources - and a preference for information supplied selectively by the group's leadership, which, of course, functions only with the best of intentions.

In order to control states and nations, however, it is necessary to control the press and other media and to control educational institutions. While this can be done by direct force as in many communist countries, in more free societies it must be established gradually through economic and political means and by a long process of individual screening so that information sources are largely staffed by those with the desired political biases.

The extreme leftist, anti-free enterprise bias in American educational institutions, news and entertainment media did not arise overnight. It has required generations of work. Now, however, that work is beginning to unravel in a technological maelstrom. There are two computer technologies responsible for this revolution.

The first of these is in the rate at which information can be transferred by means of wires, fiber optic cables, and satellites. The July and August issues of the "Gilder Technology Report" (Monument Mills, P.O. Box 660, Housatonic, MA 01236) definitively describe and analyze this advance.

George Gilder points out that the initial computer revolution occurred when technological advance made the transistor almost free. This allowed the mass production of equipment containing millions of transistors and thereby the virtual miracles in comput-

ing power that are now available at low cost to all Americans.

Now, new advances in electronics are making the real-time communication of information almost free as well. This is only the beginning. With emerging technologies that Gilder describes in detail in these newsletters, it will soon be possible to transmit essentially unlimited information at very low cost to almost any location. This capability is so great that the coming generations of computers are expected to have ways of capturing and using this information without requiring that it pass through the central processing units, which are far too slow to keep up with it.

The effect of this revolution will be to give every individual, regardless of his location, the ability to be mentally present at any other location. This freedom of location will transform human affairs and simultaneously destroy the barriers to information transfer that those who trade in the control of people by disinformation require.

The second advance is that of information storage. Current CD-ROM technology allows the storage of about 600 megabytes of information on a plastic disk that can be manufactured for about one dollar and read into an ordinary desk-top computer in a few minutes. One of these disks can hold about 3,000 books as text files or about 50 books as 300 dot per inch image files. New CD-ROMs that are to be marketed late in 1996 or in 1997 will hold about 10 times as much information - about 30,000 books. Fifty dollars worth of these new CD-ROMs can hold a university library of one million books.

"MONOPOLISTIC CONTROL OVER INFORMATION HAS HISTORICALLY BEEN AN INDISPENSABLE ASPECT OF TYRANNY... IN ORDER TO CONTROL STATES & NATIONS, IT IS NECESSARY TO CONTROL THE PRESS AND OTHER MEDIA..."

CDs, however, only store information in two dimensions. "New Dye Adds Depth to Data Storage" by C. Wu in "Science News", describes one of the three-dimensional data storage methods that is being developed. This particular method depends upon a block of material which contains dye molecules that fluoresce after a two-photon absorption.

This allows three-dimensional encoding of the location and energy state of the dye molecules. It is estimated that one cubic centimeter (a volume approximately equal to 30 ordinary-sized drops of water and weighing 1/30 of an ounce) of this material could store as much as 1,000 current CD-ROMs - a three million book library. One ounce of this material could store 100 million books as text files. Even using high quality image files (with perhaps simple, uncleaned OCR behind them to allow text search), one ounce would hold 25,000 books or about 400,000 books per pound. Audio and video capacity with appropriate compression is spectacularly large as well.

Therefore, both by wire transfer and by inexpensive physical storage, the academic monopoly on published information is being shattered, and the corporate media and government monopolies on information dissemination are being destroyed.

Why attend a university where retired-in-place tenured academics hoard information and disseminate it grudgingly in second-rate lectures and books, when the entire life's work and lectures or the most accomplished scholars alive can be stored on a few CD-ROMs and delivered to any home by wire or on plastic for negligible cost?

Why be brainwashed by media moguls and their retainers who mis-report current affairs to serve their personal political agendas, when essentially free bandwidth can effectively transport you to the events themselves and provide direct communication with the participants?

A major growth industry within this river of information will be in defining quality. Entrepreneurs must reach into this river and extract quality for delivery to the marketplace, since individuals will lack time to sift through the river by themselves. What is the highest quality information? The highest quality is that which is the truth and in which the truth is communicated most effectively. Who will be the judges of these qualities? The market place will judge. An honestly earned reputation for integrity will once again be among each person's most valuable possessions. Economic power to control media resources and monopolies on the distribution of information will no longer confer pseudo-credibility upon the holders of this power, since the cost of disseminating and

Continued on bottom page 7

PATRICK HENRY'S CALL TO ARMS

Patrick Henry, 1736-1799, was one of the greatest and most inspiring of all American patriots. His short speech (slightly edited below) was made on March 23, 1775 before the Second Virginia Convention, which had been moved from the House of Burgesses to St. John's Church in Richmond because of the mounting tension between the Colonies and the British Crown. It was one of the most moving and memorable speeches in U. S. History. His "Give me liberty or give me death" inspired the colonist to take up arms in the Cause of Freedom and launched a new nation toward its divinely directed destiny. His words are immortal, his message timeless, and the cause everlasting - FREEDOM!

"It is natural to man to indulge in the illusions of hope. We are apt to shut our eyes against a painful truth. Is this the part of wise men, engaged in a great and arduous struggle for liberty? Are we disposed to be of the number of those, who, having eyes, see not, and having ears, hear not, the things which so nearly concern their temporal salvation? For my part, whatever anguish of spirit it may cost, I am willing to know the whole truth; to know the worst, and to provide for it.

"I have but one lamp by which my feet are guided; and that is the lamp of experience. I know of no way of judging of the future but by the past. Let us not, I beseech you, deceive ourselves longer. We have done everything that could be done to avert the

storm which is now coming on. We have petitioned; we have remonstrated; we have supplicated; we have prostrated ourselves before the throne, and have implored its interposition to arrest the tyrannical hands of the ministry and parliament. Our petitions have been slighted; our remonstrances have produced

additional violence and insult; our supplications have been disregarded; and we have been spurned, with contempt.

"If we wish to be free - we must fight! I repeat, we must fight! An appeal to arms and to God is all that is left us!

"They tell us, that we are weak - unable to cope with so formidable an adversary. But when shall we be stronger? Will it be the next week, or the next year? Will it be

when we are totally disarmed? Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance by lying supinely on our backs and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies shall have bound us hand and foot?

"We are not weak if we make a proper use of those means which the God of nature has placed in our power. Three millions of people armed in the holy cause of liberty, and in such a country as that which we possess, are invincible. Besides, we shall not fight our battles alone. There is a just God who presides over the destinies of nations, who will raise up friends to fight our battles for us. The battle is not to the strong alone; it is the

vigilant, the active, the brave.

"Many cry 'Peace, peace' - But there is no peace. The war is actually begun! Why stand we here idle? Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it Almighty God! I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!"

The above was put together (with some small additions by WUCA) by John Grady, M.D. president of the American Pistol and Rifle Association, now merged with Gun Owners of America. GOA is an outstanding advocate fighting for protection of our Second Amendment rights. GOA can be contacted at: 8001 Forbes Place, Suite 102, Springfield, VA 22151.



PATRICK HENRY

... continued from page 32

All of this has proceeded without serious question by government. The Justice Department's antitrust division has been under heavy sedation. By now, the corporations that dominate our media, like the alcoholic cats, treat this situation as theirs by right. For them, economic competition means dividing the major markets among the giants, while pretending that the field is still a fair one because the one-book-a-year publishers are free to battle it out with Gulf & Western (owner of Simon & Schuster and Paramount Pictures).

The American audience, having been exposed to a narrowing range of ideas over the

decades, often assumes that what it sees and hears in the major media is all there is.

It is no way to maintain a lively marketplace of ideas, which is to say that it is no way to maintain a democracy. (*WUCA comment*: or a REPUBLIC, which America theoretically still is.)

The 1993 edition of "The Media Monopoly" is available through FAIR for \$14 plus \$1.50 postage and handling. Send check or money order to FAIR Sales Department, 130 West 25th Street, New York, NY 10001.

THE FREE AMERICAN
Hwy. 380, Box 2943
Bingham, NM 8783

... continued from page 6

receiving information will be negligible. With virtually all entrepreneurs having the economic capability to extract and send information, those who build the highest reputations for integrity will become the more widely utilized.

Moreover, I predict that small entrepreneurs entirely outside of the power structures of media and education will be the most successful. People who remain within those power structures will be institutionally hampered by the prejudices and agendas of the institutions. It will be much more difficult for them to build reputations of integrity.

The most influential news sources might become single, adventurous reporters who are self-employed, gain a reputation for depth and accuracy, and are not beholden to any organization or group whose interests must be considered when reporting the news. They could travel to interesting places and events, gather facts and analysis, and then make themselves available to anyone who wishes to tune them in.

No one can predict the ultimate events that will accompany the new informational freedom. It is, however, obvious that the lies that now keep us in bondage manage to survive only because they are favored by those who control the enormous amounts of capital required to effectively communicate with the public. As that economic control is ended by low-cost technology, truth will be able to compete on a level playing field with fiction. I think that there will then be a very high free market preference for truth.

ACCESS TO ENERGY
P.O. Box 1250
Cave Junction, OR 97523

THE DISARMAMENT OF THE UNITED STATES

Why the U.S. Military and American Citizens Are Being Disarmed - the Documented Proof

Do you want to know why public officials are voting to take away your firearms?

If you want to know why, go to your local library, no matter where you live in the United States. Tell the librarian to show you where the United States Code books are shelved. There are 25 books in the set and they are reddish brown in color. They are printed by the Government Printing Office in Washington, D.C. These hard covered books are printed every eight to ten years. They are updated with annual soft back supplements each year until a new hard cover issue comes out. At the present time, the 1988 hard backs are on library shelves.

Open volume 9. The page numbers are in the center, near the middle binding. The section numbers are along the edges.

Turn to page 651. Here you will find Public Law 87-297 which calls for the United States to eliminate its armed forces. This law was signed for the United States in 1961. John F. Kennedy signed it and every president since has worked to enact its provisions. The government knows you will not approve, which is why they want to take away your firearms. (This is Title 22 USC section 2551).

Turn to page 652. Here you will find the definition of what the government means by disarmament. The disarmament calls for the elimination of our armed forces. It also calls for the elimination of weapons of all kinds. (This is Title 22 USC 2252 (a)).

Turn to page 654. Here you will find it stated as item (a) "control, reduction and elimination of armed forces..." and as Item (d) "...Elimination of armed forces..." What you need to know is that your armed forces are being eliminated from national control which, in turn, wipes out our sovereignty as a nation. In two stages, we will have no more army, no more navy, no more air force. In the third stage, we shall have a "zero" military. Before Stage I closes, all citizen owned guns will be banned. (This is Title 22 USC section 2571 (a)).

"THE CONGRESS KNOWS THAT THE PLAN INCLUDES THE POLICING OF THE UNITED STATES BY FOREIGN TROOPS"



Public Law 87-297 is further explained in the State Department Document, called Publication 7277. Your librarian can also furnish you a copy. Ask the librarian to get you a copy of "The Blue Print for the Peace Race." It is a 35 page booklet printed by the United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency as Publication No. 4 - General Series 3 - Released May, 1962. Publication

No. 4 is the unabridged version of State Department Document 7277. Also, look up 'Disarmament' in the UN Yearbook.

Both of these booklets explain how our military is to be reduced to 2.1 million men. China and the Soviets are to be reduced to that level also. At this point, we are at Stage I, at which time we are to transfer (on a permanent basis) one-half of our armed forces

to be merged with the Russian and Chinese armies. In Stage II the remaining one-half of our armed forces is then turned over to this same Security Council of the United Nations. The person in charge of the merged armies must, by agreement, always be a Russian. The world's smaller nations turn 100 percent of their armies over to the same
Continued on bottom of page 9

IF YOU WERE A CROOK, WHICH AREA WOULD YOU WANT TO AVOID?



GUN CONTROL PROGRAMS PROVE FRUITLESS

BUY-BACK FLOP

In 1993, a gun buy-back program in Salt Lake City resulted in the surrender of some 1,125 firearms. Encouraged by that supposed "success," another buy-back program was implemented this past June (1996). This time, only 264 weapons were turned in for \$25 each during the two-week program. Nevertheless, officials described it as another anti-crime triumph. Salt Lake City police Captain Judy Dencker was quoted in the "Salt Lake Tribune" as saying, "Even if we took 10 guns it would have been a success."

The next day, the "Tribune" reported that some "91 drive-by shootings were reported in the first five months of this year, compared to 60 during the same period last year..." Which should hardly come as a surprise when law enforcement efforts are directed toward disarming the law-abiding while the criminal element snickers and

stays armed to the proverbial teeth.

AMMO LAW FAILS

Last year, the Pasadena city council passed an ordinance requiring the registration of persons who purchase ammunition. The "Los Angeles Times" reported on September 6th that "For a few months, the ordinance was the hottest crime-fighting tool in the country, with similar measures adopted from Azusa to Beverly Hills. A year later, a Pasadena city analysis determined that the measure had "little or no effect in stopping violence." Ammunition sales dropped 50% after the law's passage, in part because two gun shops were forced out of business, but "police said the registration information was useless in helping them to solve crimes, and most bullets in shootings were bought illegally anyway."

THE NEW AMERICAN
PO Box 8040
Appleton, WI 54913

BIO-WAR RESEARCH MAY HAVE PRODUCED AIDS AND GULF DISEASES

Because Aids and Gulf War Syndrome Have a Unique Genetic Structure Not Found in Nature, Researchers Believe the Deadly Viruses Were Developed in Government Bio-Labs

Medical officials around the country have reported that Gulf War veterans are ill with a "disease" which is "contagious." Spouses and children are coming down with the illness.

Many now believe it was caused by "biological warfare." Microorganisms found in Gulf War Syndrome (GWS) create a virus found in the blood which matches "altered genetic structures" found in AIDS. This virus attacks the immune system. It is currently found only in GWS and AIDS. These viruses have the same lab created genetic structure, according to researchers.

Scientists have long suspected the existence of a "lab-created" virus which attacks the immune system. In January 1986, biologists Prof. Jakob and Dr. Lilli Segal published a pamphlet entitled, "AIDS: USA Home-Made Evil; Not Imported from Africa".

The two scientists, a biophysicist and a biologist affiliated with the Humboldt University in Berlin, pointed out that examination of the genes making up HIV retrovirus revealed it "could not have come about by a natural way known to biologists." They called the virus a "chimera," originally created in 1977 at Fort Detrick, Maryland.

The Segals were not the only researchers to suggest "genetic engineering" was involved with AIDS. Dr. John Seale, a London venereologist, also stated that the molecular structure of HIV suggested "manipulation of viruses" rather than a natural mutation from known retroviruses. And Dr. Robert Strecker, a Los Angeles internist, has made the same assertion.

These advocates of the artificial HIV theory all note the structural similarities of HIV to Visna virus, a pathogen found in sheep with similarities to AIDS. The scientists argue that the Visna virus was artificially combined with the HTLV-1 virus, another retrovirus which causes human lymphoma, a cancer of the white blood cells.

Today scientists can attach genes to certain carrier genes. These linked genes, called recombinant DNA, are then conveyed inside a host cell, which virtually adopts the new gene as one of its own. The object of most current experiments is to transplant genes from the host to bacteria.

These tractable, microscopic cells proliferate faster than rabbits. On a simple cheap diet, a single bacterium makes a few billion copies of itself overnight. Its DNA, including that of the transplanted gene, is reproduced at the same rate.

DEADLY COMBINATION

Biochemists at Stanford University have found a technique for combining DNA from "two different sources" and putting it into a common bacterium. The bacterium they chose was "E.coli bacteria," commonly found in the intestine of every living person.

Modified E.coli, containing certain cancer genes, could become a biological time bomb. The bacterium, carrying its little package of cancer genes, could then be passed as easily as the common cold from one human being to another.

"TODAY, DESIGNER VIRUSES ARE LIMITED ONLY BY THE IMAGINATION OF THE SCIENTIST CREATING THEM"

The U.S. is not the only country to have this technology. A classified report prepared for the U.S. National Security Council and obtained by the "Washington Times" claimed "the Soviet Union was using genetic engineering to create virulent new biological weapons that could be deployed as early as 1989 - if in fact some had not already been deployed."

Robert H. Kuperman, a chemical warfare expert at Georgetown University's Center for Strategic and International Studies, told the "Times" that "it's like making designer drugs." A report was prepared by the CIA and the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency which outlined extensive violations by the Soviets of two anti-biological warfare treaties. The report noted "the Soviets were using recent advances in biotechnol-

ogy, such as 'genetic engineering,' to develop a new class of CBW [chemical, biological warfare] agents that can be rapidly produced for deployment."

Today, designer viruses are limited only by the imagination of the scientist creating them. Bio-labs in both the United States and abroad are in a race to find vaccines which build the human immune system - or search out and destroy killer cells.

A new study, recently released by Dr. Jeffrey Leiden, director of a research team at the University of Chicago, showed that genes can be injected directly into "muscle tissue" to cause production of proteins needed to correct some blood disorders, such as anemia.

Genes in the injection are taken in the nucleus of cells in the muscle tissue and become, in effect, a pharmaceutical factory, increasing red blood cell levels as high as 64 percent. In the past, gene therapy involved using "viruses" to transfer genes into the body, a technique that was "poorly controlled," according to Leiden.

The ultimate irony of the 21st century could be the development of vaccines, in response to the threat of biological warfare, which boost the human immune system to such a degree that people are completely disease resistant. The question is, with overpopulation looming on the horizon, would the U.S. government release such a vaccine to the general populace?

THE SPOTLIGHT

300 Independence Ave. S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003

.... Continued from page 8

Under Secretary of the Security Council in Stage II. President George Bush and Admiral Wm. J. Crowe [have referred] to this process as being; "in transition."

Turn to page 655. On this page in Volume 9 of the United States Code, read "Policy Formation." The directives there (written in 1963 to pacify objectors) are supposedly to restrain anyone from disarmament, reducing or limiting our armaments, or taking guns away from the people unless it is pursuant to the treaty making power of the president, or if it is authorized by further legislation by the Congress. (This is title 22, Section 2573.)

Every couple of years the House of Representatives votes to appropriate funds for

this on-going program. Since P.L. 87-297 was first passed into law in 1961, there have been 18 updates to it - all bad - with no deletions of these issues I lay before you now. The Congress knows that the plan includes the policing of the United States by foreign troops. (The world army they are forming.) The Congress is allowing our military bases to be closed down, except for those which will be used by the world government.

(Editor's Note: The above material was prepared and distributed by Bernadine Smith of the Second Amendment Committee, P.O. Box 1776, Hanford, CA 93232.)

THE NATIONAL EDUCATOR
918 W. Lincoln Ave., #2
Anaheim, CA 92805

THE IMMORAL POISONING OF OUR DRINKING WATER

The Battle Over Water Fluoridation Has Gone on For Decades, But the Scientific Evidence Clearly Shows That the Many Dangerous Drawbacks to This Deadly Poison - Including Cancer and Innumerable Other Side Effects - Are In No Way Worth the Alleged Benefits

by Tom Valentine

If there has ever been a scientific and public health policy question with clear evidence of chicanery, ulterior motivation and the public-health-be-damned attitudes on the part of power brokers and their ignorant myrmidons, the fluoride issue is it.

No sensible person should deliberately allow fluoride in any amount to enter his or her body. Fluoride disrupts the function of normal metabolism. It is poison. What more do you need as a basis to say no?

For the past 50 years people have been conned into believing that fluoride is essential for hard tooth enamel and cavity-free teeth. However, fluoride is no more essential to the human diet than lead or arsenic - and it's as toxic. As you will see in this article, modern science has caught up with the lies about fluoride, even though the mainstream chooses to remain in the dark.

It is true that fluoride is widely distributed in nature and tiny amounts are unavoidable during one's lifetime. Healthy bodies can easily cope with tiny amounts of occasional fluoride - but to deliberately introduce toxic fluoride into public drinking water is one of the most brash, most improperly studied, most rotten things any governing body could ever do to its people.

Yet this poisonous program was originated and promulgated in the "land of the free, home of the brave."

Bitter ballot box battles and rhetoric wars have been fought for the past 50 years as a staunch minority stood up against the massive power of the fluoride lobby supported by the bottomless check books of the aluminum and phosphate industries. At The SPOTLIGHT, we honor those distinguished and fearless fluoridation opponents of yesteryear. They were right.

Millions of otherwise good and normal Americans who consider themselves intelligent and well-informed have long believed the propaganda of the fluoridationists and rejected as "kooks" or "health nuts" anyone who vehemently opposed the prospects of

having the community water poisoned.

Today, several outstanding scientists, physicians and, believe it or not, government employees, are turning down the inflammatory rhetoric and turning the volume up on the scientific truths that fluoridation of the water supplies was not only a bad idea - it was a killer just as the "health nuts" had screamed all along.

STUDY THE EVIDENCE

If we but open our eyes, the evidence is overwhelming that the fluoridation of water supplies provides the proverbial straw for the camel's back in millions of individual metabolisms. For example, as we speak, the heralded workmen's compensation program

For example, a few years ago you never heard of "carpal tunnel syndrome," but today it's breaking the workmen's compensation bank. Lee, and other competent, researchers point to fluoride from the fluoridated water supply as a key culprit in the failure of cartilage to repair, thus exacerbating the syndrome.

FORCED FLUORIDATION


And this is just the tip of the iceberg of metabolic and chronic disease conditions threatening to swallow our national prosperity.

In his classic book, "Fluoride, the Aging Factor", Dr. John Yiamouyiannis clearly linked the fluoridation of public water supplies with degeneration and disease, including cancer. The allegations in this work have been attacked by the mainstream, but they cannot be refuted scientifically. Fluoride is guilty as charged, but fluoridation continues in hundreds of U.S. municipal water systems and more laws demanding it are in the works.

This writer visited the St. Paul, Minnesota Water Utility complex, which supplied the water for our home for a few years. Here's what this writer learned:

Every six weeks

a tank car of hydrofluosilicic acid arrives and two corroded, ramshackle-looking little pumps meter this poison into the water supply at a rate of about 196 gallons per day, at the time of the visit in the spring of 1993. To provide the 1.2 parts per million (ppm) fluoride that has been bureaucratically contrived as somehow essential for children's teeth, St. Paul area water users pay about \$63,000 per year just for the chemical. The water from the Mississippi River has .2 ppm of fluoride naturally, so we pay to get it up to the "recommended level." We also pay for the bureaucracy of city and state required to monitor this poisoning of the water supply. Another cost citizens pay for, but are not necessarily aware of, is the cost of corrosion of the plumbing -



is in danger of bankruptcy because so many millions more claims are flowing in "unexpectedly." Aches and pains caused by the nature of one's job are so widespread the system is staggering.

"For cartilage to repair, the system must be fluoride free," said John R. Lee, MD. Cartilage damage occurs almost daily with active people. Healthy people, if there are any, will have metabolisms capable of repairing minor cartilage damage. However, modern Americans have a lot going against them because of our junk food addictions and chemicalized lifestyle. While it is not yet widely recognized, the added burden of deliberate fluoridation has compounded the problem of normal aches and pains immensely.

corrosion that is enhanced considerably by fluoridation.

To "protect themselves" from the poisoning of city water millions of concerned Americans have turned to home water treatment systems. Charcoal filtration removes the chlorination, but does not stop fluoride. To get rid of the fluoridation which you are paying for, you need to get a good reverse osmosis filtration system. Isn't science wonderful?

It is our purpose here to briefly cover the history of the controversial public health policy and call for a final campaign to end the insanity once and for all. Ultimately, opponents want to defeat fluoridation and give additional impetus to other cleansings sorely needed in the food and water supply if people are ever to improve general health.

The actual banner date in the history of fluoridation is August 2, 1944 when Dr. H. Trendley Dean of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) launched what was hailed as a pioneering dental research study on fluoridation with the city of Grand Rapids, Michigan.

The world was immersed in a terrible war, and this might explain in part why the proponents of this poisoning of the water supply faced very little opposition. Even then, both the American Dental Association (ADA) and the American Medical Association (AMA) published statements indicating they were concerned about the toxic nature of fluoride.

PROPAGANDA ATTACK

The "official" history of fluoridation was published in 1989 in the journal "Preventive Medicine". The only mention of opposition to the fluoridation programs reads as follows:

"Despite the successful fluoridation trials, the promoters of the process encountered considerable opposition that curtailed its institution by all of the nation's public drinking water systems. Political extremists on the right and left fought fluoridation. Some far-right conservatives called fluoridation a Communist plot to poison Americans; others to the left viewed fluoridation as a deprivation of their civil rights. Health food followers, against any food additives, regarded fluoride as an artificial additive. Several scientists and doctors out of the mainstream biomedical community campaigned against fluoridation. For over three decades these anti-fluoridationists used the referendum process to defeat attempts by civil leaders to install fluoridation in local water supplies."

That summary of the opposition may be impressive to millions of people, but it makes many of those knowledgeable feel talked down to. For example, the scornful tone of that last sentence implies no sane person would dare oppose civil leaders with that nasty referendum process. Of course, that's one of

the things a democracy is supposed to be about - challenging ideas at the ballot box.

Fluoride opponents also resent the cool dismissal of "health food followers, against any food additives," and "scientists and doctors out of the mainstream biomedical community" statements. Many health food followers are against chemical food additives. Since when does a physician have to be "inside the mainstream" to be accurate, truthful and correct? This attitude is typical of the scornful bureaucratic mind-set that dominates public policy writing.

"ABOUT 2 TO 3 PERCENT OF THE DEATHS EVERY YEAR CAN BE ATTRIBUTED TO FLUORIDE IN THE PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY"

"Preventive Medicine" concluded this accepted version of the history of fluoridation with the following:

"The health and economic gains from fluoridation were considerable. Dr. Harold Loe, director of the NIDR (National Institute of Dental Research) estimated that in the United States fluoridation cost annually only \$0.15 per person. The PHS (Public Health Service) reported that with every \$1.00 spent on fluoridation, the public saved \$50.00 in dental treatment."

"Consequently, fluoridation had provided an easy, mass means of improving the dental health of the world's children. The study at Grand Rapids indeed had supplied the launching point for this revolutionary method to help prevent a dental disease that had plagued humans for thousands of years."

The trouble is, none of that final paragraph is true.

Dr. Weston Price studied the teeth of people who lived isolated from modern civilization and had no toothbrushes and toothpastes - let alone fluoridation. He found many of these people had perfect teeth all their lives. Their natural diets did a much better job for these primitive people than all our so-called technical and scientific advances.

The official history does not mention a single word about an important part of the history.

WHAT'S LEFT OUT

"In 1938, Dr. Gerald Cox of the Mellon Institute [the Mellons were owners of an influential aluminum concern - Alcoa] began promoting the addition of fluoride to public water systems, claiming it would reduce tooth decay," Yiamouyiannis says.

So, 10 years after an Alcoa company town in Arkansas had a reported "dental fluorosis problem," a doctor in the employ of the industry scions began a campaign to fluoridate water.

What a terrific idea. The industry has a problem with this terribly polluting toxic

waste of fluoride, so why not sell it to every city water company in the world? Waste not, want not. These are business people, not fools.

The two major obstacles standing in the way of this promotion were the AMA and the ADA. As the pressure to accept the idea of fluoridation mounted, thanks to the constant lobbying of the industrial giants who had plenty of toxic fluoride to sell, the association caved in. The September 18, 1943 "AMA Journal" noted the following facts:

"Fluorides are general protoplasmic poisons, probably because of their capacity to modify the metabolism of cells by changing the permeability of the cell membrane and by inhibiting certain enzyme systems... The sources of fluorine intoxication are drinking water containing one part per million or more of fluorine, fluorine compounds such as insecticidal sprays for fruits and vegetables and the mining and conversion of phosphate rock to superphosphate, which is used as fertilizer... Another source of fluorine intoxication is from the fluorides used in the smelting of many metals, such as steel and aluminum, and in the production of glass, enamel and brick."

Following the initial fluoridation of the water supply in Grand Rapids, Michigan, the "Journal of the American Dental Association" warned:

"We do know the use of drinking water containing as little as 1.2 to 3.0 parts per million of fluorine will cause such developmental disturbances in bones, as osteosclerosis, spondylosis and osteopetrosis, as well as goiter, and we cannot afford to run the risk of producing such serious systemic disturbances in applying what is at present a doubtful procedure intended to prevent development of dental disfigurements among children."

"Because of our anxiety to find some therapeutic procedure that will promote mass prevention of cavities, the seeming potentialities of fluorine appear speculatively attractive, but, in the light of our present knowledge or lack of knowledge of the chemistry of the subject, the potentialities for harm far outweigh those for good."

Whatever happened to that sensible approach? They surely had enough chemical knowledge to know that fluorine wrecks havoc with human metabolism and cannot possibly be "good for you." What the official history does not chronicle is the lobbying pressure brought to bear on public health officials and the dental association. Yiamouyiannis covers it well in his excellent and timely book.

Today, as you peruse the latest information on this utterly immoral, scientifically
Continued on page 12

NEW REGS PERMIT HUMAN EXPERIMENTATION WITHOUT CONSENT

Dangerous Precedent Could Be Set on Human Experimentation That Has Been Firmly Rejected Since the Nuremberg Nazi Trials

"For the first time in a half century, new Federal regulations allow investigators to enroll patients in some medical research studies without their consent..." The "New York Times" reports (11/5/96, p.1).

"The patients must have a life-threatening condition, like a severe head injury, and must be unable to say whether they want to be part of a study. They would be selected only if it was not feasible to obtain consent from a relative."

NUREMBERG CODE OVERTURNED BY U.S. BUREAUCRATS

"...Even the most ardent supporters of the new regulations say they understand the seriousness of what they have done. They have repealed a principle that dates back to the Nuremberg trials of Nazi doctors after World War II, when American judges were agonizing over rules that might prevent doctors from ever again using human subjects in

horrendous experiments. The judges wrote a code of ethics, the Nuremberg Code, whose first principle was that no one should ever be forced to take part in a medical experiment. 'The voluntary consent of the human subject is essential,' they wrote.

"It's a tremendous philosophical change," said Dr. Norman Fost, director of the Center for Clinical Ethics at the University of Wisconsin, who lobbied for the change. Bonnie Lee, a senior policy analyst at the drug agency who wrote the new regulations, said, 'This is not something we did lightly or easily...'

"Dr. Fost said the regulations would liberate researchers to study treatments that were desperately needed. Until now, he said, 'research was not moving forward.' The harm would be greater if patients were kept out of such studies, he argued, than if they were entered without their explicit consent..."

"Others, however, are gravely concerned. 'It's a fateful step,' Jay Katz, an ethicist and

lawyer at Yale University said... 'The first sentence of the first principle of the Nuremberg Code,' he said, stated that nothing should be done to human beings without their consent..."

"Dr. Michelle H. Biros, an emergency medicine expert at the Hennepin County Medical Center in Minneapolis, Dr. Fost and others met privately in Washington two years ago and developed a consensus statement on waiving informed consent in emergencies. Their statement was published in "The Journal of the American Medical Association" in April 1995 and the campaign to get the Federal regulations changed was begun. Now the group has succeeded. 'We didn't accept all of their recommendations, but they certainly were very useful,' Ms. Lee said..."

NEW FEDERAL RULE MAKES YOU A POTENTIAL GUINEA PIG

"George Annas, an ethicist and health lawyer at Boston University, said, 'Most people would not want a doctor to flip a coin when they come into an emergency room.' Instead, he said, 'they would want their doctor to do what is best for them.' And, he added, 'that is what you lose in this - you lose the right to have your doctor treat you however he thinks best.'"

"Mr. Annas dismissed the argument that the research provided an opportunity for patients. 'For most people, research is not an opportunity,' he said. 'The average person wants treatment, not an opportunity to be researched on...'

"His concern, he said, is that investigators are 'just trying to make research more efficient at the expense of human rights.' The potential downside, he said, 'is that doctors won't try to get consent of the family anymore - now there's zero reason to look for them.'"

Mr. Katz is particularly concerned because the regulations allow doctors to enroll unconscious patients in studies in which some subjects are given dummy medication. 'The new regulations send a dangerous message to the research community,' he said. That message, he explained is that it is more important for research to proceed than it is for patients to have an opportunity to agree to be research subjects."

HOWARD PHILLIPS ISSUES & STRATEGY BULLETIN
9520 Bent Creek Lane
Vienna, VA 22182

.... continued from page 11

indefensible public water fluoridation campaign - which was lobbied down the gullible public's throats - remember how your government has deceived you.

The following is excerpted from a radio interview between Tom Valentine and Dr. John Yiamouyiannis, popularly known as "Dr. Y" - the nations leading scientific critic of water fluoridation. Yiamouyiannis, the former biochemical editor of the journal of the Chemical Abstracts Service, the world's largest chemical information center, has defeated fluoridation efforts in more than 100 communities across America. To help Yiamouyiannis in the fight against fluoridation you may write him at: Safe Water Foundation, 6439 Taggart Road, Delaware, Ohio 43015.

Valentine: Isn't it crazy, when you think about it, that people actually vote to put poison in their water?

Dr. Y: The thing is that people don't know what's going on. Fluoridation, basically, is just a microcosm of what's wrong with the government. It plays to the special interest groups, the power brokers, the big corporations. We've lost the Fairness Doctrine so that if people want to get their message out against Procter & Gamble, for example, which makes Crest toothpaste, you can't. Through fluoridation, we are putting \$50

billion into the pockets of the power brokers every single year. Just by means of fluoridating the water.

Fluoride is a poison more toxic than lead and slightly less toxic than arsenic. If people would vote to put fluoride in their water, why wouldn't they vote to put arsenic or lead in their water or mercury for that matter? You can spend about a dollar on fluoride and that amount of fluoride will kill about a thousand people. It's the most economic poison you can get.

"IT (FLUORIDE) CAUSES CANCER, AND CAUSES IT FASTER - AND CAUSES MORE CANCER - THAN ANY OTHER SUBSTANCE WE'VE SEEN SO FAR"

People say, well, they only put one part per million of fluoride into the water supply and that won't kill you. That's right. Neither will smoking one cigarette. It's the long term effect. About 2 to 3 percent of the deaths every year can be attributed to fluoride in the public water supply.

Valentine: They put fluoride in your Crest toothpaste so why not pollute our streams with it in industrial refuse?

Dr. Y: That's right. We actually got an admission from Procter & Gamble in 1984 that a family-sized tube of Crest contains enough fluoride to kill a small child on the spot.

Continued bottom of page 13

WHY GOLD IS BEST NOT HELD IN AN IRA

Watch out! The promoters are at it again. They are taking advantage of the ignorance of many taxpayers by advising them to buy American Gold Eagles with funds in their Investment Retirement Accounts (IRAs).

Although the claimed reasons might sound logical, there are almost no instances where putting American Eagles into your IRA makes economic sense.

Over the past month, I've seen several ads by other dealers telling people that they can own gold without having to pay out new money because they can use the funds in their IRA. (It's no coincidence that these ads appear at the time of year when taxpayers are attentive to year-end tax planning.)

The problems of using your IRA to acquire gold are conceptual and economic.

The main conceptual problem: the purpose of an IRA is to arrange for the deferral of income taxes until the taxpayer actually withdraws the assets. Well, ownership of physical gold accomplishes the same feature - income taxes are deferred until the gold is sold!

Most taxpayers should put assets into the IRA that generate annual dividends and interest. Taxes on this income will then be deferred. Buy your gold directly and also defer taxes on its appreciation.

If you did it the other way around, the taxes on the appreciation of your gold would still be deferred, but you would be paying income taxes on the dividends and interest you received during the year.

There are several economic problems. First, if you want to own gold coins in your IRA, the government limits you to only two

choices - 1 Oz Gold American Eagles in either Mint State or Proof condition. If you are going to own gold, these would not be my favorite choices.

Also, to own gold coins in an IRA means that you will have to pay for an outside trustee. By law, the outside trustee must make the purchase of the coins, pay for them, receive them from the dealer, and store them for the owner of the IRA. (*WUCA comment:* Not having physical possession yourself is also another major drawback with this scenario.) To soothe the bankers who lost some IRA cash accounts, Congress passed a law to prohibit IRA owners from serving as their own trustee of an IRA that owns gold coins. The lowest annual cost I've seen quoted for independent trustee and storage services is about \$100. It doesn't make sense to pay this annual fee when you can purchase the gold directly without such costs.

There's also the risk that Congress will expand the 15% excise taxes on IRAs. I'd hate to see you pay higher taxes simply because you owned your gold in an IRA rather than directly.

The one instance where it MIGHT be worth using an IRA to own gold is where an investor simply does not have available cash anywhere else. However, the annual overhead costs would eat up the value of a small gold holding. Consider this option only if the total gold acquisition would be sizeable enough to be worth the annual fees.

LIBERTY'S OUTLOOK

300 Frandor Ave.
Lansing, MI 48912

and causes more cancer - than any other substance we've seen so far.

Even the U.S. Public Health Service division called the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences admits that fluoride causes genetic damage. And we know that anything that causes genetic damage is a prime suspect for causing cancer.

In 1965, doctors at the University of Texas found they could increase tumor growth rates by giving as little as half a part per million in the water supply.

In 1982 and 1984, Japanese scientists found that by means of submitting cells to relatively low levels of fluoride they could transform normal cells into cancer cells. In 1988, Oregon National Laboratories, one of the most prestigious national laboratories, confirmed the Japanese study and said that not only does fluoride cause cancer, but that it promotes the cancer-causing effects of

other chemicals as well.

The chief toxicologist at the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Dr. William Marcus, looked at the data and reported in 1990 and 1991 that there is no question that fluoride causes cancer. He lost his job, but he went to court to get his job back.

That tells you that the orders about fluoridation aren't coming from scientists. In fact, the union of professional workers and scientists at the EPA has gone on record in opposition to the fluoridation of the water supply. Despite that, the EPA director, Carol Browner, is going out and saying fluoridation is fine. Who appoints the EPA director? The president. Who appoints the president? The power brokers who include the petrochemical industries, the steel and metal manufacturers.

From 1990 to 1995 the "Journal of the American Medical Association" published four separate articles linking hip fracture rates to fluoride in the water. Since 1990 the National Cancer Institute and the New Jersey Department of Health have found osteosarcoma, a type of bone cancer, was substantially higher in young men exposed to fluoridated water as opposed to those who were not.

End of Radio Interview

MANY NATIONS REFUSE TO POISON THEIR CITIZENS

Several modern nations - including Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Egypt, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Holland, India, Italy, Luxembourg, Norway, Spain, Sweden and Switzerland - have rejected fluoridation of water supplies despite the pressures to do so from the United States and Great Britain.

In Italy they actually "defluoridate" drinking water in communities that have a high natural fluoride content; Egypt said no, despite enormous U.S. political and economic pressure to try it; Denmark forbids fluoride in both food and water; Holland rejected fluoridation after 23 years of experiments involving 9 million citizens. All permission to fluoridate was cancelled. Sweden discontinued it after a 10-year experimental campaign and declared fluoridation "illegal." In Switzerland they had one experimental program serving 4 percent of the population. In 1975 the Swiss Health Department advised they cease the practice "due to ineffectiveness."

Evidently the people of these nations are simply not as "smart and sophisticated" as are we Americans. In our country fluoridation is still widely propagandized, advocated and used, no matter the evidence.

THE SPOTLIGHT

300 Independence Ave. S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003

.... continued from page 12

Valentine: In other words, if you took the fluoride out of a tube of toothpaste all at one time and gave it to a small child in a single dose it would kill the child?

Dr. Y: Yes it would. It would make an adult sick, but it would kill a 20-30 pound child.

Valentine: You and Dr. Dean Burk, the retired head of cytochemistry at the National Cancer Institute, put out a report showing that fluoridation in water is linked to the cancer rate in this country.

Dr. Y: Dean Burk had published papers with four Nobel Prize winners. He had been the right-hand man to Otto Warburg, the only biochemist who won two Nobel Prizes. However, within six to nine months of our first meeting, Dean Burk was up to his knees in the same work that I was doing because, he too, found that fluoridation causes cancer. It causes cancer, and causes it faster -

A WRECKING BALL FOR YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

Lavish Housing For Welfare Families is an Insult to All Hardworking, Taxpaying Citizens

by James Bovard

The federal government is involved in economic blockbusting in thousands of the nation's neighborhoods. Thanks to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), welfare recipients are using government handouts to move into middle-class and upper middle-class neighborhoods.

Congress created the Section 8 program in 1974 to provide direct rent subsidies to selected low-income families. Section 8 currently gives more than \$7 billion a year in rental subsidies to over two million families. HUD Secretary Henry Cisneros is pushing to rapidly expand the program to allow more welfare recipients to move to affluent neighborhoods. Cisneros calls Section 8 "a wonderful mechanism because it gives people tremendous choice and mobility."

The program is a symbol of government welfare run amok - of social workers using the power of subsidies to forcibly change the nature of hundreds of suburban neighborhoods. Roberta Achtenberg, former HUD assistant secretary for fair housing, declared last year on National Public Radio: "We are compelled by statutory prescription as well as constitutional mandate to see to it that every American has open and free housing choice." (*WUCA comment*: A totally ridiculous statement that shows a complete lack of what the Constitution says. No mention is ever made in the U.S. Constitution about housing of any kind. This woman is a Marxist/socialist and a typical Clintonista bent on destroying a once prosperous and free country.) But the only people today who have "free housing choice" are those who have HUD vouchers that force other taxpayers to cover all or most of their rent.

HUD requires Section 8 recipients to pay between 10 and 30 percent of their income for rent, and the government picks up the difference between the renters' share and the market rent. But, unlike how the IRS treats taxpayers, HUD makes little or no effort to verify recipients' income or to ensure that they actually pay their small share of the rent. Since many recipients claim to have zero income, their rent is totally on the taxpayers' back.


Section 8 seeks to end the stigma of being on welfare by treating welfare recipients like a privileged class. Unfortunately, few Americans can afford the levels of rent that HUD shovels out. For example:

- On the island of Nantucket, Massachusetts, a famous playground of the rich, HUD will pay up to \$1,749 a month for an apartment for welfare recipients.

- In Stamford and Norwalk, Connecticut, HUD authorizes rental subsidies of more than \$1,700 a month.

- In Prince Georges, Frederick, Calvert, and Charles counties, Maryland, HUD will pay up to \$1,396 in rental subsidies per apart-

ments. It decreed that landlords can require only a \$50 security deposit from Section 8 renters - instead of the usual full month's rent deposit required for unsubsidized renters. Supposedly, apartment owners can get reimbursement from local HUD bureaucrats



ment. But according to local realtors those counties have few, if any, apartments renting for such high prices.

"SINCE MANY RECIPIENTS CLAIM TO HAVE ZERO INCOME, THEIR RENT IS TOTALLY ON THE TAXPAYER'S BACK"

With such generous subsidies, many Section 8 recipients enjoy far more comfortable housing than do working Americans. For example, Section 8 certificates entitle welfare families to move into an apartment complex in Silver Spring, Maryland, that brags of its "deluxe modern kitchens with convenient breakfast bars," microwave ovens, and heated pool with water jets.

Such lavish rental subsidy levels have not warmed the hearts of some taxpayers. When newspapers in Ventura, California, and Davenport, Iowa, published articles last year on the level of Section 8 subsidies, HUD was bombarded with complaints from outraged private citizens.

HUD forces landlords to treat Section 8 renters better than renters who pay their own

for damage done by Section 8 renters, but the bureaucrats routinely make it extremely difficult and time consuming to collect.

HUD has vigorously pushed local housing authorities to include mentally ill renters in subsidized housing across the country. Some of the mentally ill renters are violent; two years ago, one such renter in Massachusetts won a court victory on his right to subsidized housing even though he was judged to be a pyromaniac.

Section 8 recipients can pull down a neighborhood because of the paralyzing red tape that HUD imposes on private landlords who want to evict recipients who are troublemakers, hooligans, or deadbeats. The image of violence has become so accepted in some areas that a Washington, D.C., rap band named itself "Section 8 Mob."

Federal rental subsidies should be abolished. Giving subsidies to allow selected welfare recipients to live the high life is an insult and an injustice to all working Americans.

THE FUTURE OF FREEDOM
FOUNDATION

11350 Random Hills Road, #800
Fairfax, VA 22030

THE COURAGE TO TRY

A Touching Story to Remember When You Think Things Are Running a Little Rough in Your Life

by Frank Orlowski

Every so often, a seemingly mundane event occurs in our lives that ends up affecting us in a profound manner. I'm not speaking of a major life change, like a death or serious illness, but of something that could easily be overlooked or quickly forgotten. Allow me to share one such experience.

Late last fall I was preparing an article for a local business journal; it was a typical update on how businesses were preparing for the upcoming Christmas selling season. While interviewing the store manager of one establishment, the manager had to excuse himself to talk with someone waiting at the counter. A young man and woman were there to speak with him about temporary Christmas-time employment. The young man, probably in his early twenties, was a bit nervous, though his look was serious and intent. He was sharply dressed (probably overdressed for the situation), in what was likely his best suit. He handed the manager his application, which, from a quick glance, appeared to be carefully filled out. He stood before the manager, straight and erect, listening attentively, but unsure just how to respond.

The young man was afflicted with Down's Syndrome. The woman with him explained that she was his sister, and had driven him over to return the application. The manager, who seemed a bit awkward with the situation, politely explained the hiring process, and asked the young man if he had any questions. Looking down, he nervously shook his head "no." The manager then thanked him for stopping in, and said he would be making a decision on his extra Christmas help in a few days. The young woman thanked him, and the pair left the store. The manager returned to our interview, which we completed, though my thoughts were no longer on the subject of my visit.

As I watched the young man leave the store, I knew he would never get the job. After all, this was a retail store where good communication skills were vital, and I don't believe the young man spoke once during the entire time he was in the store. Still, I was overwhelmed by the courage that young man exhibited by being there. I don't know if this was his first attempt at finding a job, or his hundredth. Either way, he was determined to put his best effort forward and try for a job most of us would consider trivial. I thought about the time and care he put into dressing just right for that four-minute interview - the effort he must have put into filling out that application, to make it as neat and attractive as possible. As a former retail

store manager myself, I knew that the typical applicant wouldn't put forth one-tenth of the effort that he obviously had. Yet it wouldn't be enough.

"COURAGE AND HONOR ARE THE TRAITS REAL HEROES ARE MADE OF. AND COURAGE, FRIENDS, TAKES MANY FORMS"

I wanted to ask the manager if there wasn't something he could find for the young man to do - maybe rotating stock, or helping keep the store neat during the busy Christmas rush. I decided against this; it just wasn't my place to do so. I quickly tried to think of another job opportunity I'd heard of before they drove away. But they had probably scoured the papers for every possibility already. I thought about how getting this job was likely the young man's most important goal, and about the disappointment he would experience when he was rejected. When the news came, his sister would probably put her arm around his shoulders, smile, and say, "Come on, let's try again. I'll drive you."

THE CLAMOR FOR ENTITLEMENTS

We live in a time in our nation when citizens feel "entitled" to whatever they feel their needs are. When groups shout and stammer about how they "deserve" something from the society as a whole. When huge protests are organized among the "deserving" if government even suggests a slowdown in the amount of money to be redistributed to them. When politicians can garner votes by pitting group against group, and creating class envy. When the government's taking from one American to give to another is considered fair and righteous. If an individual disagrees with this policy, and wishes to keep more of what he produces and earns, he is branded as greedy.

The young man I encountered on that late autumn day wasn't content to play by those rules. Although he, if anyone, was in need of society's assistance, he and his family decided that his best option was to try and help himself by being a productive, working citizen. And even though he probably had been rejected more times than any of us will ever be, he was putting forth his best effort in trying again. I'd like to think that his perseverance will pay off, that goodness will prevail and he will find his dream job. I know that in the real world, however, that may not happen, particularly because of minimum-wage laws and other labor regulations that discourage prospective employers from hiring such workers.

That young man touched my life for a mere

five minutes, but I doubt I will ever forget him. Every time I see some group ranting in front of a congressional committee about how they deserve more taxpayer dollars, I'll think of him. Every time I hear some able-bodied person complain on camera about how unfair life is, and how government should come to the rescue, I'll think of him. Every time I get depressed about how tough things are for me, and how I might as well quit trying, I'll think of him. I'll always remember the grace with which he took on this task - a simple task to most of us, but one of Herculean proportions to him.

The concept of personal responsibility has lost its luster in recent years. Yet just when it seems that dodging what is right for what is convenient is the universally accepted premise, a simple, profound example of what is right can surface to rekindle our faith.

I have a new hero now. My heroes have never been celebrities or sports figures or politicians. They are simple, hard-working, honorable people, who, striving against life's obstacles, don't always win, but keep up the struggle. People who after succeeding or failing can look in the mirror and see someone who always tried to do the honorable thing. People who, like this young man, have life's odds stacked heavily against them, and struggle to achieve a goal most people take for granted. Courage and honor are the traits real heroes are made of. And courage, friends, takes many forms; it can be found in the least likely places when we least expect it, but need it most.

THE FREEMAN

30 South Broadway
Irvington-on-Hudson, NY 10533

IT'S CHRISTMAS?

Not for the California Teachers Association (CTA). According to the Study of Popular Culture, you wouldn't know Christmas exists from looking at a CTA calendar.

The CTA calendar lists the key dates in December as: "World AIDS Day" on December 1; International Human Rights Day on December 10; anniversary of the massacre of the Sioux at Wounded Knee on December 29; and December 6-31 is listed as "KWANZA" (which actually starts the 26th).

And Christmas? Perhaps because it is a sacred time for the European white oppressor. Christmas gets no mention at all.

INDEPENDENCE INSTITUTE
14142 Denver West Pkwy., #185
Golden, CO 80401

WASHINGTON TAKES OVER HEALTH CARE

In a Stealth Move Known to Very Few Americans, The Feds Have Quietly Put New Draconian Health Care Laws Into Effect That Kills Privacy and Makes Criminals Out of Honest Citizens and Doctors for Trivial Actions

by Jarret Wollstein

It's back. Clinton's big government takeover of your health care and the criminalization of independent medicine.

This past August, a lame-duck session of



Jarret Wollstein

Congress overwhelmingly passed an innocuous-sounding law called "The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act" (HR 3103, also known as the Kassebaum-Kennedy Health Care Bill). President Clinton quickly signed it, and it's now the law of the land.

When no one in Congress or the establishment media was paying attention, this innocuous-sounding law metamorphosed into a 328-page medical monstrosity. The final bill includes over 100-pages of new federal "healthcare crimes," with draconian penalties for both doctors and patients, including seizure of a doctor's assets and imprisonment of a patient for up to five years for receipt of "unnecessary" medical services (even the Soviet Union didn't do that!).

These new health care crimes were taken virtually word for word from Clinton's discredited 1993 "Health Security Act." By caving in to political pressure to "do something about health reform" during an election year, Congress has enacted the worst, most dangerous features of ClintonCare.

This outrageous law destroys medical privacy; will double health insurance premiums; creates dozens of new federal health care crimes for both doctors and patients; will bankrupt many medical insurers; and could cause up to half the doctors in the U.S. to quit medicine or leave the country.

Here are the gory details:

NO MORE MEDICAL PRIVACY

In the name of "administrative simplification," your medical privacy is being abolished.

The law mandates that within 18 months a national network of computers be set up to allow all companies in the health care industry to pass your medical records back-and-forth at will and without your consent. (Sections 263 and 264.)

Once this system is in place, your confidential medical information will be freely available to virtually every government agency, from Health and Human Services to the IRS, at the click of a computer mouse.

In effect, the Kassebaum-Kennedy Act creates a national medical databank, in which all your medical information must be formatted and stored in a way that is easily accessible to government authorities and HMOs.

As former Congressman, (Just elected again this past November from Texas) Dr. Ron Paul explains:

"Efficiency of record keeping is the excuse, but what we're really getting is total loss of privacy for our medical information." ("The Ron Paul Survival Report", 9-15-96, p. 8.)

What about doctors and hospitals opting out? In 98% of the cases that will be economically impossible, because - under the Act - any medical professionals who refuse to participate in providing patient data won't be allowed to receive any monies from private insurance companies or from Medicare and other government health care programs.

Under this law, corporate and government authorities using the new national medical data network will be able to force your doctor to provide virtually any of your medical information upon demand, including your doctor's private notations and comments. The law says explicitly that practitioners must provide:

"...any information, whether oral or recorded in any form or medium that...is created or received by a health care provider, health plan, public health authority, employer, life insurer, school or university, or clearinghouse." (Pages 164 & 165.)

As Dr. Paul points out, "The obvious result will be that patients will not reveal, and doctors will not record, much of the important information that is valuable on a medical record. Without confidence in privacy, good medical care is impossible; without privacy being fully honored, a free society cannot exist. And since social security numbers are probably going to be used to identify patients, medical records will be as easily accessible as our credit information."

But loss of privacy is not the worst feature of this act by a long shot.

FIVE YEARS IN PRISON FOR "UNCOOPERATIVE" PATIENTS

The Kassebaum-Kennedy Act provides extensive penalties for both doctors and pa-

tients who don't comply with government control of health care or who even try to protect their medical privacy.

Any doctor or patient convicted of making a fraudulent statement to a health plan is punishable by 5 years in prison. (Page 207.)

Failure to provide information to a criminal investigator - which could mean any one of thousands of bureaucrats working for Health and Human Services, Medicare, or dozens of other government agencies - is also punishable by 5-year prison sentences. And "In ANY investigation relating to any act or activity involving a Federal health care offense... [the Attorney General] may require the production of ANY records that MAY be relevant." (P. 210).

Putting patients in prison for healthcare crimes is something you would expect in the Soviet Union or Nazi Germany - not in America. In addition,

YOUR PROPERTY CAN NOW BE SEIZED FOR ANY OF DOZENS OF FEDERAL HEALTH CARE CRIMES

All major U.S. criminal laws are amended to add health-care crimes to the list of offenses for which property can be seized. For example, Section 249 says, "The court, in imposing sentence on a person convicted of a Federal health-care offense, shall order the person to forfeit property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from gross proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense."

In fact, as in the Clinton bill, a new federal fund - the Health Care Fraud and Abuse Control Account - is created whose only income comes from criminal fines, and confiscation of the assets of doctors and patients." (*WUCA comment*: You don't suppose there could be any conflict of interest here, do you!)

As Dr. Orient of the American Association of Physicians and Surgeons (AAPS) comments, "Under such a system, prosecutors have an incentive to 'find' fraud, even where none exists."

LIFE IMPRISONMENT FOR DOCTORS

Other federal health-care penalties include "defrauding" any health care program. This is punishable by up to 10 years in prison for patients and up to life in prison for doctors. (p. 206 and 207.)

What does "fraud" mean? Among other things, it means providing or receiving any medical care government bureaucrats deem "unnecessary," too expensive, or excessive. As under the Clinton plan, the goal is to contain costs by controlling the number of expensive procedures patients receive.

As in socialist England, it may soon be il-

legal for U.S. doctors to provide kidney dialysis or heart transplants for the elderly - even for patients who pay for these procedures with their own money.

There are still more penalties for doctors. Page 197 assesses penalties of up to \$10,000 for each incorrect code doctors put on insurance forms.

This one provision is enough to destroy virtually any medical practice. There are now over 87,000 approved codes, making frequent errors virtually unavoidable. Furthermore, according to the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, even these thousands of codes don't cover many medical conditions, meaning there is no "correct" code. Finally, official codes are continually changing.

According to "News of New York", over 5,700 erroneous codes were dropped by HCFA in the first few months of this year. At that rate, over 1/4 of all codes will be changed this year alone, making it impossible for doctors to know when they are supplying the "correct" code. Worse, the burden of proof to show that a wrong code is not fraud is placed on the doctor. That gives the government the legal power to financially destroy any doctor in America.

For instance, Dr. Richard D. Fisher of Sun City, Arizona was slapped with an \$80,000 fine for incorrect coding, because of a coding change "buried among hundreds of items" that he missed. Dr. Fisher says:

"We left Canada to get away from this nonsense, although in Canada they don't have jail time or asset forfeiture... We knew it was over for medicine in the U.S. in 1984, when the government fixed prices and there was no physician uprising. We're getting out of medicine before they take us away in cattle cars."

In another case, United States vs George O. Krizek and Blanka H. Krizek, Civ. No. 93-0054, two physicians were fined \$80 million - or approximately what they would earn from 800 years of hard work - for billing errors.

When the Clinton health-care plan was pending before Congress, up to half of U.S. doctors surveyed said they would quit medicine if it passed. Now that Kassebaum-Kennedy, with some of the worst features of

the Clinton plan, is the law of the land, many doctors will quit or leave the country - creating a shortage of professional medical care.

EXPECT YOUR HEALTH INSURANCE COSTS TO DOUBLE

The original purpose of the "Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act" was to make health insurance portable and available to more people - particularly those with pre-existing medical conditions. The act does that by mandating that insurance companies cannot deny coverage to anyone with a pre-existing condition, as long as they've maintained continual insurance coverage.

Unfortunately, the real-world effect of that mandate is likely to be the exact opposite of

According to the American Academy of Actuaries, the law will result in a 3% to 5% increase in premiums. The Health Insurance Association of America's estimates are much higher: they forecast a 10% to 30% increase in premiums.

That means that up to 8.4 million more Americans will be unable to afford health insurance as a result of the new law.

Many insurers may also be driven out of business. In Washington State, a similar law resulted in insurance losses of over \$100 million, and 22 insurers dropped selected markets or pulled out of the state, according to the "Seattle Post-Intelligencer" (2-11-96).

According to "Investors Business Daily" (8-5-96), when similar mandates were enacted in New Jersey, family premiums charged by the state's largest carrier, Time Insurance, rose by 106%. Insurance premiums in New Jersey are now double what they are in the adjoining state of Pennsylvania. In New York such mandates caused health costs to rise 132%, compared to a 9% rise nationwide.

Since this law will effect every insurer in the country, you can expect your health insurance premiums to double.

FREEDOM NETWORK NEWS
1800 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

WUCA comment:

what Congress intended. By forcing insurance companies to cover large numbers of additional people with serious and chronic medical conditions, insurance claims will skyrocket. And more claims mean higher premiums for everyone. Millions of people could be priced out of the health insurance market entirely.

"WE LEFT CANADA TO GET AWAY FROM THIS NONSENSE. WE'RE GETTING OUT OF MEDICINE BEFORE THEY TAKE US AWAY IN CATTLE CARS"

Richard Fisher, M.D.

According to the Council for Affordable Health Insurance, each 1% increase in premiums results in 280,000 people dropping their insurance coverage.


And the new law will result in huge increases in premiums for the average person.

ample of how the socialist, totalitarian mindset works. With outrageous rules and regulations they can control and enslave a passive population that will put up with this nonsense. No need to necessarily march in an army, at least not at first - simply dumb down the population with government controlled "education" and a controlled propaganda media, keep them continually "entertained" through mindless TV, sports addictions, etc., create a declining standard of living that requires more working family hours so there is less time to even have a chance at understanding what is really going on, and you have a formula for national enslavement and oppression by a ruthless, corrupted government. This is exactly what is being carried out in America today. If you don't recognize it, it's because the above formula has worked to perfection on you, and unfortunately, on most of the apathetic sheeple in this country.



OUR NATIONAL ANTHEM... AND HYMN

In the early decades of our nation's history, a devout, learned lawyer named Francis Scott Key became so taken with the power of Christ's Gospel that twice he considered entering the ministry. Although he never did, Key became known around Washington, D.C., for his acts of charity and generosity. He worked ardently to improve the lot of Georgetown's poor children, and, along with others, toiled to create a free nation in Africa for liberated slaves - thus inviting the displeasure of Southern slave owners some 50 years before the Civil War began.



Francis Scott Key

Key was a follower of William Wilberforce, the Christian statesman in England who spent his entire adult life in his ultimately successful pursuit of ending England's slave trade. Wilberforce's writings confirmed Key's growing belief that religious faith and patriotism went hand in hand, and that the Christian Gospel was a blue-

print for public service.

On the evening of Sept. 13, 1814, at the height of the War of 1812, the 35-year-old Key gave of himself yet again. This time, however, he embarked on a mission of mercy fraught with danger. An elderly physician named William Beanes had been captured by the British and was imprisoned in the hold of a British warship somewhere in the Chesapeake Bay. Beanes' friends had been unable to win his release, and, after obtaining approval from President James Madison, Key set sail from Baltimore along with a pris-

oner-exchange officer, to search for the ship and negotiate Beanes' return.

Incredibly, they found the ship and freed the physician. But the small party was barred from leaving the warship that night. The British were about to attack the city of Baltimore, and their first assault would be on Fort McHenry, which protected the city.

Key and company were forced to watch the battle from the deck of the enemy ship. All night the cannons roared, and it wasn't until the first light of dawn that Key and his friends, anxious about the outcome, saw the American flag still flying above the fort - a majestic emblem of the failure of the British attack. Baltimore was saved.

Swelled with pride for his country, and grateful that God had spared the city (especially in light of the fact that the British had sacked and burned Washington, D.C., only three weeks before), Key began writing the words to what has become our national anthem.

Millions of Americans know the first verse by heart, but it is in the seldom-sung fourth verse that Key's intent is clear. The anthem is a song of thanksgiving to God for saving Baltimore from destruction. Here are those words:

*O thus be it ever, when free
men shall stand*

*Between their loved home and
the war's desolation;*

*Blessed with vict'ry and
peace, may the heav'n rescued land*

*Praise the Power that hath
made and preserved us a nation!*

*Then conquer we must, when
our cause it is just,*

*And this be our motto, "In
God is our trust!"*

*And the Star-Spangled Banner
in triumph Shall wave*

*O'er the land of the free
and the home of the brave!*

It seems these days as though the national anthem is getting as much of a workout as any athlete. From the Olympics, to the political conventions and on through the World Series this month, we have been awash in a season of red, white and blue.

What a shame that more people do not know the full story of our national song - and more importantly, of the profound faith that beat in the heart of the man inspired to write it as he stood on the shuddering decks of an enemy warship that awful night. The national songs of many countries praise human rulers or call men to arms; but because of the faith of Francis Scott Key, our country has an anthem that is a hymn of thanksgiving to God.

(Copyright 1996. Focus on the Family, 8605 Explorer Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80920. All Rights Reserved. International Copyright Secured. Used by Permission.)

POOR SWITZERLAND!

Switzerland, as everyone knows, is a small mountainous country at the very crossroads of European wars.

But, curiously enough, it has not succeeded in being involved directly in any of them during the entire 20th Century, or for over the last 85 years of the 19th Century!

It's people have therefore been deprived of much of the excitement that comes from being and having been a belligerent. For instance:

- ◆ No purple hearts.
- ◆ No war veterans organizations.
- ◆ No military cemeteries.
- ◆ No war widows or war orphans.
- ◆ No impressive war memorials.
- ◆ No historic battles to study.
- ◆ No victory parades.
- ◆ No battlefield cripples.

Just dull "defense" - troops too tough to battle, tank traps too brutal on tanks, impregnable fortifications, deadly fields of fire, barriers of all kinds, sky-high antiaircraft positions, booby traps par excellence, protective shelters for citizens, and so on - you name it.

Even Adolph Hitler refused to invade Switzerland - pardon, refused to "try to" invade Switzerland.

In war the Swiss got missed. And with its continued anti-war philosophy it will get missed again, and again.

Poor Switzerland - not even any heroes. Pre-

paredness has prevented all that.
JOURNAL OF CIVIL DEFENSE
P.O. Box 910, Starke, FL 32091

WUCA comment: It's interesting to note that in Switzerland nearly every household has a fully automatic military "assault" weapon, which is in fact encouraged by the Swiss government. It's not too difficult to imagine why a potential adversary may be strongly inclined to pass over this country, knowing that every home has the potential of creating major resistance. And by the way, Switzerland has a very low crime rate. The phrase "an armed society is a polite society" really is true in this case. You don't suppose the lame-brained Sarah Brady gun-control crowd might be able to learn something from this, do you?

Of course, the major point to the Swiss example is that a well armed populace along with a militarily well prepared country makes for such a high risk proposition for enemies that the result is likely to be peace. A weak country is an open invitation to tyrants, while a strong country will deter tyrants, or as a last resort have the power to forcefully resist if need be. It's such an obvious fact, yet Comrade Clinton prefers to leave this country totally vulnerable to NBC weapons while working to give over our military to United Nations totalitarians. But all's fine and dandy, it's just part of the wonderful New World Order plan!

THE FDR SELL-OUT OF AMERICA

Franklin Roosevelt Was Put Into High Office by Those Bent on Forcing the U.S. Into a World Totalitarian Government. FDR Did Not Disappoint His Masters as He Systematically Sold Out His Countrymen

by James Perloff

The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) exerted only limited influence on Washington during the 1920's. The American people had wearied of Wilsonian policy, with its attendant war, debt, taxation, and inflation. In 1920, Republican Warren Harding was elected President with over sixty percent of the popular vote. A resolute opponent of both Bolshevism and the League of Nations, Harding was anathema to the CFR and international bankers, a factor that should not be overlooked when considering the evil reputation some historians have assigned him.

Under Harding and his successor, Calvin Coolidge, the United States enjoyed unprecedented prosperity in an atmosphere of world peace. It was a happy era of spirited accomplishments, remembered for the introduction of radio and talkies, Lindbergh's transatlantic flight, and Babe Ruth's home runs. Some eight billion dollars were even sliced off the federal deficit accrued under Wilson.

This atmosphere was apparently not to the liking of the Money Trust. They sought to oust the new Republican dynasty from the White House and install someone more cooperative - Franklin D. Roosevelt.

Ever since his first Presidential campaign, FDR had been touted as a "man of the little people," a knight on a white horse who stood up to Wall Street. This image is just that - an image.

Roosevelt was himself a prototypic Wall Streeter. His family had been involved in New York banking since the eighteenth century. His uncle, Frederic Delano, was on the original Federal Reserve Board. FDR had a customary Establishment education, attending Groton and Harvard. During the 1920's he pursued a career on Wall Street, working as a bond writer and corporate promoter, and organizing speculation enterprises. He was on the board of directors of eleven different corporations.

In 1928, millionaire John Raskob, vice president of both Du Pont and General Motors, became chairman of the Democratic National Committee. He approached Roosevelt, whose family name carried distinction and political clout, about running for governor of New York - a traditional stepping stone for Presidential candidates. Roosevelt declined, explaining that he owed \$250,000 in connection with his polio resort at Warm Springs, Georgia. However, after Raskob and other men of wealth wrote out

checks liquidating the debt, he agreed to run, and was elected New York's governor that year.

We mentioned earlier the maxim that what appears in "Foreign Affairs" [publication of

the League a far greater share of sympathetic approval and definite official help than we have hitherto accorded...

The time has come when we must accept not only certain facts but many new principles of a higher law, a newer and better standard in international relations."

FDR's bonds to the Council were affirmed by his son-in-law, Curtis Dall. Dall, a regular visitor at the Roosevelt home, eventually wrote a book entitled FDR: "My Exploited Father-In-Law". He wrote therein:

"For a long time I felt that FDR had developed many thoughts and ideas that were his own to benefit this country, the U.S.A. But, he didn't. Most of his thoughts, his political "ammunition," as it were, were carefully manufactured for him in advance by the CFR-One World Money group. Brilliantly, with great gusto, like a fine piece of artillery, he exploded

that prepared "ammunition" in the middle of an unsuspecting target, the American people - and thus paid off and retained his internationalist political support."


In 1929 the Council on Foreign Relations purchased new quarters for itself at 45 East 65th Street in New York City. By a remarkable "coincidence," this address was next door to the house of Franklin D. Roosevelt, who had just become governor of the state. Thus, throughout the years preparatory to his White House tenure, FDR lived literally under the CFR's shadow.

THE '29 BUST: FDR's BOOM

Tragedy is the mother of new directions. The Panic of 1907 spawned the Federal Reserve, the sinking of the Lusitania led us toward World War I, and the war itself nearly brought us into the League of Nations. What happened in late October of 1929 would also rechart our destiny.

Establishment historians present the '29 stock market crash as they do most events: an accident, evolved from erroneous policies, not from deliberate planning. We have all heard how foolish speculation bid stock prices high, but that the bubble finally burst, plunging brokers out of windows and America into the Depression.

That version is correct enough, but has several missing parts. The free enterprise system Continued on page 20



Franklin D. Roosevelt publicly decried Wall Street, but turned to banking insider Bernard Baruch for campaign financing. Above, the President-elect poses with Baruch following their conference in Warm Springs, Georgia in January 1933.

the CFR] today becomes foreign policy tomorrow. It would be an exaggeration to say that we can predict who the next President will be by noting which politicians are writing in "Foreign Affairs", but history suggests that, at strategic times, the candidates favored by the Establishment, or who at least seek its favor, contribute to the journal.

"HE (FDR) GAVE CLEAR SIGNALS TO THE ESTABLISHMENT THAT HE WAS READY TO PLAY BALL IN THE GAME OF WORLD GOVERNMENT"

In the July 1928 "Foreign Affairs", some two months before Raskob approached him, FDR had published a piece entitled "Our Foreign Policy: A Democratic View." In it, he recalled how Woodrow Wilson "brought home to the hearts of mankind the great hope that through an association of nations the world could in the days to come avoid armed conflict and substitute reason and collective action for the age-old appeal of the sword." He gave clear signals to the Establishment that he was ready to play ball in the game of world government:

"The United States has taken two negative steps. It has declined to have anything to do with either the League of Nations or the World Court...

Even without full membership we Americans can be generous and sporting enough to give

..... continued from page 19

has been the traditional scapegoat for the Crash. In reality, however, the Federal Reserve prompted the speculation by expanding the money supply a whopping sixty-two percent between 1923 and 1929. When the central bank became law in 1913, Congressman Charles Lindbergh had warned: "From now on, depressions will be scientifically created." Like two con men working a mark, the Fed made credit easy while Establishment newspapers hyped what riches could be made in the stock market. (WUCA comment: They wouldn't be doing that today, would they!)

Louis McFadden, chairman of the House Banking Committee, declared of the Depression: "It was not accidental. It was a carefully contrived occurrence.... The international bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here so that they might emerge as rulers of us all."

Curtis Dall, himself a syndicate manager for Lehman Brothers, was on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange on the day of the Crash. He said of the calamity:

"Actually, it was the calculated 'shearing' of the public by the World-Money powers triggered by the planned sudden shortage of call money in the New York money market."

It must be understood that an expedient existed on the New York exchange called a "24 hour broker call loan." In those days, one could purchase stock on extensive credit. He could lay down, say, \$100, and borrow \$900 from a bank through his broker, to purchase \$1000 in securities. If the stock increased just ten percent in value, he could sell it, repay the loan, and walk away with his original investment doubled.

The only problem was that such a loan could be called at any time - and if it was, the investor had to pay it off within twenty-four hours. For most, the only way to do so was to sell the stock. One can imagine the impact on the market if a great multitude of these loans were called simultaneously.

In "The United States' Unresolved Monetary and Political Problems", William Bryan explains what occurred during the '29 Panic:

"When everything was ready, the New York financiers started calling 24 hour broker call loans. This meant that the stock brokers and the customers had to dump their stock on the market in order to pay the loans. This naturally collapsed the stock market and brought a banking collapse all over the country be-

cause the banks not owned by the oligarchy were heavily involved in broker call claims at this time, and bank runs soon exhausted their coin and currency and they had to close. The Federal Reserve System would not come to their aid, although they were instructed under the law to maintain an elastic currency."



Council on Foreign Relations headquarters at the Harold Pratt House, 58 East 68th Street, in New York City. Time magazine calls the CFR journal *Foreign Affairs* "the most influential periodical in print."

Plummeting stock prices ruined small investors, but not the top "insiders" on Wall Street. Paul Warburg had issued a tip in March of 1929 that the Crash was coming. Before it did, John D. Rockefeller, Bernard Baruch, Joseph P. Kennedy, and other money barons got out of the market. According to John Kenneth Galbraith in "The Great Crash, 1929", Winston Churchill appeared in the visitors' gallery of the New York Stock Exchange during the frenzy of the panic. It has been said that Bernard Baruch brought him there, perhaps to show him the power of the international bankers.

Early withdrawal from the market not only preserved the fortunes of these men: it also enabled them to return later and buy up whole companies for a song. Shares that once sold for a dollar now cost a nickel. Joseph P. Kennedy's worth reportedly grew from \$4 million in 1929 to \$100 million in 1935. Not everyone was selling apples during the Depression!

"ACTUALLY, IT (THE 1929 STOCK MARKET CRASH) WAS THE CALCULATED SHEARING OF THE PUBLIC BY THE WORLD-MONEY POWERS"

FDR now rode an open highway to the Presidency, fueled by such men as Bernard Baruch. The latter's assistant, Hugh Johnson, said of the campaign: "Every time a crisis came, B. M. [Baruch] either gave the necessary money, or went out and got it." In the meantime, the Republicans were issued a death sentence. Newspapers blamed President

Herbert Hoover for the Crash and Depression. The Federal Reserve, instead of moving to stimulate growth and recovery, contracted the money supply by more than one third between 1929 and 1933, thus sustaining the Depression and giving no relief to the thousands of banks dying from runs.

President Hoover had a plan to bail out the banks, but he needed backing from the Democratic Congress. After losing the 1932 election, the lame duck President appealed to Roosevelt: Would he issue a statement encouraging Congressional support, and thus help end the crisis? FDR gave no reply, later claiming that he had written one, but that due to an oversight it was not sent. The banks were allowed to go on collapsing right until his inauguration, thus attaching maximum

stigma to the Republican Party. Ironically, when the new President announced emergency banking measures, he used the very plan drawn up by Hoover's Treasury Secretary.

ROOSEVELT IN THE WHITE HOUSE

FDR did much to indulge his mentors. In his first year in office, he granted recognition to the Soviet Union, fulfilling an objective long promulgated by "Foreign Affairs".

In 1934, he took America off the gold standard, setting the stage for unrestrained expansion of the money supply, leading to decades of inflation - and decades of credit revenues for his friends in finance. With his Treasury Secretary, Henry Morgenthau, Jr. (the son of a founding CFR member), he arbitrarily jacked up the price of gold from \$20 per ounce to \$35, yielding untold profits for the international banking community.

FDR is probably best remembered for the New Deal, with its vast tangle of tri-lettered bureaus and agencies. Of course, since a large portion of the work force was unemployed, there was not enough tax revenue to pay for these programs. So the government turned to its other source - borrowing. In effect, the international bankers, having created the Depression, now loaned America the cash to recover from it. Naturally, the interest on these loans would be borne on the backs of taxpayers for years to come. But many impoverished Americans were only too ready to accept the money dangled by FDR, without any deep contemplation of its origins or consequences.

While thousands went hungry, the

President's Agricultural Adjustment Administration (AAA) paid farmers to destroy their crops and livestock to "raise prices." Even a child could see the madness of these actions, which demonstrated the dangers inherent in granting excessive power to government. What the New Deal really gave America was a thick dose of socialism, or government monopoly. This, of course, was precisely what the international bankers sought. To this day, many Americans do not perceive that when they accept federal aid, they almost invariably surrender a degree of freedom or control. Sunsets may be an exception to the old saw, "You can't get something for nothing," but government benefits are not.

Top Wall Streeters were pleased with the creation of the Export-Import Bank in 1934, but the New Deal agency they probably liked most was the National Recovery Administration (NRA), which was designed to regulate the country's businesses.

The essence of the plan for the NRA was laid out by Bernard Baruch in a speech on May Day in 1930. As chairman of the War Industries Board during World War I, Baruch had possessed government-granted autocratic power over America's businesses. He now savored the idea of the same arrangement in peacetime. Roosevelt appointed Baruch's protege, Hugh Johnson, to run NRA. Assisting Johnson were Gerard Swope, president of General Electric and a member of the CFR; Walter Teagle, chairman of the board of Standard Oil of New Jersey and a director of I.G. Farben's American subsidiary; and Louis Kirstein, vice president of Filene's of Boston. Thus the bureau was administered by the captains of industry - the very people who, myopic historians tell us, regarded the New Deal as a dreaded scourge. It is notable that when FDR operated on Wall Street, his office had been at the same address as the offices of Baruch and Swope - 120 Broadway.

The NRA collaborated with business to set prices, wages, and working conditions. The trick was that the largest companies had the most say. For example, in establishing NRA guidelines for the iron and steel industry, U.S. Steel was allotted 511 votes, while Allegheny Steel, a small firm, had only seventeen; Continental Steel had but sixteen. This meant that giant corporations could dictate the operating standards in their respective fields, strangling small competitors out of existence. In the iron and steel industry alone, there were more than sixty complaints of such oppression in early 1934.

This book is not intended to vindicate Herbert Hoover, who sometimes compromised with the international bankers, and even joined the CFR in 1937. But it should be observed that Wall Street had attempted to force NRA on him while he was President. He refused, and paid for it. In his memoirs, Hoover wrote:

"Among the early Roosevelt fascist measures was the National Industry Recovery Act (NRA)... The origins of this scheme are worth repeating. These ideas were first suggested by Gerard Swope... Following this, they were

adopted by the United States Chamber of Commerce. During the campaign of 1932, Henry I. Harriman, president of that body, urged that I agree to support these proposals, informing me that Mr. Roosevelt had agreed to do so. I tried to show him that this stuff was pure fascism; that it was merely a remaking of Mussolini's "corporate state" and refused to agree to any of it. He informed me that in view of my attitude, the business world would support Roosevelt with money and influence. That for the most part, proved true."

The police-state power of NRA was perhaps best illustrated by the case of Jack Magid, a New Jersey tailor. Magid pressed a suit for thirty-five cents, whereas the NRA code for tailors stipulated forty cents. For this "crime," Magid was fined and thrown in jail.

Luckily for America, the Supreme Court ruled the NRA and AAA unconstitutional. Roosevelt retaliated by sending a bill to Congress that would enable him to appoint as many as six additional Supreme Court Justices. This became known as the famous "court-packing" scheme. But even the President's friends on Capitol Hill could not stomach such an assault on the checks and balances of power, and the measure failed.

"WE SHALL HAVE WORLD GOVERNMENT WHETHER OR NOT YOU LIKE IT - BY CONQUEST OR CONSENT"

James Warburg to a Senate committee

The Council on Foreign Relations played a significant role in the Roosevelt administration, although its influence did not peak until World War II. After being nominated at the 1932 Democratic convention, FDR traveled to Colonel House's home to pay his respects. House had an article published in the January 1933 "Foreign Affairs" laying out what some of the new Washington regime's aims should be. Among the officials Roosevelt drew from the ranks of the CFR were Secretary of State Edward Stettinus (former board chairman of U.S. Steel and the son of a Morgan partner), Assistant Secretary of State Sumner Welles, and War Secretary Henry Stimson. Wall Street banker Norman H. Davis, who served as the Council's president from 1936 to 1944, was FDR's close friend and went on missions abroad for him. James P. Warburg (CFR), the son of Paul Warburg, became a member of the President's "brain trust." It was James Warburg who would later tell a Senate committee: "We shall have world government whether or not you like it - by conquest or consent." Other CFR men held various positions in the Roosevelt government.

The Establishment also sought control of the Republican Party, which the Crash had broken. The Republican Presidential nominee in 1940 was Wendell Willkie. Certainly no one could call Willkie a party traditionalist. Until the year he ran, he had been a registered Democrat. A rabid internationalist, he wrote a book entitled "One World" and later became a CFR

member. Seven weeks before the nominating convention, a poll showed that only three percent of Republicans favored Willkie. But thanks to some mass media magic, he emerged as "the" candidate. Congressman Usher Burdick had this to say about it before the House:

"We Republicans in the West want to know if Wall Street, the utilities, and the international bankers control our party and can select our candidate?"

I believe I am serving the best interests of the Republican Party by protesting in advance and exposing the machinations and attempts of J.P. Morgan and the New York utility bankers in forcing Wendell Willkie on the Republican Party...

There is nothing to the Willkie boom for President except the artificial public opinion being created by newspapers, magazines, and the radio. The reason back of all this is money. Money is being spent by someone, and lots of it."

Wendell Willkie lost the election, but that was of no concern to the insiders of Wall Street; they were supporting both candidates. Willkie soon became an international emissary for FDR.

In September 1939, Hitler's troops invaded Poland. Britain and France declared war on Germany; World War II had begun. CFR members were interested in exploiting the Second World War - as they had the first - as a justification for world government. This, of course, later became reality in the crude form of the United Nations, which was predominantly their creation. However, to involve America in such a body would first require involving it in the war itself.

In the final analysis, there is little difference between the goals of Marxism and capitalist monopolism. Both, along with the Council on Foreign Relations, share a common final objective: one-world government.

WUCA note: In the next issue or two we will try to make room for an article on how FDR purposely maneuvered the U.S. into WWII to help accomplish the real purpose of the war - that was to psychologically acclimate the public to the acceptance of the United Nations and its hidden goal - hidden at that time - to the creation of a socialist/dictatorial one-world government.

The preceding was drawn from the excellent book "The Shadows of Power" and subtitled "The Council on Foreign Relations and the American Decline" by James Perloff. This book is about eight years old now, but still very much worth reading for a well-documented history of the CFR and its stranglehold on America. It WILL open your eyes to who really controls this country, and forever change the way you view the political power structure. As the foreword says: "There is good news and there is bad news. The good news is, this book has been written. The bad news is, it's true." This 266 page paper back can be purchased for \$10.95 plus \$2.00 s&h from American Opinion Book Services, P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, WI 54913.

GUN CONTROL - GATEWAY TO TYRANNY

Tens of Millions of Innocent Civilians Have Been Murdered in This Century by Tyrannical Governments After the Population Was Disarmed. Only the Truly Naive Could Buy Into the "Gun Control" Propaganda

by Alan M. Rice

The "Feinstein Amendment" that banned the manufacture and future sale of 19 specific semi-automatic firearms and hundreds of others that are functionally identical became law as part of the "Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994." In a display of arrogance, Senator Dianne Feinstein declared after the passage of this law "If I could have gotten 51 votes in the Senate of the United States for an outright ban, picking up every one of them, Mr. and Mrs. America, turn them all in I would have done it." Opponents of "gun control" have said for years that Handgun Control, Inc. (HCI) and the Members of Congress who support HCI have always intended to ban all firearms. Senator Feinstein has confirmed such fears.

Advocates of this ban are either unaware of the down side of "gun control" or choose to ignore it. The downside of "gun control" is genocide: mass murder of civilians of a specific religion, language or political belief.

Since 1900 at least seven major genocides have occurred resulting in the murder of 50 - 60 million people:

- ♦ Ottoman Turkey, 1915-17; 1-1.5 million Armenians murdered.
- ♦ Soviet Union, 1929-53; 20 million anti-Communists and anti-Stalinists murdered.
- ♦ Nazi Germany & Occupied Europe, 1933-45; 13 million Jews, Gypsies and Anti-Nazis murdered.
- ♦ China, 1949-52, 1957-60 & 1966-1976; 20 million anti-Communists murdered.
- ♦ Guatemala, 1960-1981; 100,000 Mayan Indians murdered.
- ♦ Uganda, 1971-1979; 300,000 Christians and Political Rivals of Idi Amin murdered.
- ♦ Cambodia, 1975-1979; 1 million murdered.

TOTAL MURDER VICTIMS:
55.9 million

In all seven of the genocides summarized above, "gun control" laws were in force before the genocide occurred, in some cases decades before. In five of the seven genocides, the lethal law, the "gun control" law was in force before the "genocide regime" took power.

"Gun control" laws are usually enacted during a crisis or a perceived crisis. President Clinton and "gun control" advocates in Congress feel that our streets have become unsafe. This is a perceived crisis. Advocates of "gun control" exploit tragic events for

their own short-term political gain, e.g. the shooting aboard the Long Island Railroad in New York. The President is even attempt-



This is what happens to innocent people when guns are taken by government.

ing to exploit the loss of life at the Murrah Federal building in Oklahoma City even though there were no firearms involved. The future effects on America can be disastrous.

Government officials, not hate groups or common criminals, were responsible for these seven genocides. In most of these cases the murder victims outnumbered their murderers; yet they were powerless to defend themselves because they were disarmed.

"Gun control" advocates claim criminal abuse of firearms justifies regulation. This is moral perversion. It is outrageous to even consider eliminating certain Constitutionally guaranteed freedoms because a few criminals abuse firearms. We should not define the rights of the law-abiding based on criminal actions.

**"GENOCIDE HAS INCREASED
IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY;
UNIVERSAL FIREARMS
OWNERSHIP BY THE
LAW-ABIDING WORLDWIDE
IS THE ONLY WAY TO
PREVENT GENOCIDE"**

The people who advocate banning the manufacture and sale of certain semi-automatic firearms claim they are abused to murder law enforcement officers. Not so! Each year since 1972 the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) has published a report entitled "Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted." Between 1972 and 1976 firearms abused to kill law enforcement officers are

sub-divided only into handguns, rifles and shotguns. However, beginning in 1977 the data also contains "Type and Size of Firearm"; this section lists the firearms abused by caliber.

The following numbers are enlightening:

- 1,330 law enforcement officers were killed with firearms between 1977 and 1994.
- 1,014 (76.24%) were killed with handguns (including officers killed with their own firearms).
- 202 (15.19%) were killed with rifles of all types -

46 of the 202 officers killed with rifles were killed with a rifle that, by its caliber, could have been a military-type firearm. In fact, only 2 AK type rifles could have been abused to kill a law enforcement officer over this 17-year period!

Essentially, over a 7-year period only 3.20% of the 1,439 law enforcement officers killed were killed by firearms that could have been military-type rifles based solely upon the caliber of the rifle.

When rioting erupted in Los Angeles in 1992, the police fled to avoid being overrun by mobs of looters. After the looters wrecked their neighborhoods, several days passed before the police returned, backed by US Army troops and Marines. However, many in the Korean-American community stayed to defend the homes and businesses that they had worked so hard to build. They were armed largely with semi-automatic firearms. The Los Angeles police did not have a duty in April 1992 - nor have they ever had a duty to - provide protection to "average citizens." The U.S. Supreme Court settled this in 1856.

An armed citizenry is close to being genocide-proof. In December 1979, the ex-Soviet Union invaded neighboring Afghanistan. Most of the 16 million Afghans are devout Muslims. They rejected the atheism of their Soviet-backed government. Afghanistan has never been "governed" by whomever held

Continued on bottom of page 23

Exterminating People The Politically Correct Way

Suppose that next week one of those cute cars or trucks labeled "for official use only" that we see all over our highways were to drive upwind of an American city and release a few canisters of aerosol-borne anthrax spores, and that the resulting epidemic of suffering from this disease killed 2 million American men, women, and children. Moreover, at his subsequent press conference, the President announced that he was pleased by the success of this project and had ordered that it become a yearly event. Each year, two million innocent Americans would be murdered by disease. He explains that he is acting on an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) report concluding that the environment is suffering from the impact of too many people, so the obvious solution is to kill some of them. Being a moderate in all things, the President has decided to kill only 2 million per year.

In the ensuing debate, he is supported by the ever-loyal press, but an undercurrent of dissatisfaction develops because the two million dead happen to include a racially unbalanced mix of people and a large proportion of voters from the political party opposed to the President.

A compromise is reached. Henceforth the anthrax will instead be released upon countries outside of the United States. A couple more aircraft carriers are budgeted for the fleet in case anyone objects.

This series of events is unthinkable. Right? Well the details are probably unthinkable, but the program is not just thinkable - it is effectively a current program of the EPA, all of whose employees work for the President of the United States.

"Yesterday's Malaria Wars" by Robert S. Desowitz in "Nature", reviews a book "The Tomorrow of Malaria" by S. Litsios which gives an "account of malaria's current annual toll - 300 million cases with 2 million deaths. DDT is gone."

"Green and Gold", September 1996, p.4 (P.O. Box 74416, Lynwood Ridge, Pretoria 0040, South Africa), quotes a 1994 World Health Organization (WHO) report that the number of countries considered to be malarial rose from 90 in 1992 to 140 in 1994. In 1994, the 140 included 33% of the world. WHO estimated the annual cases at 500 million, with 2 million deaths and 90% of the cases occurring in Africa.

"Remembering Silent Spring and Its Consequences" by J. Gordon Edwards (available by reprint from Dr. J. Gordon Edwards, Department of Biological Sciences, San Jose State University, One Washington Square, San Jose, CA 95192-0100), should be in every biology class. Edwards gives the science, nonsense and politics behind the EPA ban of DDT even though the EPA's own investigations concluded that DDT was not harmful to man, fish, birds, or other wildlife.

Edwards writes that a reporter asked Charles Wurster, chief "scientist" for the Environmental Defense Fund, if a DDT ban wouldn't result in the greater use of much more toxic pesticides that had a long history of killing people. Wurster's response, "So what? People are the cause of all the problems. We have too many of them. We need to get rid of some of them and this is as good a way as any." He was then asked: "Doctor, how do you square the killing of people with the mere loss of some birds (although the science showed birds were not being harmed by DDT)?" Wurster answered: "It doesn't really make a lot of difference, because the organo phosphate acts locally and only kills farm-workers, and most of them are Mexicans and Negroes."

"WE HAVE TOO MANY OF THEM (PEOPLE). WE NEED TO GET RID OF SOME... AND THIS IS AS GOOD A WAY AS ANY"

Ah well, so there were unwise statements by extremists. Right! Except that the DDT ban, currently in effect and completely under

the control of the President of the United States and his employees at the EPA, is still killing 2 million people per year and causing suffering for hundreds of millions more - and most of them just happen to be South and Central Americans and Negroes (and some other races in Asia).

As Marjorie M. Hecht reports in "Bring Back DDT to Defeat Malaria and Save Lives" in "21st Century Science and Technology" (available from P.O. Box 16285, Washington, DC 20041), even in localities where mosquito strains have developed resistance to DDT, it is effective in repelling these insects from treated houses if not in killing them.

According to the National Academy of Sciences, DDT saved 500 million lives before it was banned. This is an ongoing story of political power, conscience, and genocide on a scale rivaled only by the mass killings under Stalin and Mao. It is not mitigated by the claims from the EPA and the President that they are acting with good intentions.

ACCESS TO ENERGY

Box 1250, Cave Junction, OR 97523

.... continued from page 22

power in the capital, Kabul. Afghans look after themselves: most adult males are armed. Armed Afghan civilians attacked the Afghan and Soviet armies.

Afghanistan had no "gun control" before the Soviet invasion. Thus armed Afghan civilians put the Afghan and Soviet armies on the defensive. These civilians' resolve moved other countries, including the United States, to supply heavier arms (anti-aircraft missiles). In 1989, the war-weary Soviets withdrew their 115,000 troops. The Afghans offer a perfect example of how armed civilians without heavy weapons can wreck armies. Had the Afghans been unarmed, the Soviets most certainly would have been able to commit mass murder of anyone who opposed them.

During debate on the "1994 Crime Bill," President Clinton said: "To hide behind the rights of sports people to justify the kind of unconscionable behavior that takes place every single day on the streets of this country is an unforgivable abuse of our common right to be hunters."

As demonstrated above, the ownership of a semi-automatic firearm has absolutely nothing to do with hunting and everything to do with preventing mass murder. In 1942, 125,000 Japanese Americans were deported to concentration camps, losing almost all their property, and almost ending up as dead as Europe's Jews. The Supreme Court approved these deportations. The "New York Times" referred to the internment camps as

"concentration camps." Genocide can happen in America. It has also been demonstrated that these particular firearms are NOT much abused, as the President would like Americans who are unfamiliar with firearms nomenclature to believe.

To prevent future genocides, legislation such as the "Feinstein Amendment" must be repealed. The evidence set forth above proves that "gun control" is the key to genocide. Genocide has increased in the twentieth century; universal firearms ownership by the law-abiding worldwide is the only way to prevent genocide. There are many ways to minimize abuse of firearms by criminals; the vast majority of Americans are law-abiding, and should not be governed according to standards set by the criminal minority.

LEAA ADVOCATE

Law Enforcement Alliance of America

7700 Leesburg Pike, #421

Falls Church, VA 22043

("Lethal Laws", 350 pages, a compilation of the "gun control" laws that preceded the seven genocides described in this article is available for \$28.95 postage paid and "Gun Control: Gateway to Tyranny, The Nazi Weapons Laws of 1938", 130 pages, contains documentary proof that the U.S. Gun Control Act of 1968 has Nazi Roots, and is available for \$22.90 postage paid. Both of these titles contain extensive source citations, and can be purchased from JPFO, Inc., Dept. LEAA, 2872 So. Wentworth Ave., Milwaukee, WI 53207, 414-769-0760.

WHY SUCH A CHAOTIC SOCIETY TODAY?

*All the Sad Statistics Point to the Continued Decay of American Society -
With the Answer to the Morass Being Rather Basic*

According to conservative columnist/social critic Robert Walters, Americans are living amidst social and moral chaos. He explains the root causes of America's moral and social decay: "We failed to teach enduring values to our children. We put material things above eternal things... we valued the fruits of the extra income higher than the teaching of values such as honor, love, obedience, hard work and respect." Moreover, "We no longer demand virtuous behavior from our own children; we elect people to high public offices who have a history of indiscretion and immoral conduct. Many of us accept degenerate and obnoxious behavior in the name of tolerance."

Elvie Bedsole, a social critic in West Monroe, LA, says this about America's social and moral decline: "If we violate (God's rules), we must pay the penalty... I have seen men break every rule laid down by our Heavenly Father. The penalty we are paying is our society has become a cesspool of drugs, AIDS, homosexuality, abortion, pornography, incest, satanism, child abuse and all other criminal activities known to man." She adds: "Our divorce courts are over-crowded because we have forgotten or ignored the rule 'Till death do us part' in our

marriage vows. AIDS has become a threat to the entire world because we have forgotten the rule 'Thou shalt not commit adultery.'"

FBI statistics support the contention that America is in a state of social and moral chaos; these statistics reveal that: A crime is reported every two seconds; a person is murdered every 23 minutes; every five minutes a woman is raped; every 51 seconds someone is robbed; an assault occurs every 28 seconds; a burglary takes place every 12 seconds; a theft is reported to police every four seconds, every 20 seconds a car is stolen.

In his new book "Why America Needs Religion" (Wm. B. Eerdmans Co.), University of Massachusetts scholar-educator Dr. Guenter Lewy points out further indices of America's social and moral disorder: "The number of cohabitating unmarried couples in the United States tripled during the 1970s and nearly doubled again during the 1980s. In today's society, divorce is available upon request and carries no social stigma. The religious and moral bonds that hold families together have been loosened as more and more people think of themselves as rights-bearing and pleasure-seeking individuals who form and sever relationships with other people according to their

own convenience." Dr. Lewy adds: "In the United States in 1970, there were 47 divorced persons for every 1,000 married persons, by 1989 there were 138. Between 1970 and 1992, the portion of children under 18 living with only a mother increased from 11 per cent to 23 percent. The percentage of children born to unmarried women jumped from 11 to 28 per cent between 1970 and 1990. In the face of these figures, one cannot but conclude that the traditional family is in a state of serious crisis."

And what is the root cause of America's social and moral disorder? The late Dr. Russell Kirk, author of "The Conservative Mind, Eliot and His Age, Enemies of the Permanent Things", etc., provided this spiritually discerning response: "What ails modern civilization? Fundamentally, our society's affliction is the decay of religious belief. If a culture is to survive and flourish, it must not be severed from the religious vision out of which it arose. The high necessity of reflective men and women, then, is to labor for the restoration of religious teachings as a credible body of doctrine."

CHRISTIAN NEWS
3277 Boeuf Lutheran Rosad
New Haven, MO 63068


LOW, LOW PRICES

Get Your Financial Protection From DISCOUNT GOLD & SILVER TRADING CO.

LOW, LOW PRICES


Fast Delivery - Volume Discounts Available - Buy or Sell

We carry all types of Gold, Silver, & Platinum




*Non
Confiscatable
Gold &
Silver
Coins*

\$20 LIBERTY



\$20 ST. GAUDENS



**SILVER
EAGLE**

PCGS & NGC
Certified

WEALTH INSURANCE

BULLION

90% and 40% Silver Bags 1% above spot. Silver Eagles \$1.60 above spot. GOLD-Krugerrands, Coronas, British Sovereigns, Mex. 50 Pesos 2% above spot. Platinum-Koalas, Maples, and Nobles 5% above spot.

NON-REGULATED

GOLD

NGC and PCGS uncirculated \$20 St. Gaudens and Liberties only 6% above wholesale cost. Circulated St. Gaudens and Liberties only 5% above wholesale cost delivered!

CALL NOW & COMPARE • 1-800-375-4188

* All prices Subject to Market Fluctuation and Availability

Listen to Financial Survival in the 90's for up to the minute information
Tuesday - Thursday, on WWCN short-wave 2 freq. 12.160 at 4 - 5 p.m. CST
Jim Cedarstrom of Discount Gold & Silver will update you on the true state of
the economy and its effect on gold and silver market trends

DISCOUNT GOLD & SILVER TRADING
634 Schemmer, Suite 304 • Prescott, Arizona 86301 • **1-800-375-4188**

WHAT UNIONS WON'T TELL THEIR MEMBERS

Most Union Members Do Not Realize That They Have the Legal Right to Have All Union Dues Returned That Are Used For Political Purposes

by Lawrence W. Reed

This past Labor Day week, the newspapers and the airwaves were saturated with praise for labor unions. One thing you won't read or hear very much of, however, is how unions deny their own members a basic right that has been affirmed by the United States Supreme Court.

Union members seldom are told - and as a result, very few know - that they have a right to demand a refund of that portion of their dues used to back political candidates or lobby for causes with which they may disagree. Kenneth Weinstein and Thomas Wielgus of the Heritage Foundation believe this is not small potatoes: "Depending on the individual union and the accounting rules applied," they write in a recent Heritage report, "union dues devoted to non-collective bargaining activities could range from under \$200 to well over \$2,000 a year per union member."

If you've wondered where the AFL-CIO is getting the \$35 million it's spending [for the expressed purpose of] defeating conservative Republicans this November, this is where it primarily comes from - out of union dues that are not for collective bargaining. In the twenty-nine states that do not have right-to-work laws, including Montana, a worker can be fired for refusing to pay union dues, even if such dues are used for purposes that run counter to his or her religious, moral, or political beliefs.

In 1988, the U.S. Supreme court ruled in its Beck decision that workers everywhere are entitled to a refund of every nickel of their union dues that does not go for collective bargaining purposes. Unfortunately, the Beck decision has not been enforced.

Even President Bush's feeble effort to implement it for businesses that do work under federal contract was rescinded by the union-friendly Clinton administration. The National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) has joined the conspiracy of silence by doing essentially nothing on the issue while organized labor rips off its own members to the tune of millions of dollars.

Keep in mind that there is nothing anti-union about the Beck decision. It is simply pro-worker, pro-free speech, pro-human rights and anti-coercion. Any worker who wants to kick in cash for his leadership's pet political causes can still write out a check. Enforcing Beck means the unions have to ask for that check and they can't have anybody fired if they don't want to write one.

In an April 1996 survey of 1,000 union

members, 78 percent indicated they did not know they had a right to obtain a refund for the portion of their mandatory dues spent on political activities. The survey also revealed that once union members realized what their Beck rights were, 56 percent would be likely to request a refund.

**"AS MUCH AS 80% OF
UNION DUES, ON AVERAGE,
ARE USED FOR NON-
COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
ACTIVITIES"**

*(meaning they must be refunded if
demanded by the union worker)*

Even when workers know their rights, the unions make it difficult to exercise them. For example, inquiring workers are routinely misled about the numbers. Exactly what constitutes "non-collective bargaining activities" is a matter subject to some disagreement, and the unions usually "fudge" it to their advantage. Marshall Breger, former Solicitor of the Department of Labor, states that as much as 80 percent of union dues, on average, are used for non-collective bargaining activities. The National Education Association and its local teacher union affiliates lost an important case in

the Supreme Court when it was determined that they were spending just 10 percent of union dues for collective bargaining.

There is hope for worker rights, however. An Illinois Congressman, Harris Fawell, has introduced a bill (H.R. 3580) that would require labor unions to get permission from their members before using mandatory dues for politicking and other non-collective bargaining purposes. Senator Judd Gregg of New Hampshire has introduced a similar measure in the Senate (S. 1845).

A coalition of union leadership, liberal Democrats, and the NLRB has kept the lid on this message long enough. Why don't they want workers to know about such fundamental rights as those affirmed in the Beck decision?

Maybe it's because they know that their power rests upon workers being kept in the dark and denied free choice. If the way unions spend their members' money must depend upon ignorance and coercion, it ought to raise a few doubts about the message itself, don't you think?

UNRAVELLING THE
NEW WORLD ORDER
3500 JFK Parkway
Fort Collins, CO 80525

"REJECTED FROM HELL"

Some strangers stood at the gates of hell,
The devil himself answered the bell.

He looked at them from head to toe,
"You all look like creeps and I want to know.

All the things you've done in the line of sin,
That might convince me to let you in."

One stranger stood out from the rest,
And said "I was the commissioner for the I.R.S.

And the power I had was hard to believe,
Nobody escaped, and they were all deceived.

With the blessing of congress we had a job,
All the intelligence divisions would back up our mob.

We had just one boss that we were to serve,
We were the money collectors for the Federal reserve.

With all this power we went straight to work,
And treated all taxpayers like they were jerks.

We robbed and we plundered and made all comply
And used strong-arm tactics at the blink of an eye.

We caused most divorces and bankruptcies too,
Suicides and murders just to name a few.

The atrocities we used made dictators look meek,
They worked for us, and all would lose sleep.

The greatest nation on earth we brought to its knees,
We had the power to do as we pleased.

The devil yelled stop! I've heard enough!
I've heard all about you and your crooked stuff!

There's one thing I'll make real clear,
You'll have to leave, you can't stay here!

If I let you mingle down here with this mob,
You would murder me - and take my job!

By Kenneth Helms #01398-081
P.O. Box 5000 FCIG
Greenville, Illinois 62246

THE AMERICAN'S BULLETIN
c/o 3536 N. Pacific Highway
Medford, Oregon 97501

BAA, BAA, SAY THE SHEEPLE

A Colorado State Senator Candidly Asks: What Has America Become, and Just Where is America Today?

by Charles Duke
Colorado State Senator

Who would have thought America would be where it is today? Earlier in the week, news stories appeared announcing that a proposal to do background checks on regular passengers came from a commission studying terrorism.

This, of course, would do absolutely nothing to stop terrorism. Any decent terrorist knows enough to not travel under a real name. In any case, most airline terrorist incidents will likely be caused by someone who doesn't fly on the same plane for which the incident is planned.

Since these ideas are patently obvious to the most casual observers, what, then, is the purpose of this tyranny? Is it simply to get the American sheeple so accustomed to government spying that we don't mind?

To do this would require some sort of identification number, and what better number to use than the Social Security number? Having the SSN flying around all these databases would also allow those who have access to such numbers to examine our bank records and credit history, along with many other records.

Oh, nuts, I say. This proposal is just too depressing. So I pick up the "Wall Street Journal" for Friday the thirteenth of September. Might as well read a little financial news to get in a better mood. I mean, our economy is really doing okay, or at least so the government would have us believe.

I never got to the stock tables. There, on the front page, is a story from San Mateo, California, where den mothers, coaches, and other volunteers who work with children will now be subjected to fingerprinting and background checks. The idea, you see, is to keep our children safe from child abuse. Don't look now, Toto, but this doesn't feel like Kansas anymore.

This will be totally ineffective at curbing child abuse, but you probably already knew that. This writer knows something about child abuse and can assure everyone that the overwhelming majority of child molesters become neither den mothers nor coaches.

We have a Fourth Amendment to our U.S. Constitution that flatly prohibits these unreasonable searches and seizures. Specifically the amendment states, "*The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly*

describing the place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized."

It means that our private effects and our private lives are none of any civil authority's business, unless they have probable cause, and can obtain a warrant, sworn to by an oath or affirmation before a judge. The only way any nosy government gets away with this open and flagrant violation of constitutional rights is if the sheeple allow it.

**"WE HAVE BEEN LULLED
INTO COMPLACENCY AND
APATHY BY A GOVERNMENT
TOTALLY DEDICATED TO
THE ABSOLUTE SUBJUGATION
OF OUR FREE WILL"**

Where is the hue and cry from everyone about these measures? Have we become so conditioned to prying eyes that we have forgotten what privacy is about? Why are you, who have the courage to read this column, just standing idly by and letting our God-given rights to be stripped from us on a daily basis? Where are the letters to the editor and civil demonstrations about this blatant tyranny?

Our forefathers paid for these rights with their lives and their blood. They must be churning in their graves with the lackadaisical attitude we have today about our Constitution.

They fought a War for Independence because King George was allowing warrantless searches and incarcerations. It was considered by our Founding Fathers to be a sacrilegious violation of rights granted to us by our Creator and not subject to the rule of Man.

Somehow, in 1996, we have been lulled into complacency and apathy by a government totally dedicated to the absolute subjugation of our free will. Most of us have never really been free. We have been enslaved so long it is not clear we would know how to behave if by some process we had our real freedom restored.

It is possible the American people actually deserve what is about to happen to us. We deserve it because of our collective inaction, our collective morals, our collective set of values, and our collective embrace of a failed political process.

There are many examples in history where societies created and led by moral and just people have lasted for long periods. Almost without exception, the collapse of these societies were preceded by a loss of character in the people governed. Where would you put America today?

THE WISCONSIN REPORT
P.O. Box 45
Brookfield, WI 53008

ENVIRO'S: BEARS MORE IMPORTANT THAN PEOPLE

Neo-fascist environmental organizations are not timid when it comes to furthering their agenda. Case in point: the ongoing project to reintroduce the grizzly bear into the Selway-Bitterroot region of western Montana and eastern Idaho, already designated as a wilderness area - the largest in the lower 48 states with over one million acres. Not content with this enormous acreage, the green gestapos also want to incorporate the Frank Church-River of No Return Wilderness and adjacent roadless areas for a grand total of 15,800 square miles. No grizzly bears inhabit this monstrous territory at the present time and there is little solid evidence that they ever did. Lewis and Clark encountered grizzlies on the plains, but not in the mountains.

So where would the bears come from to populate the "recovery zone"? Some would be relocated from healthy populations, but most would wander in through corridors connecting the greater Salmon core area with the greater Yellowstone, Glacier, Yaak, and Hell's Canyon wildland ecosystems.

According to environmental "experts," a viable population of grizzlies must number a minimum of 2,000 - hence the corridors linking the sepa-

rate areas. Since the grizzly is listed as an endangered species, there would be no human activity permitted in what would arguably be the world's largest nature preserve. Even the corridors would be off limits to human activity. In the words of Road-Rip, a Montana-based affiliate of the Wildlands Project, "In the Corridor Restoration area, more than 2,800 miles of roads would be closed." Roads would be "ripped, recontoured, and revegetated." Such are the plans of the eco-elites, backed by untold millions of dollars from high-profile "environmental" organizations, tax exempt foundations, and multinational corporations.

THE NEW AMERICAN
P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, WI 54913



Grizzlies Going Where Few Have Been,
Thanks To Eco-Fascist Campaign

OUTCOME-BASED EDUCATION: PATHWAY TO SOCIALISM

The Continuing Dumbing Down of America Via the Government Controlled "Education" System is Nothing More Than a Plan to Create a Compliant Citizenry That Can Be Easily Manipulated to Fit the Needs of the Power Elite

by Marilyn Brannan

"Many companies have moved operations to places with cheap, relatively poorly educated labor. What may be crucial, they say, is the dependability of a labor force and how well it can be managed and trained - not its general educational level, although a small cadre of highly educated creative people is essential to innovation and growth. Ending discrimination and changing values are probably more important than reading and moving low-income families into the middle class." Thomas B. Sticht, president and senior scientist, Applied Behavioral and Cognitive Sciences, Inc., San Diego, California, a member of the U.S. Secretary of Labor's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills.

CHEAP LABOR

What Sticht is saying is that we need more low-wage laborers in America. The only way to keep such a labor pool available is to make sure most students don't get an education! As long as the masses are poor and uneducated, the "small cadre of highly educated, creative people" can control them and have the kind of life they want, without any competition from the masses. Sticht is proposing that we prepare a workforce with limited learning for life-long labor. We need to recognize this position for what it really is.

Now, you decide: Is Sticht a willing dupe who actually believes in the goodness of the "small cadre," - or is he part of the "small cadre"?

FEDERALLY CONTROLLED EDUCATION

The Clinton administration is in lockstep with Sticht and those of his ilk. During the Bush years, Hillary Clinton was on the board of directors for the National Center on Education and the Economy. This group was established by the Carnegie organization, the engine driving social change through education in this country. In June 1990, this group published a report called "America's Choice: High Skills or Low Wages." This report calls for reformers who can connect Labor with education. On the second page of this document, there is the statement:

"...the system is managed by a small group of educated planners and supervisors who do the thinking for the organization. They plan strategy, implement changes, motivate the workers and solve problems. Extensive administrative procedures allow managers to keep control of a large number of workers. Most employees under this model need not be educated. It is far more important that they be reliable, steady and willing to follow directions."

So, what they are saying is that we need compliant workers who do what they are told, with a good attitude. How do they propose to produce this idealized labor pool of "happy campers"? Start by teaching students, from the earliest grades, the attitudes and social behaviors that will please business.



**Marilyn Brannan - Associate Editor
Monetary & Economic Review**

At all costs, they would avoid a broad-based, high quality, academic education. Dr. James A. Gilson, in his article, "Big Brother Arrives," puts it very succinctly: "Through learner outcomes, the federal government will be able to order what student products it wishes and schools will compete to fill the specifications most cheaply. This will not be establishing a free-market education system controlled by individuals and families, but a government command system patterned after the old Yugoslavia."

A PLANNED SOCIETY

How far away are we from the pathway that conforms our children to a planned society? Ron Sunseri, in his book "Outcome-Based Education", presented the following statements and advised the reader to note who made them:

"A rough equality in general wealth and income is a necessary condition for equality of political power..."

"Most of the crimes that we know today would simply disappear under a socialist society."

"The main emphasis will be on learning how to play, how to create, how to be an individual, and how to live and work collectively."

"After the socialist revolution, education will have a much broader role..."

Sunseri tells us, "These statements were made in 1973 by Michael Lerner, a trusted associate of President Clinton and his wife in the early 1970s. Lerner led the Seattle Liberation Front, an ultra-Left organization described by the Washington State attorney general as "totally indistinguishable from Fascism and Nazism." According to Sunseri, Lerner is still openly opposed to free-market capitalism, and as recently as 1988 wrote to then-governor Bill Clinton, saying, "You have helped me clarify my own thinking." Sunseri adds that the Clintons have done nothing to distance themselves from Lerner - that, in fact, they remain close associates to this day.

REINVENTING K-12

Hillary Rodham Clinton and Ira Magaziner, the pair that created President Clinton's socialized health care package are also the architects of OBE's career-oriented education. They co-authored an article in "Educational Leadership" mapping out a plan to wed high schools to the workplace - a giant step toward socialized education. Two of their recommendations: 1) nationally established performance-based standards for all students and 2) nationally established assessments (national test) that students must pass to achieve the Certificate of Initial Mastery, OBE's new "diploma."

Relying heavily on the Clinton/Magaziner "ideas," the Department of Labor (yes, Department of Labor, not Education) is telling schools how to go about "reinventing K-12." Using OBE, a system geared toward changing attitudes and behavior skills, a national education system will be able to teach, evaluate, then remediate our children into well-oiled, compliant workers. Why can't Johnny read? Well, it's no accident. Elitists promoting OBE are intentionally restructuring schools to produce quotas of semi-literate workers. Students will be trained to perform menial tasks under supervision in order to serve the demands of the global economy.

A distinct picture begins to emerge when we study the direction that OBE is taking our public schools. The reason "all children can learn" under the transformational OBE system is that there is no core of academics for which they will be responsible. The focus on social behaviors will enable them to function at a minimal, dependent level in the labor market.

NATIONAL EDUCATION SYSTEM

During the phase of implementation designed to make people comfortable with the outcomes, citizens from Pennsylvania to
Continued on page 28

.... continued from page 27

Oregon were told the outcomes would be locally determined. However, an early critic of OBE wrote over two decades ago what is still true today:

"The ingeniousness of the process is that everybody thinks he is having a voice in the direction of the public schools... The change agents at the district level then function to 'identify' needs and problems for change as they have been programmed... This is why the goals are essentially the same in schools districts across the country."

A FEARFUL MASTER

What is wrong, you might ask, with a national system of education controlled by the federal government? Probably nothing, if we had a government that restrained itself from attempts to invade and control the personal lives of its citizens (*WUCA comment*: What we have today is a government obsessed with people control, which is why education should be totally separated from government). Unfortunately, that idea is sheer fantasy. To quote George Washington, "Government is not reason, it is not eloquence, it is force! Like fire, it is a troublesome servant and a fearful master." The federal government has no constitutional sanction to be involved in education, and education has never been designated as a responsibility of the federal government.


Our founders knew that involving the federal government in education was a danger to the freedom of our citizens. That is why they did everything in their power to keep the federal government out of education. Today, however, the federal government has demonstrated its intention of being involved with education at every level.

Consider an excerpt from a letter from G. Leland Burningham, state superintendent of public instruction for Utah. It was written on July 27, 1984 to T. H. Bell, President Reagan's Secretary of Education:

"I am forwarding this letter to accompany the proposal which you recommended Bill Spady and I prepare in connection with Outcome-Based Education. This proposal centers around the detailed process by which

we will work together to implement Outcome-Based Education using research verified programs. This will make it possible to put outcome-based education in place, not only in Utah but in all schools of the nation. For those who desire, we will stand ready for regional and national dissemination of the Outcome-Based Education program."

"THERE IS NO EXAMPLE OF SUCCESS IN RAISING ACADEMIC SCORES AS A RESULT OF IMPLEMENTING OUTCOME-BASED EDUCATION. NOT ONE!"



This letter reveals that Bell recommended Spady and Burningham submit a proposal for federal funding (which they received) for a plan making it possible to put OBE in every school in the nation.

RECORD OF FAILURE

Any system that asks parents to expose their children to risk of dramatic philosophical and methodology change ought to be able to supply reams of empirical data to establish the academic improvement that can be expected for the children. Yet there is none! Parents are asked to gamble on theory and potential and then wait five years to see what happens! That is another reason OBE advocates want more time before their great "experiment" on your children is evaluated. There is no example of success in raising academic scores as a result of implementing outcome-based education. Not one!

In a study at Johns Hopkins University, it was concluded that the method of mastery learning is, in reality, a Robin Hood approach

taking from the fast learner to help out the poor learner. In many school districts, parents complain that the faster and higher achieving students are being held back. The Johns Hopkins study reveals this flaw in OBE, reporting that "students who achieve the criterion early cannot go onto new material, there is a ceiling effect built into the procedure." Those students experiencing the ceiling effect are made to be peer tutors or receive what is deceptively called "horizontal enrichment." If high achieving students spend the bulk of their time teaching other

students, they aren't learning anything new. "Dumbing down" aptly describes the trap that high achievers fall prey to in OBE.

OBE proponents continue to ask for a little more time to "give it a chance to work." Standard allotment for implementation is five years. In essence, this gives OBE proponents a five-year period to implement without evaluation. But after five years, OBE will be so entrenched that it will be a near-impossible task to extricate from it. Some speculate this is precisely why five years is sought for implementation.

In spite of the failures of OBE across the nation (and in other parts of the world), it's a disaster that is still damaging kids. Many educators are afraid - afraid of losing their jobs, afraid for their futures, for their retirement. Some have bravely stood up and faced the loss of their jobs or been reassigned for opposing implementation of OBE. Those few have demonstrated their genuine concern for their children's future. They are today's heroes. The real fight will have to come from parents and other taxpayers, fed up with the empty, fraudulent promises of future excellence and the blatant grab for government control of the next generation through the educational system.

ASTRONOMICAL COSTS

Actually no one knows how much OBE will eventually cost. There are examples from around the country that reveal some of the costs for implementing some aspects of OBE. The Kentucky education commissioner proposed to spend \$80 million over a five year

period just to test students on the effect of education reform. This proposed spending was just the cost of designing tests for grades four through eleven!

- Farnsworth Elementary, in Minnesota, spent \$240,552 for 326 students.

- Littleton, Colorado, spent \$670,000 for one high school. For one school district, Littleton spent \$1.3 million.

- Minnesota spent \$40 million for implementation costs.

- Daniel Boone school district in Pennsylvania spent \$300,000 for start-up costs.

- The Kentucky legislature was ultimately asked for \$500 million for implementation.

- The Oregon Education Association was quoted in a June 27, 1991, article in "The Oregonian" as estimating it would cost Oregon \$2.5 billion to fully implement this new education reform on a state level.

- The country of Sweden spent \$7 billion before completely abandoning this system.

(Source: Ron Sunseri, "Outcome-Based Education: Understanding the Truth About Education Reform", Questar Publishers, Inc., Sisters, Oregon, 1994, p. 120.)

LONG RECORD OF FAILURES

With no record of academic success, a long record of failures, and no definitive idea of ultimate costs, it seems preposterous that OBE is still being supported, and actually pushed by the education elite of this country. However, when you realize that the real agenda of OBE is not academic or educational excellence, but an agenda for social engineering, it becomes clear why the battle over OBE is being fought so fiercely.

It is a battle that must engage all of us who want educational excellence for our children, not only for their individual potential and fulfillment, but also to prepare them for the role of providing wise and capable leadership that will insure the future freedom of this nation. Our adversaries are those who intend to train our children to function as submissive "worker ants" of the future, trained (not educated) only in those areas deemed appropriate by the small cadre of well-educated elites who wish to control the system and thereby guarantee for themselves the life they desire.

If this sounds to you like a recipe for full-scale socialism in America, then you're getting the picture!

UNRAVELLING THE NEW WORLD ORDER
3500 JFK Parkway, Fort Collins, CO 80525

WUCA comment: There is a tremendous conflict of interest built into the education/government relationship. All governments have a natural propensity to further empower themselves, even when supposedly controlled by the chains of a Constitution. Our founders strictly LIMITED the functions of the central (federal) government for this very reason. They fully realized this inclination of government, even if small initially, to gain more and more power over time.

When the government controls education it has a strong incentive to "educate" our children in such a way as to shed a favorable light on THEIR goals. The government does not have an incentive to give kids an education that is necessarily of the most benefit to our young. In fact, the government interest is in direct opposition to providing a quality education.

At the federal level, and at the highest levels of the education establishment, including the NEA, the system has been taken over by hardcore socialists, if not outright Marxists/communists. (Read "NEA, Trojan Horse in American Education" by Samuel L. Blumenfeld for an eye opening book on this subject.) Their agenda is to control the people and create a socialist society that will more easily facilitate their agenda of people control. This requires dumbing down the children and training them to be compliant non-thinking order takers so that they will grown up to be the same as an adult. Remember, the tenth plank of the Communist Manifesto is government control of education. Guess why?

"THERE IS A TREMENDOUS CONFLICT OF INTEREST BUILT INTO THE EDUCATION GOVERNMENT RELATIONSHIP"

The rugged individualism that built this country to prosperous and moral greatness must be torn down, as has largely been done, and be replaced with a collectivist attitude of dependence on big brother government. The global manipulators have no desire to create thinking, discerning, and independent adults - this does nothing but threaten their position of power and wealth. What they want is what is being produced by today's government run public schools, a dumbed down population in which a large percentage of people can not read properly. After all, if a person can't read and only receives information and "news" from the controlled TV networks, they are very easily manipulated to vote the "proper" way among a group of controlled so-called "choices" that are in reality of no major difference. The result is the continued demise of this country and the gradual push towards world socialist totalitarianism in which a handful of elite will completely control the masses and keep them at a low level of economic prosperity.

When it comes to education, the only real option, if we are to maintain a free and prosperous society, is to completely disengage the government from all aspects of education. This will require the privatization of education and the elimination of all taxes for such. If things continue on today's course, the system will continue to produce kids that fit the government's agenda rather than the true needs of a free society that allows opportunity for all who wish to work hard to prosper. It boils down to freedom or non-freedom. Government control of education will inevitably lead to the latter, and indeed is doing so today.

Warning: "Big Brother" On Board

by F.R. Duplantier

You're driving down the highway when your car stalls suddenly. You haven't run out of gas, your fuel filter hasn't clogged up, your electrical system hasn't failed - it's just Big Brother doing some back-seat driving.

"Washington Times" automotive writer Eric Peters warns that "it is now possible for government bureaucrats to shut your car off at the push of a button via global positioning satellites transmitting signals to your car's on-board computer." Peters suggests that such a scenario "could easily become a working reality if a proposed new engine computer system - On-Board Diagnostics III - gets mandated by Washington regulators. All 1996 and newer cars," he adds, "already have On-Board Diagnostics II computers that were deemed necessary in order to comply with ever-stricter new car emissions standards - and to make 'tampering' with emissions control equipment more difficult."

Current on-board computers can "continuously monitor the functioning of a car's emissions systems," Peters points out. "The theory behind on-board diagnostics," he explains, "is that by constantly monitoring engine performance - and signaling the driver when a fault occurs - overall emissions levels can be substantially reduced." Cars equipped with such computers "cannot be maintained/modified by their owners without triggering the system to register a fault and possibly causing it to fail an emissions test." The proposed new engine computer system "has the potential to be more than inconvenient," says Peters, warning that On-Board Diagnostics III could "subject the driving public to total control by bureaucratic overlords in state and federal agencies."

Such a prospect is not as far-fetched as it may seem. "The technology has been around for a few years now," observes Peters. "Each car with a computer could be programmed with its very own electronic signature that would allow instantaneous identification by the authorities." Used in conjunction with global positioning satellites, On-Board Diagnostics III "would make it possible for government to automatically monitor the speed of every car on the road - sending tickets through the mail to the driver of each and every car that exceeds the posted limit."

The real target isn't speeding cars, however, but mobility itself. "Many alert car enthusiasts now believe present efforts to get rid of older, pre-computerized vehicles via so-called 'clunker' laws and 'enhanced' emissions tests are partly motivated by the realization that such vehicles cannot be monitored and controlled as easily as computerized cars. They may be on to something," Eric Peters concedes. "Should the government at some point in the future decide to impose a 'state of emergency,' it could shut down almost every car in the land at the flick of a switch - making it difficult for people to travel anywhere. Only those lucky few with older cars would not be helpless and immobile. Maybe that's why certain folks are so eager to see us all driving computer-controlled cars - and why they devote so much energy to removing all cars built before the early 1980s from the roads."

BEHIND THE HEADLINES

7800 Bonhomme, St. Louis, MO 63105

WHO REALLY BENEFITS FROM THE "DRUG WAR"

It's Time That Well-Meaning Conservatives Take a Hard, Close Look at What the Reality is Behind the Much Ballyhooed Drug War

by Jacob G. Hornberger

A member of the Christian Coalition recently telephoned me and said that she agreed with most of what The Future of Freedom Foundation stands for and wanted to support us. She then asked: "What is your organization's stand on drug legalization?" I responded "We call for the total legalization of all drugs. We believe that the war on drugs is evil, immoral, and destructive." She said that as a Christian, she could not send us a donation unless we changed our position on this one issue. My response was quite simple: "As a Christian, I could never support anything but drug legalization." She did not send her donation.

The United States government has waged its war on drugs for 80 long years. In the 1970s President Richard Nixon, realizing that the war was still not won, decided to wage it more fiercely than ever before. And every president for the past 25 years has made the war on drugs one of his top priorities.

The war on drugs has to be one of the greatest con jobs in political history. It is not surprising that those who benefit from the war - politicians, bureaucrats, and drug lords - support it. What is so disappointing is how the victims of the war - the American people - continue to do so, as well.

If drugs were relegalized, there would be three groups of people who would immediately be put out of work: drug lords, politicians, and bureaucrats. With drug legalization, pharmacies, tobacco companies, and other reputable businesses would be selling marijuana, cocaine, heroin, and other drugs. Every single drug lord would be out of business the minute drugs were legalized because the drug lords would not be able to compete against reputable businessmen in a legal, unhampered marketplace.

It is not surprising, then, that drug lords are among the most vociferous opponents of drug legalization. For they are among the three primary beneficiaries of the drug war.

And the same holds true for bureaucrats - DEA agents, police officers, FBI officials, U.S. marshals, deputy sheriffs, assistant U.S. attorneys, and all the other government employees whose jobs bear a direct relationship to the drug war. They have payments to make on mortgages, rent, cars, and

other bills. They know that if drugs were legalized, not only would all the bribes and payoffs that drug lords make to these people vanish with drug legalization, their governmental jobs would disappear, as well. Like drug lords, the drug-war bureaucrats would have to find honest jobs in the private sector.

**"THE WAR ON DRUGS HAS
TO BE ONE OF THE
GREATEST CON JOBS IN
POLITICAL HISTORY...
THE DISAPPOINTMENT
LIES WITH THE AMERICAN
PEOPLE - FOR CONTINUING
TO FALL FOR THE CON"**

There is another reason that bureaucrats support the drug war even more fervently than drug lords: asset-forfeiture laws. Today, DEA agents, police, and deputy sheriffs stop innocent people on the highways and airports of the United States and rob them of their cash and other belongings - without even charging them with a crime. The booty goes straight into the government coffers (or the pockets of the law-enforcement official) and is used to help fund the salaries and other expenses of the ever-growing drug-war bureaucracy. In other words, law-enforcement officials no longer have to depend totally on the taxing and budgeting decisions of the elected representatives of the people, e.g., the city council. They are becoming self-funding fiefdoms whose money is generated from the cash and valuables stolen from the citizenry. Why in the world would these bureaucrats ever call for the relegalization of drugs? (*WUCA comment:* And just what incentive do they have in stopping illegal drugs when they benefit so much? Their attitude would be more along the lines of: "keep the gravy train rolling.")

And the same holds true for politicians. Several months ago, I appeared on a television show cohosted by Ohio Congressman James A. Traficant Jr. I said to him: "It's not surprising that you congressmen favor the war on drugs. You have been among the biggest beneficiaries." He said: "I guess you're suggesting that we're all on the take." I said: "No, what I am suggesting is that this has been a tremendous way to get votes, campaign con-

tributions, and more power over the American people."

For the last 25 years, there has been no surer way to win votes and support from the electorate than for a politician to simply say: "I favor the war on drugs." Constituents (including drug dealers) have responded with votes and cash. The war on drugs has been one of the biggest winners in political history for politicians. Why in the world would any politician want to kill such a gigantic vote-and-cash cow?

Thus, it is difficult to become too disappointed with drug lords, politicians, and bureaucrats. They are only doing what the rest of us do - acting in their self-interest. The disappointment lies with the American people - for continuing to fall for the con. **FREEDOM DAILY**

11350 Random Hills Rd., #800

Fairfax, VA 22030

(Mr. Hornberger is president of The Future of Freedom Foundation, a conservative/libertarian organization, at the above address.)

WUCA comment: This editor has written on this issue a number of times in the past, so I'll only briefly comment. First, I am strongly against the use of any drugs - I don't even like to take aspirin - but at the same time we must all recognize the fact that in a free country people must take personal responsibility for their own actions. If you wish to destroy your body with alcohol, tobacco, drugs, or whatever - it's not wise, but that is your choice in a free country as long as you do no direct harm to others, such as driving while impaired.

Second, the "drug war" is most certainly one of the biggest cons ever put over on the American people. It's real purpose has nothing whatsoever to do with doing away with drugs - this is only the government line for consumption by a gullible and naive public. The real purpose is to empower government while being used as an excuse to take away our Constitutional freedoms and pirate our property. The U.S. government itself is one of the biggest drug smugglers we have, and this includes eyewitness accounts of Bill Clinton himself, while governor of Arkansas, being directly involved in drug trafficking. It's time conservatives wake-up to the fact they are being duped in a major way by this phony "war."

THE COMING RUSSIAN BAILOUT

Expect Your Dollars to Soon Go Toward Bailing Out the Russian Basketcase Economy along the Lines of the Mexican Fiasco

In the latter days of the Mexican bailout, Bill Clinton adopted a populist tone. He complained that the U.S. had to shoulder so much of the burden for reviving the Mexican economy (which is actually a dead patient). What we really need, he said, is a global fund that would share the burden among all the industrialized nations. By chance, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) had been working on just such a fund.

A global bailout fund? Sure. It's been a dream of the financial elites for generations. They've attempted to use the Mexican bailout - which actually should have demonstrated the financial hazards of promising a safety net to any government - as the very reason why a worldwide lender-of-last resort is essential. No need to have the fund in place in advance. Governments like to save the big changes for the actual moment of crisis.

As it turns out, these discussions were not merely abstract. Plans were already afoot to bail out what the statistics used to call the world's second largest economy: Russia. Clinton may even attempt to take credit for shoving the bulk of the burden onto international lending agencies.

The trouble is this. The Russian economy

has never really been reformed, despite the daily press reports from Moscow claiming their markets are free and their money is sound. In fact, the ruble still operates more like scrip than currency, and its value is not determined by the market.

"THE U.S. HAS BEEN FINANCIALLY BACKING RUSSIA SINCE THE DAYS OF LENIN... SOME PEOPLE CREDIT DOLLARS WITH KEEPING THE SOVIETS IN POWER"

The Treasury and Federal Reserve have been doing their best to shore up the Russian economy, keeping an inevitable collapse at bay for as long as possible. This has required a massive infusion of cash, running into the tens of billions. Especially sensitive is the high-yielding Russian T-bill market. It pays exorbitant rates, but the paper itself is basically worthless without U.S. subsidies.

At some point that debt will come due. It might be refinanced another time, but this can't go on much longer. The question is: who is going to pay? The answer is: you and me, via the IMF and the World Bank. The bailout will be sold as a wonderful act of altruism that is also in our self interest. We must main-

tain political stability there to stave off an authoritarian threat and the financial chaos that would result.

If you look at the history of U.S. bailouts of Russia alone, you'd think that there was never any struggle between the U.S. and the U.S.S.R. over communism. The U.S. has been financially backing Russia since the days of Lenin, and never really stopped. Some people credit dollars with keeping the Soviets in power. Dollars didn't save the world, as Henry Hazlitt predicted, but they sure as heck saved communist tyranny from itself, at a very high cost to us.

The Russian bailout will be the second of a major country this decade. It sends a very bad signal. Deadbeat nations the world over will assume that their friendship with the U.S. means access to the Fed's printing presses. Their assumption, correct or not, does nothing for the incentive to restrain spending and pursue sound financial management.

Will the bailout become a political issue? Not unless someone is willing to make it one. Look how the Mexican travesty was swept under the carpet. If all the elites are guilty, they form a mutual protection society. They will even keep worthy contenders out of the presidential debates to keep the subject from coming up.

RON PAUL SURVIVAL REPORT

P.O. Box 602

Lake Jackson, TX 77566

COMRADES SEEGER AND KING, OUR "HEROES"

Over the past 50 years Pete Seeger's folk songs have praised the Stalinist Soviet regime, condemned the "bourgeois" United States, and undermined everything that freedom loving peoples everywhere cherish. To Seeger's credit, he has never denied being a hard core Stalinist Communist. He and Martin Luther King, Jr. often based their speeches on the same Communist flimflam and even appeared together at meetings sponsored by the Communist Party-USA.

So, for what purpose should Seeger take up valuable space in this publication? Because you need to be informed of the kind of people that are swelling the ranks of undeserved honors in this increasingly expanding socialistic society.

Do you not recognize the emerging pattern? Martin Luther King, Jr. was martyred in spite of his professions of hatred for the United States, and in spite of FBI proof of his Communist sponsorship. All records of his adulterous womanizing and his Communist affiliations were sealed by a Democrat federal judge after King's assassination. Pete

Seeger is receiving honors, and awards from the American Civil Liberties Union, the prestigious Kennedy Center, the National Arts Medal from the National Endowment for the Arts, and has been lauded by Bill and Hillary Clinton as an "inconvenient artist who dared to sing things as he saw them." Slick probably crossed paths with Seeger on some of their America-hating, flag-burning, forays around the world.

Who else will be lifted from their cesspool of philosophical corruption to heights of greatness? Will pages of future history books place them on the same level as real American heroes? Will the likes of Jane Fonda, Charles Schumer or even Derek Shearer, Bill Clinton's personal advisor and one of this nation's foremost Communist leaders, become role models to future generations? Dear God, what evil hath we wrought?

AMERICANS FOR SANE POLICIES N.L.
1201 Dripping Springs Road, NW
Cullman, AL 35055

RON PAUL WINS SEAT, WILL WORK TO BRING GOVT. UNDER CONTROL

Ron Paul, who writes the above newsletter, was just elected to Congress from the 14th District of Texas this past November, but he is no Congressional Rookie - he served eight years in the House during the late 70s and early 80s. He was recently chosen to serve on two of the most important committees in the 105th Congress, Banking and Education.

"I am honored that my colleagues in the House have chosen to place me on these two committees... If we do not seriously examine the very real economic trends which result from our monetary policy, we could be in for some problems in the future," said Paul.

He also had this to say about education: "After the spending of billions of dollars, our children are suffering from too much federal involvement in education. It's time to eliminate the Goals 2000 program and the Dept. of Education, and return control of our schools to the parents, school boards and states - where the power constitutionally belongs."

Ron Paul should bring a good dose of political reality to Washington, as he is noted for his strong stance to limit central government power and bring control back to the local level. We wish Ron the best of success in his effort to help return America to a limited Constitutional Republic.

Media Monopolies Give Us Controlled "News"

With Major Media Ownership Being More and More Concentrated, the Information the Public Receives Continues to Grow More Controlled, Slanted and Suspect for Objectivity

by Ben Bagdikian

Experimenters have discovered that you can turn a cat into an alcoholic. The normal cat doesn't expect it, but keep adding vodka to the dish and the cat will soon demand spiked milk as an absolute necessity.

The fat cats of the American mass media have lost their taste for the mother's milk of normal free enterprise: real competition for a reasonable profit.

Thanks to addictive doses of sympathetic governmental policies and two decades of a drive for power, a shrinking number of large media corporations now regard monopoly, oligopoly and historic levels of profit as not only normal, but as their earned right. In the process, the usual democratic expectation for the media - diversity of ownership and ideas - has disappeared as the goal of official policy and, worse, as a daily experience of a generation of American readers and viewers.

In 1982, when I completed research for my book, "The Media Monopoly", 50 corporations controlled half or more of the media business. By December 1986, when I finished a revision for a second edition, the 50 had shrunk to 29. The last time I counted, it was down to 26. [When the latest edition of "The Media Monopoly" was published in 1993, the number was down to 20.]

A number of serious Wall Street media analysts are predicting that by the year 2000, a half-dozen giant firms will control most of our media.

Of the 1,700 daily papers, 98 percent are local monopolies and fewer than 15 corporations control most of the country's daily circulation. A handful of firms have most of the magazine business, with Time Inc. alone accounting for about 40 percent of that industry's revenues. The three networks, Capital Cities/ABC, CBS and GE/NBC, still have majority access to the television audience, and most of the book business is controlled by fewer than a dozen companies, with major categories like paperback and trade books dominated by still fewer firms.

The safest way to ensure diversity of opinion is diverse ownership. But this ideal has been sacrificed by government devotion to the mythical doctrine of free market economics. The myth rests on the bizarre assumption that the modern American corporate scene is actually like Adam Smith's rural country market, in which all the farmers came to town to compete for the business of sharp-eyed customers.

If there's any truly free market in modern corporate affairs, there is none in through-


the-air broadcasting. According to the Federal Communications Act, the airwaves belong to the public.

"MEDIA ANALYSTS ARE PREDICTING THAT BY THE YEAR 2000, A HALF-DOZEN GIANT FIRMS WILL CONTROL MOST OF OUR MEDIA"

The airwaves are a limited resource, and there are a small number of available channels. The Federal Communications Commission, by law, is supposed to resist monopoly and concentrated ownership, and to grant li-

vision stations are earning annual pre-tax profits of 40 percent or more. As a result, stations that used to sell for tens of millions now sell for prices in the half-billion dollar range. Where else can you get a business based on a license issued and protected by the U.S. government, make 40 percent profit or more a year, and not be expected to be held to some standard of public service?

The printed media is not licensed, since anyone who has the cash can buy paper, ink and press time. But newspapers are mainly local monopolies. And the government has permitted a dozen outfits to dominate the



censes on the basis of "public interest, convenience and necessity." During the 1980s, the FCC, under Mark Fowler, used the country's broadcasting system as an experiment in so-called free market economics. The FCC has expanded the number of stations one corporation may own and suspended the demand that stations do any public service, like news and community issues programming. It has let big operators (Murdoch, Capital Cities, Cox, etc.) buy competitors. And it has made it almost impossible to challenge a license if the public doesn't like what it sees.

The FCC is not bailing out a sick industry. The three networks, even with their takeover debts, are still making money. Affiliated tele-

business by letting them buy an unlimited number of papers. (At last count Gannett had 92, Thomson 99.)

Whole magazine "divisions" of corporations are now bought and sold, as are book companies, by the same giant firms that dominate other media.

Rupert Murdoch, already a major owner in newspapers, magazines, broadcasting and movie production, recently became a big player in books by purchasing the last of the large independent publishers, Harper & Row. (Like some other dominant owners in the U.S. media - Thomson, a Canadian, and Bertelsmann, a German - Murdoch was also a major owner in other countries, such as Australia and England.)

Continued on bottom of page 7

CAUTION: VACCINATIONS MAY BE HAZARDOUS TO YOUR HEALTH

Scientific Evidence Points to Possible Dangers and Correlations to Serious Health Problems in Vaccination Recipients

by **Betty Martin McRae**

[Quoting Dr. Viera Scheibner, Ph.D., (178 Govetts Leap Rd. Blackheath, NSW 2785, Australia.) a retired principal research scientist, with more than 90 published scientific papers in refereed journals to her credit, from examining 100 years of orthodox research on the risks and ineffectiveness of vaccines. Extracted from "Vaccination, The Medical Assault on the Immune System", Koren Publications, 2026 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, Penn. 19103. 1-800-537-3001 - \$26.00.]

A country which mandates vaccination is not a free country. It is a country of zombies who do what they are told by vested interests who intimidate them and use them to make money...(It is) a highly invasive orthodox medical procedure, a remnant of the medical cruelties of yester-year, of no proven value and bristling with scientifically well established grave perils. [EDITOR'S NOTE: Heather Whitestone, 68th Miss America, born hearing, but deaf after DPT shot, would agree!]

Vaccines consist of a solvent and live or attenuated biological material. Solvent is a saline solution containing formaldehyde, aluminum hydroxide or aluminum phosphate mercury compound (Thiomersal), all of which are highly noxious substances. There is no safe level of formaldehyde injected into a living body.

...An unvaccinated child will have a couple of common colds within the first year of life, while chronic ill-health suffered by the recipients of vaccine injections, constant stream of common colds, otitis media, upper and lower respiratory tract diseases are well-documented in vaccinated children... AIDS not only occurs in the so-called high risk groups, it also occurs in U.S. children who have never been exposed to high risk... enormous well-documented upsurge of child leukemia and cancer (is) coincidental with mass use of vaccines and especially the polio vaccines...

The pro-vaccinators agitatedly deny any causal connection between administration of vaccines and sudden death infant syndrome

(known as cot or crib death.) ...they categorically and illogically maintain that the established temporal association between vaccine administration and deaths never amounts to a causal relationship, not even in the face of a well documented and significant clustering of deaths like the so-called Tennessee deaths (1977-1979), after vaccine injections... characteristic clustering of deaths after vaccine injections follows the dynamics of flare-ups of stress-induced breathing as microprocessor recorded with Cotwatch breathing monitor (Scheibner V. Proceedings of the 2nd Immunization Conference Canberra 1991.)

...American cot death researchers decades ago were publishing that up to some 25,000 of American babies succumb to cot deaths each year. [EDITORS' NOTE: CBS recently reported that USA still maintains its lead in "Highest Infant Mortality" in the 14 countries with advanced technology.]

With mandatory vaccination, a vast majority were vaccinated shortly (but up to two or more months) before their death. When Japan moved the vaccination age to 2 years, the cot death entity disappeared in that country (Cherry et al. 1988, Pediatrics Supplement. p. 973).

In fact, there is no need to protect children from contracting infectious diseases of childhood. These diseases prime and mature the immune system. Having measles protects not only against another bout of measles but has been demonstrated to also protect against cancer in later years.

The consistency of published and unpublished reports of brain damage after vaccination in previously healthy babies is most disturbing and in fact mind-boggling. This includes the so called minimal brain damage reflected in learning difficulties, dyslexia, autism, hyperactivity and other behavioral problems, or even criminality so well described by Harris L. Coulter in his book, "Vaccination: Social Violence and Criminality; the Medical Assault on the American Brain." Scheibner, in personal correspondence to Tedd Koren D.C., said, "Cot (crib) death is caused by vaccines. Vaccines are noxious substances. Serum of SIDS babies is so poisonous that all mice injected minute amounts of it die."

THE AMERICAN'S BULLETIN
c/o 3536 N. Pacific Highway
Medford, Oregon 97501

TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY MAY SOON BE REALITY

One of our staff members recently attended a remarkable presentation by cyberspace expert John Perry Barlow - co-founder of the Electronic Freedom Foundation and "Wired" magazine contributing editor. Barlow reported that the Internet community will soon be putting strong, encryption into the source code of the Internet.

That means encrypting your Internet communications will be easy and virtually untappable. That includes e-mail, communications with newsgroups, data file transfer, and phone calls made through your computer. If he's successful, it could give us secure, global communications, that even the Russian KGB, CIA, or Interpol can't monitor.

Naturally, you can expect strong opposition by police and spy agencies. But since the Internet is not controlled by any central authority, but by hundreds of thousands of system administrators spread throughout the

world, its opponents won't likely succeed.

Barlow also announced that he is currently working on a commercial venture to build and launch 17 geo-synchronous data satellites with strong encryption. This system, he says, would ensure the privacy of personal communications and make possible secure business transactions, world-wide.

With this system, any person on earth could communicate privately with any other person, using a pizza-pan-sized satellite dish (now available for as little as \$200). And short of blowing up the satellites, no one will be able to pull the plug. (Barlow's complete presentation on "Liberty and Cyberspace" can be ordered from ISIL for \$12 postpaid at: 1800 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94102.

THE FINANCIAL PRIVACY REPORT
P.O. Box 1277
Burnsville, MN 55337

THE REAL AMERICAN DREAM

by John Vinson

Immigration pushers proclaim that immigration is the "American Dream" - and it's the duty of Americans to fulfill the dreams of all foreigners who want to come here. Those who disagree, they charge, deny the American Dream.

But if a dream is to be American it must at least be one that Americans want to dream. For the majority of our countrymen, as shown repeatedly by national polls, massive immigration and the increasing Third Worldization of our nation is in truth an American nightmare. It is a nightmare from which we would like to awaken, but the elites pushing immigration want no awakening. By denying the will of the people, they are deniers of the real American Dream.

Immigration is not what makes America unique. Every nation outside the Garden of Eden is a nation of immigrants. What makes our country unique is the American Dream forged by our War of Independence: government of the people, by the people, and for the people.

Ruling elites have never liked this notion. In 1776, the rulers of England wanted to keep America in Third World status - a source of raw materials and cheap labor to maintain their power and privilege. Today, some native-born elites want to carry America back to Third World status, also for the sake of profits and position.

In the Third World America of their dreams, they will rule over a squabbling, diverse, poverty-ridden population. In this state of multicultural confusion, few of the populace will remember the former dream of average American citizens to rule themselves and have a say-so about their future.

Even now, the elites are watering down the standards of citizenship for the benefit of aliens who esteem our welfare system more than our national heritage.

The clock of Third Worldization ticks on. Does the American Dream have a future? Only if citizens today follow the example of their patriot ancestors who were willing to act as well as dream. In practical terms, this will mean intense political activity to force a halt to massive immigration.


There is no substitute for dedication and

hard work. Liberty, as Thomas Jefferson pointed out, is not ultimately guaranteed by the Constitution or any other document, but rather by the strength of the people to guard it. Regular exercise of rights is the only way to maintain and increase that strength.

From the halls of Congress, voting booths, and street demonstrations, the elites must hear the patriots' message loud and clear: Your dream is not our dream.

IMMIGRATION WATCH

Box 738, Monterey, VA 24465



THE COMING UNITED NATIONS TAKEOVER OF AMERICA

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Dear Editor:

It is clearly predicted in the Christian Bible that, in the years just prior to the SECOND COMING of Jesus Christ to rule this world and establish permanent peace, powerful and ruthless men would establish a world government under which every human being would become a slave! This world government is about to be set up! World leaders call it the "New World Order" and have set the year 2,000 as their goal of achieving it!

It is a newly strengthened United Nations that will be the core of this coming world government. Our leaders have strongly supported this communist controlled organization for many years. They are openly increasing its power and influence all over the world; they have SECRETLY put all of our armed forces under U.N. control; and VERY SOON they plan to give the United Nations TOTAL control of our na-

tion! United Nations troops (with much military equipment!) are reported to be SECRETLY training at recently closed U.S. military bases in preparation for: the coming takeover of America; the forcible disarming of Americans; and imprisonment of all resisters!

For such a world government to be established, no nations can be allowed to remain free and independent. And that includes America! The powerful men promoting this world government have been secretly running our federal government for some 60 years and have been gradually moving us towards total "socialism" (total government control!) by weakening our economy, our military, our defenses, our schools, and our morality. Now they label all conservatives, patriots, and militia members as "terrorists" or "right wing extremists."

Experts believe that very soon our own leaders will create a major crisis or several crises and then use this as an excuse to declare a na-

tional emergency (martial law) and suspend all of our constitutional rights! It will be announced that, for the good of our nation, all guns must be turned in! Then, our government will be taken over by U.N. officials and U.N. forces will act as "peacekeeping" forces throughout America, forcibly disarming all Americans. A major revolution will probably break out, with many Americans being killed and others imprisoned in detention camps now being built at closed military bases!

God loves you very much! He will either protect you from harm or will take you to heaven if you die during the violence ahead! But ONLY if you get right with God by repenting of your sins and trusting in Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour! "Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ and you will be saved" (Acts chapter 16: verse 31).

Fred - Aurora, Colorado

IDIOTIC POLICIES FOMENTING REVOLUTION

Radical Environmentalists and Animal Rights Activists Creating a Growing Tension as Private Property Rights are Trampled Under Insane Laws & Regulations

by Sarah J. McCarthy

The first time I'd heard of the rural rage that is sweeping the mountains and prairies of the American heartland like a wildfire was from Kathleen Marquardt, Montana resident and author of "Animal Scam". "Militias are sprouting up all over," she said. "We're truly on the verge of a revolution."

"They're ready to start shooting out West," said Ms. Marquardt. "People in Idaho are strapping on their guns and talking about secession. It's not to be pooh-poohed. I know that people have threatened federal prosecutors." Ms. Marquardt followed this with an urgent plea for concerned lawyers to help with a problem that has arisen in "Heaven-on-Earth, Montana."

If one dismisses this rural rage as just some rabid reaction to radio talk shows or a childish national tantrum, rather than trying to understand it, one would be making the same mistake as those who ignored the widespread unrest about the Vietnam war and blamed it on Jane Fonda. When a goodly number of Americans coast-to-coast are angry, as we saw in the anti-Vietnam war and the civil rights movements, they usually have some very good reasons.

Living in Last Chance Gulch near Helena, Montana, Kathleen Marquardt described her transformation from an apolitical mother to an angry "grassroots rabble-rouser" the day that her daughter came home in tears, vowing never to return to school. An animal rights group, she said, was visiting her daughter's school for a week to convince the children of ranchers, farmers, and hunters that their parents were murderers. Ms. Marquardt's daughter began crying when she was told in front of the entire class that because her mother, Kathleen, was a hunter, she was also a murderer.

"The children weren't told," says Ms.

Marquardt "that ranchers and farmers put the food on America's tables, that ranchers and miners and loggers provide their clothes and products that build their homes and schools, cars, and video games." Ms. Marquardt became an activist, founding a group she calls "Putting People First."

"RADICAL GREEN POLITICS AND ANIMAL RIGHTS EXTREMISM IS THE NEW AGE SOCIALISM"

The Endangered Species Act, which enables the federal government to take control of private property without compensation, is among the most controversial of environmental regulations angering farmers and ranchers. A law innocently devised to protect owls and wolves is playing a major

mere \$30,000. The only thing Mrs. Rector can do with her land is pay taxes.

Radical green politics and animal rights extremism is the New Age socialism, says Kathleen Marquardt, citing examples of environmentalists who are unyielding in their disdain for property rights. Even environmentalists considered moderate such as Peter Berle, President of the Audubon Society, has said, "We reject the idea of private property."

Grizzly bears and wolves and spotted owls now take precedence over human beings in the mountains and prairies of the West, say farmers and ranchers. Bears and wolves have been reinstated into their former habitats amongst farms and ranches, and it's estimated that spotted owl regulations will result in the loss of

72,000 logging jobs in Washington, Oregon, and California.

"If a wolf or grizzly threatens your sheep," says Ms. Marquardt, "you have ten days to ameliorate the situation. If it is not resolved by then, then you get out. People of Idaho are strapping on their guns and saying, 'We're not leaving.' The next time representatives from New York's silk stocking district release wolves onto our lands, we're gonna release them in Central Park!"



part in fermenting a rural revolution.

Tales abound of people like Margaret Rector, a 74-year-old woman, who in 1973 purchased 15 acres of land near Austin, Texas, that was bought with her life savings and intended for her retirement income. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, however, had other plans for her small farm. In 1991 they decided that her land was a suitable habitat for the Golden Cheeked Warbler. As a result, Mrs. Rector's land, previously assessed at \$800,000 is now unusable for development, and is worth a

Rural people say they are losing their farms, homes, retirement nests, and basic values to a federal government that is micro-managing their lives at every turn in the service of environmentalist ideology. As you listen to them, they sound like people with their backs to the wall. "If we want to preserve Western culture," said Kathleen Marquardt, "we're gonna have to fight for it." Foundation for Economic Education 30 South Broadway Irvington-on-Hudson, NY 10533

.... continued from page 5

passed, totally undermine the authority of parents to exercise vitally important responsibilities toward their children if these responsibilities infringe on the child's "right" to autonomy and self-expression as defined by a panel of "experts" appointed by the United Nations.

Senate Resolution 70 was circulated in 1993-94. Fifty Senators were on record as co-sponsors, calling for President Clinton to send the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child to the Senate for ratification. The number of co-sponsors indicates President Clinton is getting very close to the two thirds (67) majority he needs to have this treaty ratified and could achieve that goal early in his second term.

SUMMARY OF THE CONVENTION

ARTICLE 3: "In all actions concerning children," the courts, social service workers, and bureaucrats are empowered to regulate families based on their subjective determination of "the best interest of the child." This shifts the responsibility of parental judgment and decision making from the family to the State (and ultimately the UN).

ARTICLE 4: Signatory nations are bound to "undertake all appropriate legislative, administrative, and other measures, for the implementation of the rights" of the Convention. In fact, the U.S. would be required to "undertake measures to the maximum extent of available resources... within the framework of international cooperation" in order to restructure society.

ARTICLE 7: All children must be immediately registered after birth, to ensure State and UN control over their development. Computerized tracking will follow.

ARTICLE 13: Parents would be subject to prosecution for any attempt to prevent their children from interaction with pornography, rock music, or television. Little children are vested with a "freedom of expression" right that is virtually absolute... NO allowance is made for parental guidance. According to a Canadian study, the North American Man-Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) believes the treaty "could be used to support its position that children as young as six have the right to choose to have sex."

ARTICLE 14: Children are guaranteed "freedom of thought, conscience, and religion." They can object to all religious training. They can assert their right to participate in occult or contrary services. (*WUCA comment: such as Satanic worship and ritual and animal [and human?] sacrifice.*)

ARTICLE 15: "The right of the child to freedom of association." If taken seriously, parents could be prevented from forbidding their child from associating with people deemed to be objectionable companions. Children could claim this "right" and join gangs, cults, witches covens, racist organizations and associate with drug addicts over parental objections. Parent's rights and responsibilities are ignored.


ARTICLE 16: Mandates the creation of an intensive bureaucracy for the purpose of "identification, reporting, referral, investigation, treatment, and follow-up" of parents who, in violation of the child's rights, treat their children negligently. This could include spanking, teaching them from the Bible, teaching them against homosexuality, or "alternative lifestyles," or other "psychologically damaging" religious or traditionalist ideas or dogmas.

ARTICLE 24: The governments of each signatory nation are required to provide "the highest standard of health care facilities, including family planning (i.e., abortion) and education services." Section 3 of Article 24 instructs governments to "take all effective

and the control of people.)

ARTICLE 27: Parents are required to implement "conditions of living necessary for the child's development." These "conditions of living" are to derive from State-determined standards for his "physical, mental, spiritual, moral, and social development."

ARTICLE 28: Compulsory Education. All nations are challenged to unite in the creation of an internationalist approach to education. All school-age Americans would be "encouraged" to be part of the school system. The content of what must be taught to all children is prescribed. These include anti-American "one-worldism," "anti-family and anti-traditional values," "multi-culturalism," and "environmentalism" (which will be more



and appropriate measures with a view to abolishing traditional practices prejudicial to the health of children." Note that a practice need not be proven to be injurious, but instead only "prejudicial" to the health of children. What "traditional practices" might be "prejudicial" to the health of children in the view of the UN's global/socialist experts? Discipline? Religious instruction? Criticism of the government, the New World Order, or of a minority group (i.e., homosexuals)?

"LITTLE CHILDREN ARE VESTED WITH A 'FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION' RIGHT THAT IS VIRTUALLY ABSOLUTE... NO ALLOWANCE IS MADE FOR PARENTAL GUIDANCE"

ARTICLE 26: Parties must recognize the right of every child to benefit from social security and social insurance. (*WUCA comment: propagation of the social/welfare state*

earth worship, worshipping Mother Gaia, the goddess of planet earth - whom Vice President Al Gore also worships). The homeschool movement could be massively attacked or terminated by this article!

ARTICLE 29: It is the goal of the State to direct the education of the people it governs toward the philosophy of the New World Order as "enshrined in the charter of the United Nations." This philosophy includes occultic, anti-Judeo/Christian values, authoritarianism and intolerance.

ARTICLE 32: The State is responsible to prevent children from providing labor which would interfere with the child's education, or be harmful to the child's "health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral, or social development." What? No more household chores?

ARTICLE 37: Every child "deprived of his or her liberty" shall have access to free legal counsel and access to the courts to make such a challenge. Parents will have to pay new taxes to support the legal processes against them-

selves! (The ACLU lawyers are lining up!)

ARTICLE 43: An international committee of 20 "experts" (the International Tribunal for Children's Rights) is to be established to oversee the progress and implementation of the Treaty. These experts will be chosen by secret ballot by all signatory nations. They will be "children's rights" social engineers. There is no assurance that ANY American will even be on the committee, and if they are, they will be appointed by Bill or Hillary Clinton or Al Gore. (*WUCA comment*: In other words, people from foreign cultures with ideas totally contrary to what most Americans believe will be dictating to you how to raise your children.)

This UN children's treaty, if ratified by the U.S. Senate, will make the Congress, the Clinton Administration (and its bureaucracy), and the U.S. courts the national guardians of our children, charged with seeking "the best interest of the child," and answerable to the United Nations. But, the government and the global socialists' definition of a child's best interest is often very different from a parent's definition.

"THE GREAT DANGER OF THIS TREATY IS THAT IT WILL CODIFY THE ASSUMPTION THAT GOVERNMENT IS THE PRIMARY CUSTODIAN OF CHILDREN"

The Convention also usurps parental authority by advocating the view that children are autonomous agents who are capable, in all areas, of making adult decisions and dealing with adult situations. This radical legal doctrine stands in stark contrast to the traditional concept upheld in America and throughout most of the "free world" that children are minors, in need of parental guidance and protection.

The great danger of this treaty is that it will codify the assumption that government is the primary custodian of children - it will give the UN power over parent/child relationships to a degree unheard of in the field of human rights. To put the UN and government bureaucrats in charge of parent/child relationships, where there are huge differences of culture, history, customs, conventions, and traditions among nations and people is to open up an avalanche of socialistic controls over parents everywhere.

Just look at the Chinese Communists' controls over families, abortion, baby killing, one child per family, education of children, the banning of religious teachings, etc. for a preview of coming attractions. The UN leaders laud the Red Chinese family/children controls and believe they should be implemented on a global basis.

Shorn of its rhetoric, the UN's "family" agenda is intended to destroy the traditional family through redefinition (families now include cohabitating unmarried couples, homosexual couples, communes, etc.), and

MAKE THE HOME AN ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT OF A GLOBAL GOVERNMENT. From the UN's point of view, the one significant function of families is to help promote world government and the socialist and humanist values enshrined in the UN's "human rights" documents. With one of the key elements of its thrust for world domination, the control of families and children, the UN's campaign to corrupt, subvert, and eventually destroy the family is accelerating dramatically.

CONCLUSIONS

Welcome to Hillary Clinton's "village" - to "the parenthood of the state." If one will closely examine the United Nations agenda (including the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, Habitat II, the UNESCO and UNICEF agendas, the UN Commission on Global Governance report entitled "Our Global Neighborhood"); Hillary Clinton's book "It Takes a Village"; the various state initiatives to control parents and children; the present thrust of the U.S. government educational system; and the long-term strategies of the Communists and the political left worldwide, a very ominous picture will be seen to be emerging.

The global socialists and the political left want control of our children and their minds as a major element in their quest for a Brave New World. Parents stand in the globalists' way - just as such parents did when the Communists took over China, Russia, Cuba, etc. This parental control must be neutralized by the socialists and transferred to the state. This neutralization and transfer is now taking place at mach 10 speed and will increase dramatically in the Clintons' second term.

WHAT YOU CAN DO

What can concerned Christian, tradition-

alist parents do about these attacks?

First, take your children out of the government schools and home school them.

Second, support efforts in your own state legislature to defeat initiatives to install piecemeal the UN Children's Treaty on a state-by-state basis.

Third, oppose the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child in every way possible. Put pressure on your U.S. Senator to oppose it. Get your church to oppose it. Get fellow home schoolers to oppose it. Write letters to the editor in your local papers opposing it. Express your opposition by calling to local and national talk radio shows. (*WUCA comment*: Make copies of this article and pass them out.)

Fourth, support efforts by certain congressmen, senators, and state legislators to pass federal and state legislation, and state amendments defending and protecting parental rights against the "parenthood of the state" and the socialists' plans for your children. Hillary's "village" concept is not just a book - it is a socialist strategy to attack the family, neutralize parental rights, and seize control of our children.

Fifth, and finally, decide what is your choke point. How much will you let Big Brother take control of your children before, like the Vandenburg family (their story was told in the Sep/Oct 1996 issue of WUCA), you draw a line in the sand and say "no more - you go no further!" (A growing number of parents who "understand the times" are having home births of babies, via mid-wives in order to avoid government registration and tracking of newborns). What is your choke point? As Dr. James Dobson has written, is there anything left in America that's worth fighting for, or dying for? How about our children? What do you think?

MCALVANY INTELLIGENCE ADVISOR
P.O. Box 84904, Phoenix, AZ 85071

ENVIRO GROUPS RECEIVE BIG BUCKS FROM UNCLE SAM

Americans struggling to throw off the government's onerous regulatory yoke are at the same time unwitting underwriters of the private organizations that lobby hardest to keep them harnessed. While not every American imbibes the environmentalist ethos, and a good many see it instead endangering their economic prosperity and property rights, the government is spending taxpayer money supporting the movement.

A review of IRS reports by the Center for Defense of Free Enterprise documented millions of tax dollars going to environmental lobbying groups in direct grants and tax breaks. Here's a partial list of some of the groups being underwritten by taxpayers, followed by the direct grants they've received in designated years.

The Nature Conservancy: \$61,308,275 between 1992 and 1994.

World Wildlife Fund: \$26,584,335 between 1990 and 1993.

Environmental Defense Fund: \$1,493,976 between 1990 and 1993.

Defenders of Wildlife: \$1,285,658 between 1990 and 1993.

Natural Resources Defense Council: \$246,622 between 1990 and 1991.

All these groups have offices in Washington and lobby the federal government for more regulations. The 35 environmental groups sampled received a cumulative total of \$156,644,352 in government grants between 1990 and 1994. Copies of the "Feeding at the Trough Report" are available from the Center for the Defense of Free Enterprise at 206-455-5038.

STRAIGHT TALK

P.O. Box 960

Pigeon Forge, TN 37868



The following briefs are from:
STRAIGHT TALK
 P.O. Box 960
 Pigeon Forge, TN 37868
 by Tom Anderson

➤ Big Brother is charging us \$13 million a year for the upkeep of Presidential libraries, gigantic ego trips for past Presidents we'd rather forget.

➤ U.S. taxpayers pay \$3,571,735 each year for each Senator and \$941,569 for each Congressman, including their staff and office overhead. Been had enough? The best way to keep pigs from the trough, is to keep it

empty! (*WUCA comment:* All this money to pay a bunch of clowns who do little more than enact unconstitutional laws that are destroying our freedom and prosperity!)

➤ I heard you applied for a government job, what are you doing now? Nothing, I got the job.

➤ According to a recent estimate, there are 27,931 ways of making a living in America without getting on welfare. Millions of leeches are unable to find a single one of those 27,931 ways to pay their own way.

➤ Clinton is strongly committed to the Bilderburg program for world government. The plan is to introduce a world army so gradually that Americans will be unaware of this surrender of sovereignty until the force is in place and empowered to intervene in domestic affairs of the U.S.

➤ President Clinton signed Presidential Decision Directive #25 (PDD #25). This says during times of national emergency, complete command and control of the U.S. Military would pass from the President to the Secretary General of the United Nations.

➤ It's amazing how many people rate "experience" as the most desirable characteristic in a politician. Integrity far outranks experience. Most of our Senators and Congressmen are experienced, but virtually devoid of integrity. Education is important. So is experience. But character outranks both.

➤ Family farms have been diminished by 80% over the past two or three decades. The government has paid farmers not to plant, in order to restrict supplies, and, as with other businesses, the government has saddled American agriculture with thousands of laws, rules and regulations which have been gradually strangling American farmers and ranchers.

➤ U.S. food reserves are the lowest in history and global food reserves are the lowest in modern history. (See above for why!)

➤ "Food is power. We use it to change behavior. Some may call that bribery. We do not apologize." - Catherine Bertini, executive director of the UN World Food Program.

➤ "In the house of the wise are stores of choice food and oil, but a foolish man devours all he has." - Proverbs 21:20.

➤ The Clinton Administration has ordered the U.S. Postal Service to double the charges or refuse to deliver mail from non-profit 501(c)3 organizations that contain information on the New World Order, NAFTA, GATT, abortion or homosexuality.

➤ "The aim of public education is not to spread enlightenment at all; it is simply to reduce as many individuals as possible to the same safe level, to breed a standard citizenry, to put down dissent and originality." - H.L. Menchen.

MARINES NOW REQUIRE VALUES TRAINING

Marine Corps Commandant Gen. Charles Krulak has ordered an extra week be added to the basic training for the 40,000 new Marine Corps recruits each year. Drill sergeants will now talk to those men and women about honesty, courage, and loyalty. A senior Marine Corps official observed that values are no longer being taught in public schools, nor are the troops learning them in church. General Krulak, a deeply religious combat veteran, has been troubled by criminal activity in the Corps, not only among enlisted personnel, but officers as well. ("Family Voice") **MONETARY & ECONOMIC REVIEW**, 3500 JFK Parkway, Fort Collins, CO 80525.

ARE YOU READY TO BE COMPUTER CHIP ID'd?

America needs only a few more national traumas under Clinton (such as another airline bombing or a poison gas assault against a packed sports stadium) before it's possible to envision a society in which everything you own and perhaps even your own body has a small computer chip embedded in it, detectable from some distance to ID the product and/or person. You could never go anywhere or do anything without some bureaucrat somewhere being able to find out all about it. Unfortunately, this is not science fiction, but an option that reinventing government enthusiasts such as Albert Gore hold up their sleeves, waiting for the right moment. A major federal goal: Passive surveillance of Americans. ("The American Sentinel", 3229 South Blvd. #2E, Charlotte, NC 28209.) **THE LYKE REPORT**, P.O. Box 290, Glenview, IL 60025.

SCUM HAS RISEN TO THE TOP

Once upon a time, America had law enforcement of which it could be proud. No more. Today, the BATF, CIA, FBI and other federal bureaucracies continue to drag the good name of law enforcement through the gutter. Officer Jack McLamb, the most decorated police officer in the history of the Phoenix, Arizona police Dept., recently said in a "World of Prophecy" interview, "The scum of law enforcement has risen to the top. The problem is that God is gone from government." Friends, it is high time that we, too, like Officer Jack McLamb, stood up to and unmasked the rotten apples in federal and local law enforcement. Shamelessly, the police associations now endorse New World Order puppet, Bill Clinton. The federal Gestapo are manufacturing tainted evidence and railroading good American citizens - men and women guilty of no crimes - into jail cells. Meanwhile, real criminals - murderers, dope dealers, rapists, and savings & loan thieves, go free, their crimes often covered up by the authorities. Yes, Jack McLamb is right. The problem is that, "God is gone from government." And until there is repentance at the highest levels of the government bureaucracy, He's not coming back, either. **FLASHPOINT**, 1708 Patterson Road, Austin, TX 78733.

GOING, GOING, GOING...

President John F. Kennedy, back in 1963, complained loudly about the huge amount of money being wasted paying the interest on the national debt. The interest was nine billion dollars. (*WUCA comment:* Many believe that JFK was murdered because of his efforts to issue non-Federal Reserve U.S. Treasury currency in a move to get America out of the money cartel that controls the country. If this were to be done, no interest would need be paid to these international thieves.) But that was then and this is now. It has been a costly 33 years. The interest on the national debt in 1996 was three hundred and forty four billion dollars (\$344,000,000,000). And with our national debt now over five trillion dollars, the interest climbs steadily upward. Today, each man, woman and child in this country owes over \$20,000 as his share of the debt. It is way past time for our government to balance the budget - it does not matter who gets the credit. **BILLS SOAP-BOX**, RR1, Box 69-A, Bangs, TX 76823.

LESS IS MORE AND BAD IS GOOD

The educational establishment has run another scam on the American public. Recently, the College Board, sponsors of the SAT scores claimed increased scores this year, and the educational establishment

promptly asked for more money. In California, Governor Wilson forked over sufficient funds to decrease class size to 20. What the College Board did NOT make clear is that the increase in SAT scores is a scam; in CONSTANT TERMS the scores DECLINED. We reported some months ago that the College Board had recentered tests, and comparing this year with any other year is the old apples and oranges problem. In fact, on today's verbal test, a score of 800 (virtual perfection) is equal to a previous year 730, and so on. Does the public know that this year's scores are the consequence of statistical manipulation? Now repeat after me: Less is more and bad is good. Remind you of something? Aldous Huxley, "Brave New World"? PHOENIX LETTER, 1517 14th St. West, Suite 216C, Billings, MT 59102.

MOUNTAIN WHERE MOSES RECEIVED THE TEN COMMANDMENTS SAID TO BE FOUND

Scientists from Germany and Italy believe they've discovered the mountain on which Moses received the Ten Commandments some 3,000 years ago. The site in question is on the Har Karkom plateau in East Sinai. Catholic theologian Paul Imhoff of Istanbul and the Italian-Jewish archaeologist Prof. Emmanuel Anati have found a host of clues underlining biblical accounts. According to a report in the German Catholic magazine "Weltbild", there is little doubt that Har Karkom is a "holy mountain." More than 2,000 individual archaeological finds - tools, ashes, graves, ritual caves, altars - fit descriptions from the book of Exodus. An engraving displays a tablet with 10 squares reminiscent of the stone tablets on which the Ten Commandments were written. Researchers also found 12 stones behind a sacrificial stone at the foot of the mountain. These stones fit with the description in Exodus 24:4. According to the German news service IDEA, Anati is convinced that Har Karkom is the mountain mentioned in reports of the exodus of Israelites from Egypt. SOMETHING BETTER NEWS, 2900 Wilson S.W., Suite 107, Grandville, MI 49418.

HAWAII LOOKING FOR INDEPENDENCE - PROPAGANDA NETWORK'S RATINGS DROPPING

The Hawaiian Sovereignty Elections Council, a group of Hawaii's indigenous people, wants their island nation back. They want Uncle Sam to go home and let them be FREE. Recently, they sent out 80,000 ballots to native-born islanders. Of the 30,423 ballots returned, 73% favored a proposal to hold a convention to propose independence.

For years, ABC, NBC and CBS had a lock on prime time TV. Their talking hairdo's dominated the evening news. If "THEY" didn't mention it, it didn't happen. If "THEY" said Black was White, it was. "THEY" patted the masses on the head, told them what they should know and pointed them in the "Politically Correct" direction. After the news "THEY" entertained them by appealing to their greed, immorality, violence and fear. Things are quite different now. The audience has started to abandon the Big three TV networks. In recent prime time ratings their market share was 20.5 percent, down from 47.6 percent eight years ago. WASHINGTON REPORT, P.O. Box 10309, St. Petersburg, FL 33733.

JAMES MADISON, EXTRAORDINARY AMERICAN

James Madison, known as the "Chief Architect of the Constitution", was the 4th President of the United States, from 1809 to 1817. He was a member of the first U.S. Congress and was the original author and promoter of the "Bill of Rights", of which he made religious freedom the first item. His records of the debates in the Constitutional Convention are the most accurate and detailed that exist, and he was an instrumental member of the Convention, speaking 161 times. James Madison authored 29 of the 85 "Federalist Papers", which argued successfully in favor of ratification of the Constitution. Madison was home-schooled as a child. On the future of America he wrote: "We have staked the whole future of American civilization, not upon the power of government, far from it. We have staked the future of all of our political institutions upon the capacity of mankind for self-government; upon the capacity of each and all of us to govern ourselves, to control ourselves, to sustain ourselves according to the Ten Commandments of God." - From "America's God and Country Encyclopedia of Quotations".

The following briefs are from:
THE MCALVANY
INTELLIGENCE ADVISOR
 P.O. Box 84904
 Phoenix, AZ 85071

➤ Although Bill Clinton and his allies at Planned Parenthood claim that the gruesome practice of partial birth abortion is rare, a New Jersey abortuary performs up to 1,500 of the procedures each year.

➤ In a survey conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics, half of the 5,000 college graduates could not read or decipher a simple bus schedule! Nearly 45% could not figure out the contrasting opinions in a newspaper article featuring two opposing views, and seven out of eight respondents could not figure out the cost of carpeting a room, even with a calculator. (WUCA comment: obviously, the dumbing down process in "education" is now well established at even the college level!)

➤ "The very long process of shaping a more effective and responsible United Nations must begin. This will require some sacrifices of sovereignty." - CFR President Leslie Gelb.

➤ The fact that almost 50% of the electorate would support the Clintons is a strong indicator of how far gone America really is.

➤ "Outside professional wrestling, there is nothing more completely, deliberately phony than the Washington television roundtables." - Christopher Hitchens of "Vanity Fair" magazine, a periodic guest panelist on the Beltway gabfests.

➤ Ted Turner's ranch holdings in Montana and New Mexico are larger than all of Rhode Island. (WUCA comment: This is the same hypocrite who has said that Americans live too well and must cut back!)


➤ "In my view, there is now probable cause to consider our president and first lady as felons who are likely to be indicted after the November 5 election." - former House Judiciary Committee chief counsel Jerome Zeifman, a Democrat. Do you want to take any bets?

➤ During his 10/28/96 program, talk show host J. Howard Deme of KOB in Albuquerque reported that persons who carried anti-Clinton signs during the president's visit to the city were herded into an isolated area far from the rally.

➤ "Whatever you do, don't put your retirement nest egg, your kid's college fund or any other highly important block of money into stocks, mutual funds or long-term bonds. These are high-risk speculations." - Richard Maybury, "Early Warning Report" P.O. Box 1616-Q, Rocklin, CA 95677.

➤ "Any market that is breaking all previous records brings out the amateurs... People who don't have a clue about how the markets work throw their life savings at a big rally.

They use their broker as their market analyst. That is exactly the kind of activity that is taking place in this current mutual fund mania. It's a slam dunk that this market will crash. Can't you see the signs of speculative frenzy? They are everywhere." "The Wall Street Underground", 12254 Nicollet Ave. South, Burnsville, MN 55337.



**"Cranking
Out The
News"**

BACK ISSUES AVAILABLE

The following back issues are available for \$3.50 each, which includes first class mail & handling costs. Each issue is listed with just a partial selection of article titles. The majority of the subjects covered in WUCA are broad in nature and not very time-sensitive, which means that all these back issues are still very important and relevant in content to what is happening today.

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 1996

*Iraqi Biological Teams Said To Be Positioned In America * A Blueprint For Bondage * Legalized Auto Theft Proposed In Many States * Planned Illiteracy Reigns In Public Schools * The Americorps Fiasco * United Nations - A Deadly Poisonous Rattlesnake In Our Midst * Worldwide Human I.D. and Control System Now Here * High Costs of the Phony "Drug War" * Modern Environmentalism Dismantled * National Sales Tax - Answer to Today's Tax Tyranny * Globalists Goals: In Their Own Words * Selected Races Target of New Virus * FDA is Stifling Medical Innovation * Joseph McCarthy, An American Hero * Signs of a Nation in Decline * Life on Mars?... A Real Stretch * Proof: Armed Citizens Deter Crime, Period *

SEPTEMBER/OCTOBER 1996

* Russia Arming and Modernizing While America Sleeps * Humanism - Enemy of God, Family and Country * NWO Assassins Murder Entire Family * Dole or Clinton: No Real Choice * Communist Rules for Revolution * U.S. Attendees at Secretive Bilderberger Gathering Named * Black Operations Commander Implicates Presidents * Unbelievable Regulations are Destroying Us All * News From the Short Waves * UN is No Friend of Freedom * Evil Plans of the Elitists Exposed * Home Schooling Family Harassed for No Reason * Conspiracy "Theories" Now Undeniable Fact * Desertion of America's POWs Continues * War on Drugs in Reality a War on Freedom * Thugs & Thieves - The Police State Advances * Doomsday Virus Created - No Cure Likely * UFOs and Bible Prophecy - The Connection

JULY/AUGUST 1996

* The Planned Totalitarian World Government * Is He Man or Monster? * Immigration a "Third World War" * A Factual Story of America's Condition Today * The Government War to Dumb Down America * "I am the Person She Aborted, I Lived Instead of Died" * A Look at Who Heads Up America's Military & Intelligence Operations * War on Humanity - Eco Radicals Plan for Total Control * Clinton Advisor Derek Shearer a Top Communist * God's Cleansing Fire * Meet the Real Morris Dees * Separating School and State - For the Kids Sake * The Indocination of Our Military * The Power of a Grand Jury * Government Sponsored Crime Growing * Roosevelt Presidency Infiltrated With Soviet Spies * The "Well Regulated" Militia of the Second Amendment * The "Ominous Parallels" Continue

MAY/JUNE 1996

* The "Fabian Tactic" Towards Socialist Enslave-

ment * Hillary's "Village" is Just Plain Wrong * Two Case Studies of Freedom Lost * Asset Forfeiture Laws Are Out of Control * To Our Detriment, Constitution is Widely Ignored * UN Seeks Global Taxing Authority * The Fraud of the "Aids War" is Revealed * Trash TV is Demolishing Our Culture * Scientific Study Shows that Author of Bible Truly Was God * Thomas Paine, Passionate Writer for Liberty * Novel Warns of Impending Revolution in America * Public Education Designed to Control, Not Educate * What is the Probability of Life Via Evolution? * Liberty Lost, But Most Don't Even Realize It

MARCH/APRIL 1996

* America - A Republic, Not a Democracy * Treaties Do Not Supersede the U.S. Constitution * Maurice Strong Could be Next Head of the UN * The Magic Bullet that Stops Tyranny in Its Tracks * America's Growing Vulnerability to Nuclear Blackmail * America, How Have Ye Been Subjugated * Coercion and the U.S. Government * The Condition of Our Public Schools * The Income Tax, Time to Dump It * The Cycle From Freedom to Slavery * Rush Limbaugh - Is He a Fraud? * Beware the New Age Movement * Life's Hardships Bring Us Closer to the Lord * Russian "Peace"...Grozny Style * Vote Fraud Must Be Stopped in 1996 Elections * Partial Birth Abortion Still Haunts Nurse * A Lesson on Unbacked Money

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 1995

* Explosive Evidence of Bombing Cover-Up * Gulf War Diseases Proving to Be a Danger to Everyone * What a "Reinvigorated" UN Means * Davy Crockett Learned a Lesson About Honest Government * Your Home Can Be Confiscated For Petty Offenses * Hitler, The 1933 Reichstag Fire, and the Oklahoma City Bombing * Separating Government From "Educating" Our Children * Cannibalism of the Unborn in China * History of the Bill of Rights * More ATF Harassment of Good Citizens * New Stroke Therapy Proving Effective * Concealed Carry Proven to Reduce Crime * The Truth About Citizens Militias * The Deep Christian Roots of America * United Nations Covenant Aims to Eliminate American Sovereignty * Global Warming Proven to be Bogus Concern

APRIL/MAY 1995

* Lakewood Organization Promotes World Government * The Wisdom Written Into Our Constitution * The Genius of Tom Edison * The Strengthening Russian Bear * Vince Foster's "Suicide": Anatomy of a High Level Cover-Up * Jury Trial Critical to Our Freedoms * U.S. Government Trafficking Drugs * Legalized Theft, You Could Become a Victim * The Price They (our Founders) Paid * The Population Bomb: Exploding the Myth * Social Security is Broke * Now is the Time to Revive Missile Defense * FDR's Huge Betrayal at Yalta * Lifetime Study Shows the Amazing Incidence of "7" Throughout the Bible * Medical Doctor Claims Aids a Fraud * China Imports Flooding America * Darwin's Theory of Evolution Supported By Little Scientific Fact * A Sad Story of Bureaucratic Destruction

FEBRUARY 1995

* The Power Elite Who Control America * A Case

of BATF & IRS Terrorism * Our Politically Correct and Liberally Biased News Media * Will the Real Newt Gingrich Please Stand Up * How the FDA Can Cost Lives * Why the Colonists Endured Such Hardships to Reach America * Detailed Account Given of Weaver Murders * Taxes Like You Wouldn't Believe * German Report Brings Out the Betrayal of GATT * The CFR/Trilateral/New World Order Connection (an 11x17 chart) * What You Should Know About the United Nations * The Growing Phenomenon of Citizen's Militias * 10th Amendment Rights Catching Fire * Sheriff Mack Gives Inspirational Speech on Governmental Truths * George Washington's Vision Tied to Prophecy

DECEMBER 1994

* Becoming the U.N.'s Globocop * DARING to Tell the Truth * George Washington's Vision of America's Future * 19 Years in China's Gulag * When Will the Mainstream Press Report the Truth About the Clintons * Soldiers of Misfortune * The Great Social Security Rip-Off * IRS Gansterism in Action * The Betrayal of America * Our "Politically Correct" Education Standards * The Condition of Our National Defense * Kill a Rat, Go to Jail * New "Marc" Card on Its Way * Butchery & Corruption in Africa * Ignorance of "The Law" is a Virtual Certainty * Our Gun Rights Are About More Than Duck Hunting * How Efficient Are the Big Charities


NOVEMBER 1994

* The Institute For Policy Studies: The Clinton Connection * KAL Flight 007 - New Evidence Uncovered * Home Schooling: A Bright Spot For America * Are There Still POWs in Vietnam? * Moving the U.S. Military Under United Nations Command * The Federal Reserve * Options in "Drug War" Are Explored * Our Founding Fathers Had Only One Reason to Protect Our Right to Own Firearms * United Nations Plan to Disarm and Control All Nations * Press Freedom Ranks Poorly in the U.S. * Death by Government * Hold the Hype - A Call For Ecosanity * The Dumbing Factor: "Goals 2000" * Europeans Think Little of Bill Clinton * The High Cost of Illegal Immigration * What is a Capitalist? * Inside a Federal Bureaucracy

AUGUST 1994

* The Looting of America * OBE - Dumbing Down Our Kids * Environmental Extremism: Formula to Eliminate American Rights * A Visitor From the Past * Federal Reserve's Central Bank: It's a Rip-Off! * America Needs a Missile Defense Now * The Truth Will Set Us Free * Don't Tread on the States * Three Stages to UN World Tyranny * U.S. Can Leap-Frog Rivals in Space * FDA: Danger to Your Health * Clipper Chip Could End Communication Privacy * Are Biochip IDs on the Horizon? * Is Big Brother Watching You? * Recycling Often Cost More in Resources Than it Saves * American Wealth Being Pilfered on Foreign "Aid"

Please mail orders to: Wake-Up Call America, P.O. Box 280488, Lakewood, CO 80228-0488



WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA

A Colorado Non-Profit Corporation

P.O. BOX 280488
LAKEWOOD, CO 80228

Phone: (303) 526-5023 • Fax: (303) 526-2043


If you are tired of getting only PART of the truth from the controlled mainstream media... *and*

If you would like to learn about the critical issues that WILL impact your future, and the future of freedom in America... *then*

Learn what you are deliberately being kept uninformed about - read one of the most hard hitting newspapers in the country. Our coverage is decidedly conservative, in full support of the Constitution and the Bill of Rights as intended by our country's Founders, for limited government and limited taxation, for a true free-market economy with minimal regulation, for personal responsibility and the traditional Judeo/Christian values that made us strong and prosperous in the first place. We stand firmly against the radical socialist and globalist agenda that is destroying our freedoms piece by piece.

Here are some titles of articles from recent issues:

- BECOMING THE U.N.'s GLOBOCOP
- EXPLOSIVE EVIDENCE OF BOMBING COVER-UP
- OUR GUN RIGHTS ARE ABOUT MORE THAN DUCK HUNTING
- WHEN WILL THE MAINSTREAM PRESS REPORT THE TRUTH ABOUT THE CLINTONS
- THE GROWING RUSSIAN MAFIA
- THE GREAT SOCIAL SECURITY RIP-OFF
- DEATH BY GOVERNMENT
- WHO CONTROLS THE MEDIA TODAY
- IRS GANGSTERISM IN ACTION
- ARE YOU A DANGEROUS "CULTIST"
- GEORGE WASHINGTON'S VISION OF AMERICA'S FUTURE
- 19 YEARS IN CHINA'S GULAG!
- KAL FLIGHT 007 - NEW EVIDENCE UNCOVERED
- HOME SCHOOLING - A BRIGHT SPOT FOR AMERICA
- NEW "MARC" CARD ON ITS WAY
- MORAL DECLINE CAN BE REVERSED



(over please)

- THE BATF - ARMED AND DANGEROUS
- GATT's WORLD TRADE OPPRESSION
- MOVING THE U.S. MILITARY UNDER UNITED NATIONS COMMAND
- AMERICAN WEALTH BEING PILFERED ON FOREIGN "AID"
- ARE THERE STILL POWs IN VIETNAM?
- THE FEDERAL RESERVE
- MILITIA'S - ONE OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL SAFEGUARDS
- THE DUMBING FACTOR, "GOALS 2000"
- THE HIGH COST OF ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION
- BUTCHERY & CORRUPTION IN AFRICA
- INSIDE A FEDERAL BUREAUCRACY
- THE LOOTING OF AMERICA
- OBE - DUMBING DOWN OUR KIDS
- ENVIRONMENTAL EXTREMISM: A FORMULA TO ELIMINATE AMERICAN RIGHTS
- THREE STAGES TO UN WORLD TYRANNY
- GULF WAR DISEASES PROVING TO BE A DANGER TO EVERYONE
- FIREARMS - INSURANCE FOR LIBERTY
- FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION: DANGER TO YOUR HEALTH
- CLIPPER CHIP COULD END COMMUNICATION PRIVACY



... and much, much more vital information.

We utilize sources from all around the country to bring you information that those at the highest levels behind the controlled mainstream press simply do not want you to know about. If you think NBC, CBS, ABC, CNN, THE NEW YORK TIMES, THE WASHINGTON POST, TIME, NEWSWEEK and other mainstream media sources will truthfully inform you about anything other than what the insider elite, who control all these media, want you to know... please think again.

If you are fed up with being kept in the dark, please support the cause of freedom, get yourself and your family informed, and let's get America back on track. Subscribe today to WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA for only \$36 for twelve information packed issues. To receive a sample copy please send \$5 for the most recent issue.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Please mail to: WAKE-UP CALL AMERICA
P.O. Box 280488
Lakewood, Colorado 80228-0488

